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ABSTRACT

The DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) is a pivotal component of the DNA repair machinery that governs the response to DNA damage, serving to maintain genome integrity. However, the DNA-PK kinase component was initially isolated with transcriptional complexes, and recent findings have illuminated the impact of DNA-PK-mediated transcriptional regulation on tumor progression and therapeutic response. DNA-PK expression has also been correlated with poor outcome in selected tumor types, further underscoring the importance of understanding its role in disease. Herein, the molecular and cellular consequences of DNA-PK are considered, with an eye toward discerning the rationale for therapeutic targeting of DNA-PK.

Significance: Although DNA-PK is classically considered a component of damage response, recent findings illuminate damage-independent functions of DNA-PK that affect multiple tumor-associated pathways and provide a rationale for the development of novel therapeutic strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Over two decades ago, the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) was discovered and characterized as part of SP1 transcription complexes (1) and as a regulatory component of transcriptionally poised RNA polymerase II (RNAPII; ref. 2), but has been extensively studied with regard to function in DNA double-strand break repair. Recently, a plethora of studies unveiled critical functions of DNA-PK outside the DNA repair process, redefining the paradigm of DNA-PK activation and signaling. Although much remains to be understood about the cellular consequence of DNA-PK, studies to date have dramatic implications for the role of this kinase in tumor progression and therapeutic response.

One mainstay of cancer treatment is induction of irreparable DNA damage. DNA-PK, a serine/threonine protein kinase complex composed of a heterodimer of Ku proteins (Ku70/Ku80) and the catalytic subunit DNA-PKcs, is a critical component of the response to damage; as such, it is not surprising that DNA-PK correlates with decreased response to DNA-damaging agents and therapeutic resistance in multiple cancers (3–6). Further, the utilization of aptamer–shRNA chimeras identified DNA-PK as an ideal radiosensitization target in prostate cancer (7). Less expected, however, is that DNA-PK is correlated with poor prognosis independent of damage induction in numerous tumor types. DNA-PK is elevated in esophageal cancer tissues compared with adjacent normal mucosae (8), and high tumor/normal expression ratio of DNA-PKcs in non–small cell lung cancer is associated with a 2.13-fold increased risk of death (9). In addition, DNA-PKcs expression and activity are higher in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemias that are positive for mutations known to predict for short survival and chemoresistance (10). The overexpression of DNA-PK in cancer relative to normal tissue that is associated with poor prognosis has been suggested to result from the deregulation of transcription factors controlling gene expression (11), though other mechanisms, including gene amplification, may play a role. These findings, combined with evidence that DNA-PKcs is a potentially actionable therapeutic target (12, 13), have prompted the development of DNA-PK targeting strategies. Given the multitude of pathways that drive cancer cell survival and progression, understanding mechanisms of DNA-PK regulation and associated cellular consequences is critical to designing effective therapeutic regimens to suppress DNA-PK. Herein, the pleiotropic roles of DNA-PK in human tumorigenesis and progression are discussed.

DNA-PK IN DNA REPAIR

DNA-PKcs is a member of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase–related kinase (PIKK) family of protein kinases and is
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Of the six members of the PIKK family of kinases, three play prominent roles in the response to damage: DNA-PKcs and ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) function primarily in double-strand break repair, whereas ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR) is activated by single-strand breaks, and all three kinases share substrate homology (phosphorylation of S/TQ motifs; ref. 15). Not surprisingly, these important kinases have been implicated in human diseases, as germline mutations in ATM and ATR result in ataxia telangiectasia and Seckel syndrome, respectively (16, 17), and somatic mutations in ATM are frequently found in several tumor types (18). It is now also appreciated that germline mutations targeting the gene encoding DNA-PKcs (PRKDC) lead to SCID (19), and selected somatic mutations in PRKDC have been speculated to interfere with double-strand break repair and promote genomic instability (20). Identification of mutated DNA-PKcs, combined with the inverse correlations seen between DNA-PKcs levels and overall survival in human cancers, necessitates precise understanding of how DNA-PKcs functions both within and outside of the damage response to effectively design therapeutic strategies.

