INTRODUCTION

Approximately 70% of breast cancers express estrogen receptor α (ER) and/or progesterone receptor (PR), biomarkers indicative of hormone dependence. Therapies for such patients inhibit ER signaling by 1) antagonizing ligand binding to ER (tamoxifen), 2) downregulating ER (fulvestrant), or 3) blocking estrogen biosynthesis [aromatase inhibitors (AI)]. Although endocrine therapies have changed the natural history of hormone-dependent breast cancer, many tumors develop drug resistance (1). The only mechanism of resistance to endocrine therapy for which clinical data exist is overexpression of the Erbb2/HER2 protooncogene (2, 3). However, <10% of hormone receptor-positive breast cancers express high HER2 levels, suggesting that for the majority of ER+ breast cancers, mechanisms of escape from endocrine therapy remain undefined. We and others have shown that activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathway also promotes resistance to endocrine therapy (4, 5), although demonstration of such a mechanism in the clinic awaits confirmation.

Tamoxifen has been the standard treatment for patients with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. This drug exhibits dual agonistic/antagonistic effects on ER transcriptional activity and cancer cell growth (6). In contrast, AIs suppress estrogen biosynthesis and thus ligand-induced ER activity with no known agonistic effects. In postmenopausal patients, AIs are modestly superior to tamoxifen in preventing disease recurrence. However, a significant fraction of patients with early ER+ breast cancer receiving adjuvant AIs recur within the first decade of follow-up (1). Interestingly,
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a recent comparison of high-dose fulvestrant to the AI anastrozole as first-line treatment for advanced breast cancer revealed that fulvestrant provided a longer time-to-progression (7). In other studies, approximately 30% of patients who progressed on an AI responded to second-line fulvestrant (8, 9). These data suggest that in some clinical situations, downregulation of ER may be superior or add to estrogen deprivation.

Fulvestrant has been shown to inhibit the growth of ER+ MCF-7 human breast cancer cells and xenografts under estrogen-depleted conditions (10, 11). However, the role of ER in estrogen-independent growth remains unclear. In order to model the low estrogen levels seen in patients treated with an AI [≤ 3 pM plasma 17β-estradiol, E2 (12)], we generated long-term estrogen-deprived (LTED) derivatives of 4 ER+ human breast cancer cell lines. These LTED lines exhibit hyperactivation of the PI3K pathway, and variable changes in ER levels and E2 sensitivity (4). Herein, we report that the unliganded ER frequently plays a role in the hormone-independent growth of LTED cells by modulating a transcriptional program directed by E2F proteins. Concurrently, a small interfering RNA (siRNA) screen identified that CDK4, a kinase that activates E2F transcription, is required for hormone-independent cell growth. CDK4 inhibition suppressed the hormone-independent growth of both fulvestrant-sensitive and -insensitive ER+ cell lines. Furthermore, postmenopausal ER+ tumors with a gene expression signature of E2F activation following neoadjuvant therapy with an AI exhibited a lesser response, suggesting that estrogen-independent E2F activation promotes resistance to estrogen deprivation. Finally, fulvestrant in combination with a PI3K inhibitor induced marked regression of ER+ breast cancer xenografts in mice devoid of estrogen supplementation. These results provide a basis for 1) further development of potent ER downregulators and CDK4 inhibitors, particularly in AI-resistant ER+ tumors with a gene expression signature of E2F activation, and 2) their combination with PI3K inhibitors for the treatment of ER+ breast cancers that escape estrogen deprivation.

RESULTS

Unliganded ER Is Required for the Hormone-Independent Growth of ER+ Breast Cancer Cells

We previously reported that LTED cells show variable changes in ER levels after selection in hormone-depleted medium (10% dextran-charcoal-treated FBS, DCC-FBS). MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells exhibit higher ER
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Figure 1. ER is required for acquired hormone-independent breast cancer cell growth. 

A, lysates from cells treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± fulvestrant or 4-OH-T x 24 hours were analyzed by immunoblotting using ER and actin antibodies. 

B, cells were treated as in (A) ± E2, 4-OH-T, or fulvestrant. Media and drugs were replenished every 2 to 3 days. Adherent cells were counted after 5 to 10 days. Data are presented as percent parental control, mean of triplicates ± SD. 

C, cells transfected with siRNA targeting ER or nonsilencing control (siCtl) were treated as in (B), and adherent cells were counted after 6 to 9 days. Data are presented as percent parental siCtl/no hormone, mean of triplicates ± SD. 

D, immunoblots of lysates from the same batches of cells used in (C). 

