Reversing Resistance to Vascular-Disrupting Agents by Blocking Late Mobilization of Circulating Endothelial Progenitor Cells


Précis: Vascular-disrupting agents induce a late surge in circulating endothelial progenitor cells that can be blocked by antiangiogenic agents.

Kinetics of Inhibitor Cycling Underlie Therapeutic Disparities between EGFR-Driven Lung and Brain Cancers


Précis: The glioma-derived EGFRVIII mutant releases erlotinib more quickly than non-small cell lung cancer–derived EGFR-mutant alleles.

Differential Sensitivity of Glioma- versus Lung Cancer–Specific EGFR Mutations to EGFR Kinase Inhibitors


Précis: Glioma cells with extracellular domain EGFR mutations are selectively sensitive to type II EGFR inhibitors that stabilize the inactive kinase conformation.
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Vivanco and colleagues demonstrated that glioma-specific EGFR extracellular domain mutants were more sensitive to type II EGFR inhibitors (e.g., lapatinib) that stabilize an inactive kinase conformation than type I EGFR inhibitors (e.g., erlotinib) that target the active kinase conformation more commonly found in EGFR-mutant lung cancers. In a related article, Barkovich and colleagues found that the rapid release of erlotinib by glioma-specific EGFR mutants rendered them less sensitive to erlotinib than lung cancer–derived EGFR mutants. Together, these studies provide explanations for the limited success of first-generation EGFR inhibitors in treatment of EGFR-mutant gliomas and suggest alternative EGFR inhibition strategies may work best in these tumors. For details, please see the article by Vivanco and colleagues on page 458 and the article by Barkovich and colleagues on page 450.
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