Ku70 and Ku80 (also called Ku86) are encoded by the XRCC6 and XRCC5 genes, respectively, in humans, and have a strong affinity for free ends of DNA (21). DNA-PKcs is a 469-kDa protein composed of several distinct functional domains (Fig. 1A); in addition to a highly conserved catalytic kinase domain, DNA-PKcs contains a large N-terminal domain containing mostly helical elements and HEAT (Huntingtin, Elongation Factor 3, PP2A, and TOR1) repeats along with the JK, PQR, and ABCDE phosphorylation clusters (groups of phosphorylation sites with important regulatory functions), and two additional regions conserved amongst PIKK family members: the FAT [named due to homology in this region between FAT, ATM, and transformation/transcription domain-associated protein (TRRAP)] domain and a short C-terminal region termed the FATC (FAT at the extreme C-terminus) domain (22). The FAT and FATC domains are involved in DNA double-strand break repair through both the NHEJ and HR pathways. FAT, C-Term, ATM, and TRRAP, FATC, FAT at the extreme C terminus, HEAT, Huntingtin, Elongation Factor 3, PP2A, and TOR1, NHEJ, homologous recombination, XRC4, XRCC4-like factor, LigIV, DNA ligase IV, MRN, MRE11–RAD50–NBS1; CtIP, CtBP-interacting protein.
surround the catalytic domain and serve to stabilize conformational changes to the catalytic core and regulate kinase activity (23). As the kinase activity is crucial for roles both within and beyond the damage response, determination of the structure of DNA-PKcs has been critical in understanding the regulation and function of the protein, most particularly as related to human malignancy.

Role in Nonhomologous End Joining

The most well-characterized function of DNA-PK is to mediate direct ligation of the broken ends of DNA double-strand breaks generated both endogenously (e.g., via production of reactive oxygen species during metabolism or via developmental V(DJ) recombination in the immune system) and exogenously (e.g., via radiomimetic drugs or ionizing radiation). Primarily, DNA-PK regulates repair of double-strand breaks through the nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) DNA double-strand break repair pathway (previously reviewed in depth in ref. 24), a concept supported by the SCID phenotype of DNA-PKcs knockout mice resulting from lack of V(D)J recombination during development (25). Briefly, NHEJ is initiated through the recognition and binding of broken DNA ends by the ring-shaped Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer, followed by recruitment of DNA-PKcs through its interaction with Ku and DNA (Fig. 1B, left). Recruitment of DNA-PKcs facilitates movement of the Ku heterodimer into the DNA duplex, allowing DNA-PKcs to serve as a tether for the broken DNA ends and prevent exonuclease degradation (26). Binding to DNA promotes activation of DNA-PKcs kinase activity, though the exact mechanism underlying this event remains poorly understood. Once activated, DNA-PKcs phosphorylates and alters the activity of proteins that mediate NHEJ, including Ku70, Ku80, Artemis, the X-ray cross-complementing protein 4 (XRCC4), the XRCC4-like factor (XLF), and DNA ligase IV (LgIV); however, none of these phosphorylation events are independently required for efficient NHEJ repair (27). Activated DNA-PKcs also phosphorylates Ser139 on histone variant H2AX (γH2AX) either directly or indirectly through AKT/GSK3β signaling (28), γH2AX, a well-known marker of DNA double-strand breaks (29), aids in the recruitment of repair factors to double-strand breaks (30) and coordination of signaling cascades required for efficient repair (31).

Perhaps the most important substrate of DNA-PK is the kinase subunit itself, as autophosphorylation is critical for the regulation of end processing, enzyme inactivation, and complex dissociation (32, 33). The most well-characterized autophosphorylation sites occur at Ser2056 (PQR cluster) and Thr2609 (ABCD cluster; refs. 27, 34). Studies suggest that phosphorylation of the ABCD cluster promotes access to DNA ends, whereas phosphorylation of the PQR cluster blocks end access (35). In addition, phosphorylation of both clusters affects dissociation of DNA-PKcs from DNA and subsequent loss of kinase activity, though additional yet-to-be-determined phosphorylation sites are likely also involved (36, 37). Although these posttranslational modifications are well understood, more than 40 phosphorylation sites have been identified in vitro (38), and the impact of each on DNA-PKcs function seems to be complex, leaving open the question of which sites are critical for tumor-associated activities. Similarly, the order of recruitment and function of both processing and ligation factors involved in NHEJ after binding of Ku remain poorly defined, and the process is likely more fluid and dynamic than strictly regimented. Still, it is apparent that DNA-PKcs activation is required for repair, as pharmacologic inhibition of DNA-PK kinase activity results in inefficient repair and hypersensitivity to double-strand break-inducing agents (12, 13). Thus, DNA-PKcs is required for direct ligation of broken DNA ends, rendering it a critical factor in NHEJ.