E, parental cells were treated as in (B). When control wells reached 30% to 50% confluence (18–60 days), cells were stained with crystal violet. Representative images are shown. Quantification of staining intensity is noted at bottom as mean of triplicates ± SD (% control). *P < 0.05 by Bonferroni post-hoc test compared to control [or siCtl/no hormone in (C)] of each cell line.
levels compared to parental controls, while ZR75-1/LTED and MDA-361/LTED cells show the opposite (Fig. 1A; ref. 4). We sought to determine whether ER plays a role in hormone-independent growth. Treatment with the ER downregulator fulvestrant reduced growth of MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells in estrogen-depleted medium ($P < 0.05$ vs. controls), but not MDA-361 or ZR75-1 parental or LTED cells (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that the (upregulated) ER in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells drives estrogen-independent growth. Conversely, cells which did not require ER for hormone-independent growth (MDA-361, ZR75-1) downregulated ER during prolonged estrogen deprivation (Fig. 1A). Treatment of both parental and LTED cells with 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OH-T) or fulvestrant suppressed E2-induced growth (Fig. 1B) and E2-induced ER transcriptional reporter activity (Supplementary Fig. S1). In agreement with a prior report (11), prolonged hormone deprivation of MCF-7/LTED cells (> 6 months) generated an estrogen-insensitive line (MCF-7/LTED-I). MCF-7/LTED-I cells maintained high ER levels. Their growth was inhibited by fulvestrant (data not shown), suggesting that dependence upon ER for hormone-independent growth is not a transient phenotype. To confirm the effects of fulvestrant were through action on ER, we knocked-down ESR1 (ER) expression using siRNA. siER-transfected MCF-7/LTED, HCC-1428/LTED, and BT-474 cells exhibited reduced growth in hormone-depleted medium compared to siControl. In contrast, ER knockdown did not alter the hormone-independent growth of MDA-361, ZR75-1, or T47D cells (Fig. 1C). ER knockdown was confirmed by immunoblot (Fig. 1D); results were confirmed using a second siRNA targeting ER (data not shown).

We next assessed whether the lines in which growth was inhibited by ER downregulation were the same in which ER was required for acquired resistance to estrogen deprivation. Parental cells were reselected for hormone-independent growth in the presence of fulvestrant. Fulvestrant suppressed the emergence of hormone-independent MCF-7, HCC-1428, and BT-474 cells ($P < 0.05$ vs. respective controls), but not ZR75-1, MDA-361, or T47D cells (Fig. 1E), implying that the requirement for ER in estrogen-independent growth is intrinsic to the parental cells.

**ER Promotes an Estrogen-Independent, E2F-Mediated Transcriptional Program**

To determine the role of ER in hormone-independent growth, we did gene expression analysis of “ER-dependent” MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells treated with fulvestrant. To assess whether ER regulates similar gene sets in the presence and absence of estrogen, we derived a signature of 141 genes altered by exogenous E2 in MCF-7 cells from two prior datasets (13, 14). Hierarchical clustering of these 141 genes in LTED cells ± fulvestrant (in the absence of estrogen) showed that fulvestrant induced a gene expression pattern opposite to E2 (Fig. 2A). We next determined which genes were commonly altered by fulvestrant in both MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells (±1.5-fold, FDR-adjusted $P \leq 0.05$). This analysis yielded 718 genes downregulated and 285 genes upregulated by fulvestrant (Fig. 2B). Of these 1,003 genes, 671 were available to query the Connectivity Map, a collection of gene signatures induced by the treatment of cells with a panel of 1,309 small molecules (15). Treatment of MCF-7 cells with fulvestrant in 10% FBS induced gene signatures (data from Connectivity Map) that were directly correlated with the 671-gene signature derived from fulvestrant-treated LTED cells in the absence of hormones ($P < 0.00001$). Therefore, fulvestrant induced similar gene expression profiles in the presence and absence of hormones, implying that ER regulates overlapping sets of genes under E2-stimulated as well as hormone-depleted conditions.

In order to further evaluate the estrogen-independent genomic function of ER, we did chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by next-generation DNA sequencing (ChIP-seq). We identified 3,366 and 4,331 regions (peaks) that were significantly enriched following ER-ChIP-seq in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells, respectively (Fig. 2C). A comparison of these data with published hormone-independent, E2-induced, and EGF-induced ER binding regions in MCF-7 cells (14, 16–18) showed 18.1% (hormone-independent), 23% to 52.4% (E2-induced), and 46.1% to 53.3% (EGF-induced) overlap with MCF-7/LTED cells, and 4.9% (hormone-independent), 9.3% to 26.6% (E2), and 11.8% to 18.1% (EGF) overlap with HCC-1428/LTED cells (Supplementary Fig. S2). Since a significant fraction of E2-induced ER binding sites are distal to transcription start sites of E2-regulated genes, some of which may be nonfunctional (14, 16), we focused on ER binding sites within 60 kb of transcription start sites of the 1,003 genes commonly deregulated by fulvestrant (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S3). This analysis revealed 402 and 658 proximal ER binding regions in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells, respectively. Using ChIP-qPCR, we tested 48 of these sites and found that most were enriched in ER-ChIP compared to IgG-ChIP control (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, only approximately half of these ER binding regions contained a proximal estrogen-response-element (ERE), and many had not been previously identified in genome-wide ER-ChIP studies (14, 16–18). Transcription factor motif analysis revealed that ER-bound regions were enriched for motifs which bind ER, FoxA1, RARα, and C/EBP, among others (Supplementary Figs. S4, S5; Supplementary Tables S1 to S4); such proteins are known to functionally cooperate with ER (18–21). We next subcloned 3 ER-bound regions (Fig. S6) into a transcriptional reporter vector. In MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells, reporter activity increased with E2 and decreased with fulvestrant (Fig. 2E). The latter results suggest that these ER binding sites regulate transcription in the absence of ER ligands.

We next examined whether estrogen-independent ER genomic signaling occurs in primary ER+ cancers in patients subjected to estrogen deprivation. Breast tumors in patients treated with AIs can be considered estrogen-deprived since these drugs maximally suppress plasma estrogen levels within 2 weeks (22), providing a setting in which to test estrogen-independent ER activity. Hence, we did ChIP-qPCR for ER binding events using 3 ER+ breast tumors acquired from patients following 10 to 21 days of neoadjuvant therapy with the AI letrozole (clinical trial...
Figure 2. Gene expression and genomic profiling reveal estrogen-independent ER transcriptional activity. A, RNA from MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells treated ± fulvestrant for 48 hours was analyzed using gene expression microarrays. We derived a signature of 141 genes commonly up- or downregulated by E2 stimulation in MCF-7 cells. Using LTD cell gene expression data, we hierarchically clustered these 141 genes (x-axis). Fulvestrant induced expression changes diametrically opposed to those induced by E2. B, we determined probe sets up- or downregulated by fulvestrant in each LTD line (≥1.5-fold, P ≤ 0.05), and used Venn diagrams to identify commonly deregulated probe sets (yielded 1,434 probe sets for 718 down- and 285 upregulated genes). C, ChIP-seq analysis to identify ER genomic binding regions. To focus on functional ER binding sites, we determined which sites were within 60 kb of the transcription start site of a fulvestrant-deregulated gene. D, Forty-eight ER binding regions identified in (C) were tested by ChIP-qPCR. Data presented as heatmap of fold-enrichment in ER-ChIP over IgG-ChIP control, or fold-enrichment score from ChIP-seq. E2-regulated genes deregulated by fulvestrant in (A), presence of a proximal estrogen-response-element (ERE) within 500 bp of a peak, and overlap of peaks with published ER-ChIP datasets are noted below heatmap. ND, not detected. E, ER-bound regions verified in (D) were subcloned into a luciferase reporter vector. Cells transfected with reporter plasmids were treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± fulvestrant or E2. Luciferase activities were measured after 24 or 48 hours. ERE—contains 2 consensus EREs. Data presented as log 10 (ratio vs. each untreated control), mean of triplicates ± SD. *P < 0.05 by Bonferroni post-hoc test compared to each control. RLU, relative light units (firefly/Renilla). F, ChIP-qPCR as in (D) using human ER+ breast tumor samples acquired from patients following 10 to 21 days of neoadjuvant letrozole. Data presented as fold-enrichment in ER-ChIP over IgG-ChIP control.
NCT00651976). In 2 of 3 tumors, ER-ChIP samples showed significant enrichment for ER-bound genomic regions identified in cell lines compared to IgG controls (Fig. 2F), supporting the presence of estrogen-independent ER-DNA binding activity in primary human tumors deprived of estrogens.

To identify the functional output of estrogen-independent ER activity, we classified the proteins encoded by the 1,003 fulvestrant-deregulated genes (Fig. 2B) using Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (23). The top enriched biological processes were Cell Cycle, Cell Proliferation, M Phase, Mitotic Cell Cycle, and DNA Replication and Chromosome Cycle (all \(P < 0.0001\)). These data suggest that in the absence of hormones, ER modulates the expression of genes encoding proteins that regulate the cell cycle. We then asked whether there was an underlying transcriptional program directed by ligand-independent ER within this large set of modulated genes. We computed the overlap between 1) the 1,003 genes altered by fulvestrant in LTED cells, and 2) sets of genes that share a transcription factor binding site within 2 kb of transcription start sites. Twelve of the top 20 gene sets that showed significant overlap with the fulvestrant-induced signature contained E2F binding sites (Fig. 3A). E2F1 binds predominantly within 2 kb of transcription start sites (24). The E2F family contains 8 proteins (E2F1-8) which modulate the expression of genes that coordinate cell cycle progression, DNA replication, mitosis, and apoptosis (25). Included in the set of fulvestrant-suppressed genes were E2F1, -2, -7, and -8. Prior work has shown that E2 stimulates E2F1 expression (26) and phosphorylation/activity of the E2F repressor Rb (27). These findings suggest that ER also promotes E2F expression and activation in an estrogen-independent manner in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells. In contrast, fulvestrant did not alter estrogen-independent E2F1, -2, -7, or -8 expression in MDA-361 or ZR75-1 cells (data not shown), suggesting that these ER+ cell lines harbor an ER-independent mechanism(s) to promote E2F transcription.