Role in Homologous Recombination

In addition to its role in NHEJ, DNA-PK has also been implicated in the second major DNA double-strand break repair pathway, homologous recombination (HR; Fig. 1B, right). Evidence for a definitive role for DNA-PK in alternative end-joining, a double-strand break repair pathway thought to function when NHEJ and HR fail, remains inconclusive. Although NHEJ is error-prone (because this class of repair does not use sequence homology and brings together broken ends of DNA, regardless of position or sequence) and occurs during all phases of the cell cycle, HR involves RAD51-mediated strand invasion into a homologous strand of DNA that is used as a template, resulting in accurate repair that occurs primarily during the G2-M phases of the cell cycle when undamaged sister chromatids are available (39). Outside of the obvious cell-cycle constraints, the mechanisms underlying the selection of HR or NHEJ for double-strand break repair remain incompletely defined. One factor identified to influence this choice is DNA end resection, as blunt, minimally processed DNA ends are repaired by NHEJ, whereas 3′ end resection is required for the loading of RAD51 in order for HR to occur (40). End resection is controlled by an interplay between 53BP1 and BRCA1, with 53BP1 supporting NHEJ by suppressing end resection, whereas BRCA1 promotes C-terminal binding protein (CtBP)–interacting protein (CtIP) and EXO1-mediated end resection through recruitment of the MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 (MRN) complex (41). MRE11 is particularly important in the initial response to damage, as the endonuclease and exonuclease activities of MRE11 sequentially mediate resection of the DNA end (42) and MRE11 promotes prosurvival AKT-Ser473 phosphorylation in response to double-strand breaks (43). Factors influencing the competition between 53BP1 and BRCA1 at double-strand breaks include spatial dynamics and regulation by cell-cycle–specific factors, though other mechanisms yet to be determined likely are also involved (44). Chromatin complexity likely also plays a role in the selection of a repair pathway, as HR repairs heterochromatin-associated double-strand breaks during the G2 phase of the cell cycle (45).

It has been postulated that NHEJ serves as the default pathway of double-strand break repair, with HR occurring only when NHEJ fails (46). This supposition is supported, in part, by the observation that Ku70, Ku80, and DNA-PKcs are abundant in mammalian cells (27), whereas HR factors are expressed to a lesser degree and in a cell-cycle–specific manner (47). Moreover, Ku is recruited within minutes to sites of damage and NHEJ can be completed in approximately 30 minutes, whereas RAD51 foci form approximately 2 hours after damage induction and HR takes at least 7 hours to complete (48). Although NHEJ has been demonstrated to
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be the dominant mechanism of double-strand break repair, a functional HR pathway is critical for viability (48), suggesting a mechanism by which HR can be activated even if NHEJ machinery is targeted to damage first. Initial attempts to address the question about whether there is a direct role for DNA-PKcs in promoting HR failed to definitively determine whether it is the kinase activity of DNA-PKcs or simply the failure of NHEJ that promotes HR (27, 49). Additional studies identified the JK cluster (Thr946 and Ser1004) and Thr3950 as DNA-PKcs phosphorylation sites that specifically promote HR while inhibiting NHEJ, thus providing a mechanism to protect certain DNA ends from repair by NHEJ (50). Although evidence suggests that phosphorylation of Thr3950 is an autophosphorylation event (35), the impact of different protein kinases on these regulatory sites remains under investigation (27). In sum, these findings clearly define a function for DNA-PKcs outside of its classically defined role in NHEJ. Consonantly, it is increasingly appreciated that DNA-PKcs functions in a variety of capacities outside of NHEJ (51). These novel functions of DNA-PKcs and mechanisms of regulation illustrate the versatility of the kinase and identify DNA-PK as a potential therapeutic target for a variety of human malignancies.

REGULATION OF DNA-PK ACTIVITY

Given the critical role of DNA-PK in both NHEJ and HR, recent emphasis has been placed on identifying the mechanisms that control DNA-PKcs kinase activity. In addition to autoregulation, both kinase and nonkinase factors have been implicated as key modulators of DNA-PKcs activity in human cells.

Autoregulation

Although it is well accepted that Ku-mediated binding of DNA-PKcs to broken DNA ends results in DNA-PKcs activation, the precise mechanism underlying the activation event remains unknown. Several recent reports provide insight into the mechanism and identify Ku-independent factors that modulate DNA-PKcs activity (Fig. 2). Contrary to the paradigm that binding to DNA is a requirement for DNA-PKcs activation, it was demonstrated that DNA-PKcs autophosphorylation can be modulated by N-terminal conformational changes in the protein (such as that likely caused by DNA binding; ref. 52). This supposition was further supported by findings that revealed that the N-terminus (but not the C-terminus) is required for binding to the Ku–DNA complex and robust DNA-PKcs activity (53). These collective studies support the concept that the N-terminus of DNA-PKcs is crucial for both targeting to Ku-bound DNA, and for conformational change-induced enzymatic activity.

Cancer-Associated Survival Factors

Consistent with a protumorigenic role for DNA-PK, a number of canonical prosurvival signaling pathways have been shown to regulate DNA-PKcs kinase activity. The serine/threonine kinase AKT has been linked to NHEJ (54), and a recent report revealed that AKT1 forms a complex with
DNA-PKcs as an initiating step in repair after IR. AKT1 promotes DNA-PKcs autophosphorylation and was critical for recruitment of the AKT1–DNA-PKcs complex to broken DNA ends (55). In addition, EGFR can bind DNA-PKcs and enhance DNA-PKcs activity in response to damage (56). As AKT can be activated by EGFR ligands (57), coordinated regulation between EGFR and AKT may be important for full DNA-PKcs activation in response to damage, though this putative pathway requires more rigorous depth of investigation. Further, as DNA-PKcs activity results in AKT-Ser473 phosphorylation in response to damage in a cell line-specific context (58), there seems to be a positive feedback loop involving DNA-PKcs and AKT that may play a role in the response to DNA damage. Despite these intriguing findings, DNA-PKcs activation does not seem to be a general response to prosurvival signaling, as inhibition of MEK or knockdown of ERK1 or ERK2 results in enhanced etoposide-induced activation of DNA-PKcs and increased double-strand break repair, whereas constitutively active ERK reduced DNA-PKcs activation and subsequent double-strand break repair (59). Thus, DNA-PKcs activation is selectively regulated by factors involved in multiple prosurvival signaling pathways, which may serve as proximal effectors of the damage response and alter tumor cell behavior.

Casein Kinase II

Kinases linked to enhanced cell-cycle progression may also alter DNA-PKcs activation, including casein kinase II (CK2), a constitutively active serine/threonine kinase known to play a role in cancer-associated processes. CK2 was shown to interact with DNA-PKcs, and this interaction increases after DNA damage. Concomitantly, depletion of CK2 inhibits both DNA damage–induced DNA-PKcs Ser2056 autophosphorylation and double-strand break repair in DNA-PKcs–proficient cells (60), suggesting that CK2 plays a pivotal role in DNA-PKcs activation. The mechanism was recently identified wherein CK2 was shown to colocalize with γH2AX at sites of DNA damage and likely stabilized the interaction between DNA-PKcs and Ku80 at broken DNA ends, resulting in enhanced DNA-PKcs activation in response to double-strand breaks (61). Notably, CK2 depletion results in induction of autophagy, decreased phosphorylation of S6 and AKT kinases, and increased phosphorylation and activity of ERK1/2 (62); because AKT positively contributes to DNA-PKcs activation whereas MEK–ERK has the opposite effect, the data suggest that a coordinated mechanism of regulation between CK2 and the AKT and MEK–ERK signaling pathways may influence DNA-PKcs activation.

Nonkinase Modulators

Nonkinase factors with roles in malignancy have also been identified as modulators of DNA-PKcs. Protein phosphatase 6 (PP6) is recruited to sites of damage and contributes to DNA-PKcs activation through direct interaction (63). PP6 also influences the response to damage by dephosphorylating Ser139 of γH2AX, resulting in dissolution of IR-induced γH2AX foci and release of cells from damage response–associated checkpoints (64). However, it was only recently determined that the regulatory subunit SAPSR1 (R1) of PP6 facilitates a bidentate mechanism of binding to DNA-PK that is necessary for the interaction with PP6 (65). Thus, R1 influences DNA-PKcs activation by bridging PP6 and DNA-PK in response to damage.

Finally, the RNA-binding protein nuclear factor 90 (NF90) was recently shown to modulate DNA-PKcs activity. NF90 exists in a heterodimeric complex with NF45 and has been implicated in the regulation of gene expression (66). Surprisingly, immunodepletion or RNA digestion of NF90/NF45 reduced DNA end joining to a similar extent as DNA-PKcs immunodepletion, and NF90/NF45 depletion caused increased sensitivity to IR and elevated levels of γH2AX foci (67). Though this does not directly implicate NF90/NF45 in DNA-PKcs activation, these findings do suggest that the NF90/NF45 complex may regulate DNA-PKcs–mediated DNA-damage repair. Combined, all of these observations indicate that DNA-PKcs activation is not merely the result of binding to broken DNA ends; rather, DNA-PKcs activation seems to be a complex process influenced by a variety of pro-tumorigenic factors, suggesting that cancer cells may select for enhanced DNA-PKcs activity. Clearly, additional studies are required for a complete understanding of the mechanisms governing DNA-PKcs activation and consequent downstream cellular effects of DNA-PKcs.