**E2F Activation Signature Correlates with Resistance to Estrogen Deprivation in ER+ Primary Tumors**

Since fulvestrant suppressed hormone-independent growth in cells with ER-dependent E2F activity, we postulated that an estrogen-independent, E2F-induced gene expression profile would predict for resistance to estrogen deprivation in human tumors. Thus, we generated a signature of E2F activation from LTED cells and assessed its ability to predict response to neoadjuvant therapy with an AI in patients with ER+ breast cancer. Primary tumor biopsies were obtained from 68 patients with newly diagnosed ER+ breast cancer before and after 14 days of therapy with anastrozole (28). High tumor cell proliferation measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC) for the proliferation marker Ki67 after short-term endocrine therapy has been shown to predict a worse long-term disease outcome (29).

Hence, we used the posttreatment Ki67 score as a surrogate of clinical response. GO analysis showed that 37 of 61 genes containing an E2F motif that were deregulated by fulvestrant in LTED cells were associated with cell cycle regulation. Tumor Ki67 score has been shown to correlate with the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in the cell cycle (30). This analysis suggested that the remaining 24 genes, which did not have a cell cycle-related GO annotation, could be used to assess E2F activity independent of the cell cycle (Supplementary Fig. S7A and S7B; Supplementary Table S5). In order to distinguish between cell cycle-associated and independent effects of E2F activation, we evaluated both the 61-gene E2F signature and the 24-gene E2F signature devoid of cell cycle-associated genes.

Hierarchical clustering revealed that tumors did not group into distinct clusters (Supplementary Fig. S7C and S7D), suggesting that E2F activation may be better represented as a continuous variable. Hence, we generated E2F activation scores for each tumor using both pre- and post-anastrozole gene expression data and compared them to the post-anastrozole (2 weeks) Ki67 score. As expected, the pre- and post-anastrozole 61-gene E2F scores were significantly associated with the Ki67 score (ANCOVA \(P = 0.0004, \text{r} = 0.412, \text{and} \ P < 10^{-4}, \text{r} = 0.641\), respectively). Importantly, both the pre- and post-anastrozole non-cell cycle 24-gene E2F scores also correlated significantly with the Ki67 score (ANCOVA \(P = 0.0144, \text{r} = 0.293, \text{and} \ P < 10^{-4}, \text{r} = 0.493\), respectively; Fig. 3B and C). These data suggest that an expression signature of E2F activation in ER+ tumors may be linked with resistance to AI-induced estrogen deprivation.

We confirmed this correlation in a second cohort of 48 patients with ER+ breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant letrozole (31). Again, the pre- and post-2-week letrozole 61-gene E2F scores correlated significantly with the Ki67 score (ANCOVA \(P = 0.043, \text{r} = 0.293, \text{and} \ P < 10^{-5}, \text{r} = 0.558\), respectively). In this second set, however, the posttreatment but not the pretreatment 24-gene E2F score was significantly associated with Ki67 score (ANCOVA \(P = 0.0026, \text{r} = 0.43\); Fig. 3D and E). Variable estrogen levels in the pretreatment tumor samples may have increased noise and confounded the analysis of estrogen-independent E2F activation. Considering the E2F signature was derived from LTED cells, and the consistent statistical correlations between the posttreatment E2F score and Ki67 score, we speculate the contribution of E2F signaling to endocrine resistance may be best evaluated under conditions of estrogen deprivation.