DNA-PK: CELLULAR CONSEQUENCES

As the mechanisms regulating DNA-PKcs activation continue to be characterized, it is apparent that in addition to DNA double-strand break repair, DNA-PKcs influences a variety of critical cellular processes associated with malignancy (Fig. 3). Specifically, genomic instability, hypoxia, metabolism, and inflammatory response are all affected by DNA-PKcs activity, and likely promote DNA-PKcs–associated tumor phenotypes.

Genomic Stability

A process tightly associated with the response to DNA damage is maintenance of genomic stability. Primarily, the cellular response to damage is checkpoint activation, which serves to maintain genomic stability and protect against gross genomic rearrangements (68). However, the deregulation of cell-cycle checkpoints in the presence of incomplete or inaccurate repair results in inappropriate cell proliferation and survival, and failure to efficiently repair damage promotes errors in DNA replication and chromosome segregation (69). As NHEJ consists of indiscriminately ligating together broken DNA ends, this repair process can result in loss of nucleotides from the DNA strand. Further, as sequence homology is not required, direct ligation of double-strand DNA breaks can promote deleterious rearrangements and chromosomal translocations at sites where multiple double-strand breaks exist in close proximity, resulting in genomic instability. At a basic level, DNA-PKcs influences maintenance of genomic stability through its indispensable role in the error-prone NHEJ pathway. However, recent reports indicate that DNA-PKcs may directly affect genomic stability through additional means.

One mechanism by which DNA-PK affects genomic stability is through interaction with factors known to promote cancer progression. Snail1 is an E-box binding transcription factor known to promote epithelial–mesenchymal transition.
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A recent report implicated interaction between Snail1 and DNA-PKcs as a driver of genomic instability and aggressive tumor characteristics (71). DNA-PKcs was shown to interact with and phosphorylate Snail1 in response to damage, an event that stabilized Snail1 and reciprocally inhibited DNA-PKcs activity. Further, Snail1 overexpression increased chromosomal aberrations in both the absence and presence of damage and increased survival after damage, effects that were not observed in cell lines lacking DNA-PKcs. Combined, these findings suggest that DNA-PKcs plays a crucial role in Snail1-mediated genomic instability and resistance to genotoxic agents, possibly through direct interaction and reciprocal regulation between the two proteins.

DNA-PKcs also functions in chromosomal integrity during transition through mitosis (72). DNA-PKcs inhibition resulted in dysfunctional chromosome alignment, suggesting that DNA-PKcs activation is a critical regulator of microtubule dynamics during normal cell-cycle progression through mitosis. Interestingly, a contrasting role for DNA-PKcs in the regulation of mitotic entry during replication stress was identified. Interruption of replication machinery through a variety of mechanisms results in accumulation of single-strand DNA bound by replication protein A (RPA), which triggers ATR activation and phosphorylation of the RPA32 subunit of RPA, resulting in checkpoint activation and cell-cycle arrest (73). Mutation in RPA32 phosphorylation sites targeted by DNA-PKcs resulted in decreased ATR signaling and an increased proportion of cells in mitosis due to a G2-M arrest defect. Further, cells with mutant RPA32 or DNA-PKcs demonstrated an inability to repair damage and inappropriate entry into mitosis in response to stress, revealing a critical role for DNA-PKcs in regulating mitotic entry in the presence of genotoxic stress.

Figure 3. DNA-PKcs modulates tumor-associated cellular pathways. Ac, acetylation; RPA, replication protein A; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; CAC, citric acid cycle; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation.
of damage (74). Thus, DNA-PKcs differentially regulates entry into and progression through mitosis dependent on the presence or absence of damage, defining a role for DNA-PKcs in maintaining chromosomal integrity and preventing genomic instability. In sum, although DNA-PKcs guards against chromosomal aberrations in both the presence and the absence of damage, this complex may contribute to genomic instability through interaction with Snail1, underscoring the multifaceted nature of DNA-PKcs activity. Perhaps these contrasting roles also reflect alterations in DNA-PKcs function in diseases, including cancer, as the protective role may be lost and damaging role gained as a function of tumor progression.