We finally determined whether the Ki67 score following neoadjuvant therapy with an AI correlated with E2F-regulated expression at the protein level. The promoters of FANCD2 and CDC2 contain E2F binding sites (32, 33) and were part of the 24-gene and 61-gene E2F signatures, respectively. Antibodies were validated for analysis of these proteins using reverse-phase protein arrays (RPPA). In a third cohort of 10 patients with ER+ breast cancer, we quantified levels of FANCD2 and CDK1 (CDC2) by RPPA analysis of tumor samples obtained following 10 to 21 days of neoadjuvant letrozole (Fig. 3F). FANCD2 levels were significantly correlated with post-letrozole Ki67 score, while CDK1 levels showed a correlating trend (Fig. 3G). In addition to FANCD2 and CDC2, mRNA levels of another 8 of 21 and 8 of 20 available genes in the 24-gene E2F signature showed
Figure 3. A gene expression signature of E2F activation correlates with poor tumor response to AIs in patients. A, the set of 1,003 genes commonly deregulated by fulvestrant was used to query TRANSFAC database. Top 20 Genesets are shown, 12 of which contain E2F motifs (highlighted). The number of fulvestrant-deregulated genes as a percentage of the total genes in each set is shown. We derived a set of 24 genes containing E2F motifs but lacking a Gene Ontology cell cycle annotation. B–E, expression values of these 24 genes were used to generate E2F activation scores for ER+ primary breast tumors from patients treated with an AI using pre- and posttreatment gene expression data. E2F scores were standardized to generate Z-scores. Patients were treated with neoadjuvant anastrozole for 14 days (B–C), or with letrozole for 10 to 14 days (D–E). The associations of the pre-anastrozole (B), post-anastrozole (C), pre-letrozole (D), and post-letrozole (E) E2F Z-scores with log2-transformed post-AI Ki67 score were analyzed by linear regression. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and ANOVA P values were calculated. F, in a third cohort, protein levels of FANCD2 and CDK1 were measured by RPPA in ER+ primary breast tumors following 10 to 21 days of neoadjuvant letrozole. Log2-transformed signal values were standardized into Z-scores, which were compared to post-letrozole Ki67 score. G, the protein (Z-score) and mRNA levels (from posttreatment microarrays) of FANCD2 and CDK1 (CDC2) were compared to Ki67 score in all 3 datasets using linear regression. adj, adjusted P-value from multiple regression analysis.
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CDK4 Is Required for Hormone-Independent ER+ Breast Cancer Cell Growth

In a complementary experiment, we screened a siRNA library targeting 779 kinases to identify pathways required for hormone-independent growth. siCDK4 ranked as the overall first and third strongest hits that inhibited growth of MCF-7/LTED and MCF-7 cells, respectively (Fig. 4A). CDK4 and CDK6 phosphorylate Rb to derepress E2F signaling which, in turn, promotes cell cycle progression (25). Hence, CDK4 knockdown may phenocopy the effects of ER downregulation since both pathways converge on E2F. Verification showed that CDK4 knockdown inhibited hormone-independent growth and reduced P-Rb levels in 6 of 6 ER+ breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. S8; confirmed using an independent CDK4 siRNA, data not shown). This is consistent with recent reports showing that ER+ breast cancer cell lines were more sensitive to the CDK4/6 inhibitor PD-0332991 (34) than ER- lines (35), and that PD-0332991 inhibits the growth of antiestrogen-resistant MCF-7 cells (36). In support of a role of CDK4 in hormone-independent growth, PD-0332991 treatment reduced growth and P-Rb levels in 6 of 6 parental and 2 of 2 LTED ER+ cell lines in estrogen-depleted conditions (Fig. 4C and D). These findings suggest that CDK4/6-mediated phosphorylation of Rb (and E2F activation) is required for both hormone-independent and ER-independent growth of ER+ cells.

To validate these findings in vivo, we established MCF-7 xenografts in athymic ovariectomized female mice supplemented with a 14-day-release E2 pellet. Fifteen to twenty-six days after implantation, tumor-bearing mice were randomized to treatment with vehicle, PD-0332991, or fulvestrant. Both therapies significantly inhibited estrogen-independent tumor growth compared to vehicle (Fig. 4E). Biomarkers of apoptosis were assessed by immunoblot and IHC after 5 weeks of therapy. Fulvestrant decreased ER levels, and both agents decreased P-Rb, FANCID2, p107 (RBL1), LAP2 (TMPO), E2F1, and E2F2 (Fig. 4F). FANCID2, RBL1, and TMPO contain proximal E2F motifs and are part of the 24-gene E2F signature (Supplementary Fig. S7). These data imply that fulvestrant reduces estrogen-independent, ER-regulated E2F activity in vivo. Although both drugs decreased tumor cell proliferation (assessed by P-Histone H3immunohistochemistry (IHC)), PD-0332991 also induced apoptosis (assessed by IHC using an antibody for cleaved caspase-3 which may cross-react with cleaved caspase-7 (37), Fig. 4G; Supplementary Fig. S9).

Combined Targeting of ER and PI3K Induces Regression of ER+ Xenografts

We previously reported that in LTED cells, hyperactivation of the PI3K pathway is required for estrogen-independent growth (4). Crosstalk between the PI3K and ER pathways has been suggested as a mechanism of endocrine resistance (38). PI3K activation was shown to induce ER phosphorylation at Ser167 and estrogen-independent transcriptional activity (39, 40); thus, we examined whether PI3K activates ER in LTED cells. Although we detected higher levels of P-ERSer167 in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells compared to parental controls in hormone-depleted conditions, treatment with the PI3K inhibitor BKM120 (41) or the TORC1 inhibitor RAD001 (42) only modestly increased and decreased P-ERSer167 levels in MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S10A). Treatment with BKM120 did not alter ER-DNA binding (Supplementary Fig. S10B) or ER transcriptional reporter activities (data not shown). Conversely, fulvestrant did not alter P-AKT levels (Supplementary Fig. S10C), suggesting that ER does not activate PI3K under hormone-depleted conditions. In addition, estrogen deprivation has been shown to induce synthetic lethality in ER+ breast cancer cells treated with a PI3K inhibitor or a siRNA targeting PI3K (5). These data collectively suggest that PI3K and ER modulate non-overlapping pathways in the absence of estrogens. We thus reasoned that combined inhibition of both pathways may be synergistic.

Analysis of the effects of BKM120 and fulvestrant on hormone-independent cell growth showed synergy in 6 of 8 ER+ lines (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S11). To assess whether these drugs inhibit estrogen-independent growth, mice bearing MCF-7 xenografts (as in Fig. 4E) were randomized to vehicle, BKM120, fulvestrant, or the combination. Although the single-agent therapies significantly inhibited tumor growth compared to vehicle, the drug combination induced near-complete tumor regression and was significantly more effective than each single agent (Fig. 5B). We used [18F]FDG-PET as a biomarker of PI3K/AKT inhibition. Mice were imaged before and after 9 days of treatment. BKM120 but not fulvestrant significantly decreased tumor [18F]FDG uptake compared to baseline (P = 0.013; Fig. 5C; Supplementary Fig. S12). We also observed a significant correlation between the percent change in tumor [18F]FDG uptake and the percent change in tumor volume after 9 to 10 days of treatment (r = 0.805, P = 0.0028), suggesting that a reduction in FDG uptake correlates with early tumor response.

Molecular analyses of tumor lysates showed that fulvestrant decreased ER levels, whereas BKM120 decreased P-AKT and P-S6 (Fig. 5D). Fulvestrant also decreased the levels of PR, E2F1, E2F2, p107 (RBL1), and c-myc (MYC), whereas BKM120 did not. Of note, RBL1 and MYC contain proximal E2F binding motifs and are downregulated by fulvestrant in LTED cells. These data imply that ER and PI3K signal independently in estrogen-depleted conditions, and that ER but not PI3K modulates E2F activity.

Analysis of tumors treated with BKM120 plus fulvestrant for more than 6 weeks revealed decreased tumor cell density and increased fibrosis (Fig. 5E, H&E). BKM120-treated tumors showed an increase in cleaved caspase-3/7+ tumor cells compared to controls but no change in Ki67+ tumor cells, suggesting that PI3K inhibition predominantly induced apoptosis. In contrast, tumors treated with fulvestrant and the combination showed a reduction in Ki67+ cells but no change in cleaved-caspase-3/7+ cells. Whether
Figure 4. CDK4 is required for the hormone-independent growth of ER+ breast cancer cells. **A**, MCF-7 and MCF-7/LTED cells transiently transfected with a siRNA library targeting 779 kinases were reseeded in 10% DCC-FBS. Cell viability was measured 4 to 5 days later. Cell growth for each kinase siRNA relative to nonsilencing controls (siCtl) was transformed to a Z-score, and the median Z-score across 3 to 4 independent experiments was calculated. **B**, cells transfected with an independent siRNA targeting CDK4 or siCtl were treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± 1 μM fulvestrant. Media and drugs were replenished every 2 to 3 days. Adherent cells were counted after 6 to 9 days. Data are presented as percent parental siCtl/no hormone, mean of triplicates ± SD. We were unable to achieve CDK4 knockdown in HCC-1428 lines. **C**, cells were treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± fulvestrant or 0.2 μM PD-0332991. Adherent cells were counted after 6 to 16 days. Data are presented as percent parental control, mean of triplicates ± SD. *P < 0.05 by general linear model compared to vehicle control at the indicated time point. **D**, immunoblot analysis of lysates from tumors from (**E**) using the indicated antibodies. **G**, IHC scoring for phospho-Histone H3Ser10 and cleaved caspase-3/7 was done on tumors from (**E**). *P < 0.05 by Bonferroni post-hoc test. ns, not significant.
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**Figure 5.** Combined downregulation of ER and inhibition of PI3K induces regression of ER⁺ xenografts. A, cells were treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± fulvestrant, BKM120, or both. Combination index (CI) values were calculated using the Median Effect method at the IC₅₀, IC₇₅, and IC₉₀ for both drugs. CI < 1 is indicative of synergy. B, mice bearing MCF-7 tumors were randomized to the indicated treatments. Mean tumor volumes ± SEM are shown. *P < 0.05 by general linear model compared to vehicle control at the indicated time point. #P < 0.05 compared to both single-agent treatment arms. C, tumor-bearing mice were imaged before and after 9 days of treatment by [¹⁸F]FDG-PET. Representative images show [¹⁸F]FDG uptake pre- and post-BKM120 (T, tumor). Quantified in Supplementary Fig. S12. D, immunoblot analysis of lysates of tumors from (B) using the indicated antibodies. E, H&E staining and IHC for Ki67 and cleaved caspase-3/7 of tumors from (B). Quantification is shown below. *P < 0.001 by Bonferroni post-hoc test. ns, not significant. F, model depicting the synergistic effects of fulvestrant and BKM120.
fulvestrant inhibited the pro-apoptotic effect of BKM120 by blocking tumor cell proliferation, and/or there was an early wave of cell death not captured by the time of tumor collection is unclear. However, the marked drug synergy observed and the late timing of this assessment in small residual tumors does not support the former speculation. Thus, the combination of fulvestrant and BKM120 likely induced tumor regression by both inhibition of tumor cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis (Fig. 5F).