Hypoxia

Although mechanisms of activation in response to damage and maintenance of genomic stability are often considered parallel pathways, it has become evident that DNA-PK functions outside of the classical DNA-damage response mechanisms. Hypoxia is a frequent physiologic occurrence, and hypoxic response plays a critical role in human cell biology and disease, particularly in cancer where solid tumors consume high amounts of oxygen despite inadequate vascularization. One critical regulator of the cellular response to hypoxia is hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF1α), a transcription factor linked to numerous cancers that activates a number of oxygen-related genes required for adaptation to hypoxic conditions after induction by low oxygenation (75). DNA-PKcs was found to be activated by hypoxia, independent of DNA double-strand breaks, but dependent on histone acetylation, which is increased in hypoxic cells. DNA-PKcs positively regulated HIF1α, resulting in the modulation of oxygen-sensing and response pathways (76). Moreover, hypoxia-induced H2AX foci formation was delayed by depletion of HIF1α or HIF2α, though the factor directly responsible for phosphorylating H2AX was not investigated (77). Combined, these studies present the novel concept that coordinated regulation between DNA-PKcs and the HIF family of transcription factors may influence cellular response to oxygen depletion.

DNA-PK activation by hypoxia also affects cellular responses independently of HIF. Another factor recently implicated in hypoxic response is RPA70, a subunit of RPA (discussed above), which has been shown to increase DNA repair in cancer cells (78). RPA70 is normally bound to the tumor suppressor p53, but this interaction is disrupted by hypoxia. A recent report demonstrated that DNA-PK phosphorylates p53 on Ser15, a crucial event in dissociating RPA70 from p53 (79). RPA70 silencing resulted in increased apoptosis in resistant hypoxic cancer cells, revealing that DNA-PK phosphorylation of p53 results in p53–RPA70 dissociation and RPA70-mediated resistance to apoptosis under hypoxic conditions. In sum, these studies demonstrate that DNA-PK activation by histone acetylation during hypoxia influences cellular survival through both HIF-dependent and HIF-independent mechanisms.

Metabolism

A highly regulated and coordinated metabolic network of enzyme-catalyzed reactions exists in all living organisms to efficiently manage constant energy needs. Given the increasingly appreciated dynamic role of DNA-PK in cellular processes, it is not surprising that DNA-PK influences such a critical pathway. Although DNA-PK has been implicated in the transcriptional regulation of genes involved in lipogenesis (80), a recent report defines a general role for DNA-PK in metabolic regulation. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is an energy sensor that acts as a metabolic master switch influencing numerous processes (81). DNA-PKs interacts with the regulatory α1 subunit of AMPK, and glucose-deprivation–stimulated phosphorylation of AMPK is decreased in DNA-PKcs–deficient cells (82). Further, DNA-PKcs inhibition or deletion resulted in reduced AMPK phosphorylation and activation in response to glucose deprivation, suggesting that DNA-PKcs positively regulates AMPK phosphorylation and activation in glucose-deprived conditions. As AMPK is a major factor in the cellular response to fluctuating energy levels, this finding reveals a significant role for DNA-PKcs in metabolic regulation, particularly in the high-energy need tumor environment.

Innate Immunity/Inflammatory Response

The innate immune system is a first line of defense against pathogens, protecting a host from infection in a nonspecific way. The initial step in the innate immune response is detection of pathogen-specific antigens (particularly viral nucleic acids), and recognition of single- and double-stranded RNA substrates leads to transcriptions activation of antiviral genes mediated by NF-κB and interferon regulatory factor (IRF) families of transcription factors (83). Although DNA-PK has previously been demonstrated to be critical for NF-κB–mediated expression of VCAM-1 in response to treatment with the cytokine TNF (84), a novel role for DNA-PK in activation of innate immunity and inflammation response independent of NF-κB was revealed in studies wherein DNA from various pathogens was found to be bound by DNA-PK, resulting in IRF–3–mediated transcription of multiple cytokine and chemokine genes independent of DNA-PKcs kinase activity (85). DNA-PKcs depletion produced diminished cytokine responses to DNA and DNA viruses but not to RNA or RNA virus infection, supporting the concept that DNA-PKcs recognition of foreign DNA is crucial. Further investigation revealed that stimulator of interferon genes (STING) interacts with the DNA-PK complex but is dissociated after introduction of DNA, suggesting that STING is released and able to activate IRF–3–mediated transcription after binding of DNA-PKcs to DNA. These unexpected findings reveal a critical role for DNA-PK in binding foreign DNA and activating the innate immune system and subsequent inflammatory response, and point to a role for DNA-PK in potentially affecting tumor-associated inflammation.

Thus, DNA-PK modulates numerous important cellular processes, as the protein both guards against and promotes genomic stability in a context-dependent fashion, regulates hypoxic response through HIF-dependent and HIF-independent mechanisms, affects metabolism through interaction with AMPK, and activates the innate immune system in response to foreign DNA. Strikingly, however, a major function of DNA-PK in human disease is the ability to regulate gene expression.
Beyond DNA Repair: DNA-PK Function in Cancer

REVIEW

DNA-PK AS A TRANSCRIPTIONAL MODULATOR

Before characterization of the damage response role, DNA-PK was originally identified in complex with and shown to phosphorylate the transcription factor SP1 (1), along with being identified as a regulatory component of transcriptionally poised RNAPII (2). Further, it has been reported that activation of transcription results in induction of double-strand breaks and recruitment of damage response machinery (including DNA-PK; ref. 86), suggesting interaction between transcriptional networks and DNA-PK. Given the ability of Ku and DNA-PKcs to bind DNA double-strand ends with high affinity, the context of binding (i.e., end binding vs. transcription-specific binding) should be considered and studies based on binding to double-strand DNA interpreted with some degree of caution. Still, it is now clear that DNA-PK directly affects transcriptional regulation, interacting with both the transcriptional machinery and transcription factors that alter cellular outcomes (Fig. 4). Given that transcription is perhaps the most fundamental mechanism underlying cellular behavior and fate, the role of DNA-PK in regulating this process seems to have critical implications for cancer cell behavior and disease progression.

Basal Transcriptional Machinery

RNAPII, in complex with a set of general transcription factors and regulatory proteins, is recruited to promoter regions of DNA where it catalyzes transcription of RNA. It is generally appreciated that processively elongating RNAPII contains a hyperphosphorylated carboxy-terminal domain (CTD), whereas paused RNAPII possesses a hypophosphorylated CTD (87). Previous observations have reported that DNA-PKcs phosphorylates the RNAPII CTD and that DNA-PKcs and Ku are components of the RNAPII holoenzyme (2, 88). Although the

Figure 4. DNA-PKcs regulates transcription through interaction with multiple factors in a variety of contexts. AIRE, autoimmune regulator; AR, androgen receptor.
mechanism and functional consequence of DNA-PK communication with RNAPII have yet to be fully elucidated, two major lines of investigation found an interaction between DNA-PK and RNAPII that implicates DNA-PK as a transcriptional regulator. First, DNA-PK functionally interacts with RNAPII during human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) transcription. A recent study demonstrated that DNA-PK modulates HIV gene expression and interacts with the RNAPII complex at the HIV long terminal repeat (LTR), identical sequences used by viruses to insert genetic material into host genomes (89). DNA-PK is recruited to and forms a complex with RNAPII at both the promoter and downstream regions of the HIV LTR, resulting in phosphorylation of specific residues on the RNAPII CTD. Knockdown of DNA-PKcs resulted in decreased HIV gene expression and replication, suggesting that DNA-PKcs plays an important role in both processes. Further, analysis of interplay between DNA-PK and Tat, a protein crucial for efficient HIV transcriptional elongation previously reported to interact with DNA-PK, revealed that DNA-PKcs–mediated phosphorylation of Tat is required for full HIV LTR promoter transactivation. Thus, DNA-PKcs regulates transcription and replication of HIV directly through phosphorylation of the CTD of RNAPII and indirectly through phosphorylation of Tat, a factor critical for efficient transcriptional elongation. These findings provide a rationale for the treatment of HIV through DNA-PK inhibition, a concept that should be more thoroughly explored in future studies.

A more recent study revealed a role for DNA-PK in modulating RNAPII transcriptional activity at sites of broken DNA within coding regions of genes. Previous reports demonstrated that double-strand breaks transiently repress RNAPII and RNAPII transcriptional machinery, and that breaks upstream of the promoter of an RNAPII reporter gene inhibited transcription elongation dependent on ATM signaling (90). However, the impact of a single double-strand break encountered during transcriptional elongation on RNAPII was not addressed. Introduction of a single double-strand break by a site-specific meganuclease resulted in inhibition of transcription elongation followed by repression (91). Surprisingly, transcription inhibition was dependent on DNA-PKcs and not ATM, as RNAPII remained associated with the promoter and body of the genes being transcribed after inhibition of DNA-PKcs (but not ATM) activity. DNA-PKcs-dependent RNAPII removal was shown to require the proteasome, as treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 or knockdown of a core subunit of the 19S proteasome complex (PSMD4) rescued transcriptional arrest. Combined, these results suggest that DNA-PKcs is required for eviction of RNAPII from DNA during elongation upon encountering a DNA lesion through a process that likely involves proteasome-mediated destabilization of the RNAPII complex. This defines an interaction between DNA-PKcs and RNAPII in a more general context, and demonstrates that DNA-PKcs may directly modulate RNAPII-mediated transcription in a variety of environments, including tumor-driven transcriptional networks.