DISCUSSION

We identified a role for ER in the acquired hormone-independent growth of human breast cancer cells. Fulvestrant treatment suppressed the emergence of hormone-independent cells from 3 of 6 ER+ breast cancer lines. Gene expression profiling revealed that ER was required for the estrogen-independent modulation of an E2F transcriptional program in “ER-dependent” but not in “ER-independent” cells. A kinome-wide siRNA screen showed that CDK4, which derepresses E2F signaling, is required for hormone-independent growth. Expression of an LTED-derived E2F activation signature in primary breast cancers following short-term therapy with an AI correlated with high levels of K67, a predictive biomarker of worse treatment outcome, suggesting that estrogen-independent E2F signaling may confer resistance to estrogen deprivation. Finally, fulvestrant synergized with a PI3K inhibitor to suppress hormone-independent growth in vitro and induce marked tumor regressions in vivo.

In parallel to the role of ER in estrogen-independent breast cancer cell growth, androgen receptor (AR) has been implicated in androgen-independent prostate cancer growth. AR is analogous to ER and conventionally acts as a ligand-inducible transcription factor. The majority of prostate cancers are androgen-dependent at the time of diagnosis as most patients initially respond to androgen-ablation therapy. However, recurrent cancers are typically androgen (ligand)-independent and AR+ (43). AR gene amplification has been observed in some recurrent prostate cancers following androgen deprivation therapy (44). Wang and colleagues (45) reported that ligand-independent AR upregulates M-phase cell cycle genes in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. Similarly, we observed that gene sets downregulated following fulvestrant-induced ER downregulation were significantly enriched for cell cycle genes, suggesting that some breast cancers utilize ER in a ligand-independent fashion to drive cell proliferation. We should note that ESR1 (ER) amplification has also been detected in primary breast cancers (46); however, its association with disease outcome remains unclear.

The involvement of ER in estrogen-independent breast cancer progression is being assessed in a randomized clinical trial [SoFEA (47)] in postmenopausal patients with advanced ER+ breast cancer who progressed on an AI. This trial compares treatment with fulvestrant (250 mg), an alternative AI, or the combination. If this study were to be enriched with patients harboring tumors that remain ER-dependent under conditions of estrogen suppression, we predict that the combination arm will show the best clinical outcome. Although high-dose fulvestrant (500 mg) induces only partial ER downregulation (48), a 500-mg dose was shown to increase time-to-progression more effectively than a 250-mg dose in patients with advanced ER+ breast cancer (49). Therefore, the optimal therapeutic dose of fulvestrant remains undefined, and there is a need for discovery of strong ER downregulators to completely neutralize ER activity in breast cancers that adapt to estrogen deprivation but continue to utilize ER for growth.

Data shown herein suggest that some ER+ cells utilize the unliganded ER to drive an E2F transcriptional program and cell cycle progression, while other ER+ cells utilize ER-independent means. In effect, the latter cells behave as “ER-negative” under estrogen-depleted conditions. We therefore predict that, in patients treated with AIs, only a fraction of estrogen-independent cancers will continue to rely on the ER for growth and, thus, will respond clinically to therapeutic downregulation of the ER. At this time, short of testing an ER downregulator and/or analyzing biopsies of drug-resistant recurrent metastases to assess ER levels and activity, there is no clinical assay that would infer continued reliance on ER in tumors that progress in patients in whom estrogen production is suppressed. In this study, a gene expression signature of E2F activation in ER+ tumors after short-term estrogen deprivation with an AI correlated with high post-AI tumor cell proliferation (Fig. 3). This suggests that an E2F activation signature may identify those ER+ tumors that are resistant to estrogen deprivation but may respond to an ER downregulator or E2F/Rb-directed therapy.

Estrogen-independent, ER-dependent E2F transcription may promote endocrine resistance but this can be abrogated by an ER downregulator (Figs. 2 and 3). In contrast, both hormone-independent and ER-independent growth of all ER+ cells was suppressed by CDK4/6 inhibition (Fig. 4). Therefore, we speculate that a CDK4/6 inhibitor may be more broadly applicable than an ER downregulator for the treatment of breast cancers progressing after an AI. The benefit of CDK4/6-directed therapy is being tested in a clinical trial where patients with advanced ER+ breast cancer are randomized to treatment with letrozole ± PD-0332991 (50).

We previously reported that LTED cells exhibit hyperactivation of the PI3K pathway, which is required for estrogen-independent growth (4). Despite reports suggestive of crosstalk between PI3K and ER signaling (39, 40), we were unable to detect such interactions in LTED cells, implying that both ER and PI3K signaling are required for hormone-independent growth. Therefore, we examined the effects of combined inhibition of ER and PI3K in ER+ xenografts. Although single-agent therapies targeting these pathways slowed tumor growth, the combination of fulvestrant and BKM120 induced regression of established tumors in mice devoid of estrogen supplementation. These data collectively suggest that ER and PI3K modulate independent pathways critical for tumor maintenance, growth, and resistance to estrogen deprivation in ER+ tumors. Hence, in patients with ER+ breast cancer that progresses on AI therapy,
particularly in those harboring an E2F activation signature, combined treatment with an ER downregulator (or a CDK4 inhibitor) and a PI3K inhibitor is a strategy worthy of clinical investigation.

**METHODS**

**Cell Lines**

Parental lines (ATCC) were maintained in IMEM/10% FBS (Gibco), and were authenticated on March 15, 2011 by short tandem repeat profiling using Sanger sequencing. LTED cells were generated upon long-term culture in phenol red-free IMEM/10% DCC-FBS (HyClone) as described (4).

**Cell Proliferation Assays**

Cells were treated with 10% DCC-FBS ± E2, 4-OH-T, fulvestrant, or PD-0332991 (gift from Pfizer) for 5 to 10 days before being trypsinized and counted using a Coulter counter, or fixed and stained with crystal violet. In siRNA transfection experiments, cells were reverse-transfected, then reseeded and treated as above.

**Statistical Analyses**

In the above assays, significant differences (P < 0.05) were determined by ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc tests (multiple testing-corrected).

**Gene Expression Analyses**

MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells were treated ± 1 μM fulvestrant for 48 hours. RNA was analyzed using gene expression arrays (GEO #GSE22533). Breast tumor gene expression data were obtained from GEO (GSE5462) and Array Express (E-MTAB-520).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

ChIP was done using MCF-7/LTED and HCC-1428/LTED cells, and primary human breast tumors. ChIP DNA was analyzed by high-throughput sequencing (GEO #GSE27300) and real-time PCR.

**RPPA Analysis**

Pre- and post-treatment primary tumor biopsies were obtained from 10 post-menopausal patients with operable ER+/HER2-negative breast cancer treated with letrozole (2.5 mg/d) for 10 to 21 days. The study was approved by the Vanderbilt University IRB (NCT00651976). Post-letrozole tumor lysates were analyzed by RPPA using antibodies against FANCD2 (Abcam) and CDK1 (Calbiochem).

**siRNA Library Screen**

MCF-7 and MCF-7/LTED cells were screened using the Dharmacon RTF Protein Kinase siRNA library.

**Mouse Xenograft Experiments**

Mouse xenograft experiments were approved by the Vanderbilt IACUC. Ovariectomized female athymic mice were implanted subcutaneously with a 14-day-release E2 pellet (0.17 mg; Innovative Research of America) and 0.5-1 x 10⁶ MCF-7 cells. After 15 to 26 days, mice bearing tumors > 100 mm³ were randomized to vehicle, PD-0332991 (100 mg/kg/d), or fulvestrant (5 mg/wk). In a separate experiment, tumor-bearing mice received vehicle, BKM120 (30 mg/kg/d), fulvestrant, or BKM120/fulvestrant. Tumor diameters were measured twice per week. General linear modeling was used to evaluate differences between treatment groups at each time point. Tumors were harvested and snap-frozen in liquid N₂ or fixed in 10% formalin prior to paraffin embedding and IHC.

**[18F]FDG-PET Imaging**

[18F]FDG-PET imaging was done before and 9 days after treatment with vehicle, BKM120 (60 mg/kg/d), or fulvestrant (5 mg on days 0 and 7). Further details are provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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