Immune-Related Transcriptional Networks

One important transcription factor regulated by DNA-PK is autoimmune regulator (AIRE), a transcriptional activator that mediates central tolerance in the thymus by activating expression of tissue-restricted antigen (TRA) genes (92). Recruitment of AIRE to its transcriptional targets is dependent on DNA-PKcs, as assembly and activity of AIRE on DNA were abrogated in cells lacking DNA-PKcs (93). Tethering of AIRE to DNA restored transcriptional activity in the absence of DNA-PKcs, suggesting that DNA-PKcs functions largely to direct AIRE to sites of transcriptional activation. An additional study using AIRE-deficient cells stably transfected with AIRE demonstrated that DNA-PKcs depletion resulted in diminished transcriptional activity at promoters and decreased expression of toll-like receptor (TLR) 1, TLR3, and TLR8, receptors known to play roles in the innate immune system (94). As DNA-PKcs and AIRE were also demonstrated to directly interact, these findings suggest that DNA-PKcs regulates AIRE-mediated expression of TLRs. Combined, these studies reveal that DNA-PKcs is a critical modulator of the innate immune system through the regulation of AIRE-mediated transcriptional networks.

Tumor Suppressors

DNA-PK has also been implicated directly in cancer-associated transcriptional regulation, exemplified by influence on the p53 tumor suppressor. In general, p53-mediated activation of cell-cycle arrest is dependent on expression and activation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor CDKN1A (p21Cip1), whereas apoptosis is mediated by expression and activation of proapoptotic genes, including BAX and p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA). Analysis of the mechanism underlying p53-mediated expression of p21Cip1 revealed recruitment of DNA-PKcs and interaction with p53 at the CDKN1A promoter after treatments that promoted apoptosis but not after treatments that promoted cell-cycle arrest (95). Inhibition of DNA-PKcs kinase activity blocked DNA-PKcs binding to p53 on the CDKN1A promoter, resulting in increased CDKN1A transcription and reduction in cell death after prodeath treatments. In sum, these findings demonstrated that DNA-PKcs negatively regulates p21Cip1 expression through the modulation of p53 at the CDKN1A promoter, resulting in induction of apoptosis after prodeath treatments. Interestingly, DNA-PKcs recruitment to the BAX or PUMA promoters after prodeath treatments was negligible relative to recruitment to the CDKN1A promoter, revealing that DNA-PKcs regulation of p53-mediated induction of apoptosis is specific to certain genes. This specific recruitment of DNA-PKcs to negatively regulated p53 target gene promoters suggests that DNA-PKcs regulation of p53 targets occurs only when transcription is repressed, though the coregulation between DNA-PKcs and p53 is likely complex and warrants further investigation. Further, the negative regulation of p21Cip1 suggests that DNA-PKcs may coordinate expression of cell-cycle machinery to damage response in conditions that promote cell-cycle arrest and repair, though the DNA-PKcs–p53 connection is likely not a factor in G1 cell-cycle arrest, as DNA-PKcs–deficient cells do not exhibit a defective G1 arrest (96).

Complementing these findings, it has been suggested that the DNA-PK regulation of p53 transcriptional activity may also be dependent on histone modifications at target genes. Linker histone H1 regulates chromatin competency through binding to linker DNA (97). One isoform, linker histone...
H1.2, inhibits p53-dependent transcription through alterations in chromatin remodeling, and detailed investigation revealed that p300-mediated p53 acetylation in combination with DNA-PK phosphorylation of histone H1.2 at T146 relieved H1.2 binding to p53, resulting in activation of p53 transcriptional activity (98). Combined, these studies demonstrate that DNA-PK modulates p53-mediated transcription through both direct interaction with p53 at target genes and phosphorylation of histones that relieve repressive interactions between p53 and chromatin. Thus, although the mechanisms are likely complex and context dependent, DNA-PK plays a role in the regulation of p53-mediated transcription in response to damage.

**Hormone Receptors and Hormone-Dependent Cancers**

The class I nuclear hormone receptor superfamily is a group of related transcription factors, many of which are affected by DNA-PK. Reports from over 15 years ago first demonstrated interaction between DNA-PK and hormone receptors, as DNA-PK was shown to phosphorylate both the rat glucocorticoid receptor and the chicken progesterone receptor, the latter of which affected transcriptional activation of the receptor (99, 100). However, only recently have new findings clearly defined a role for DNA-PK in the transcriptional regulation of hormone receptors in human cells and demonstrated consequence for human malignancy.

The androgen receptor (AR) is a hormone receptor whose activity drives growth, survival, and progression of prostatic adenocarcinoma across all stages of disease. A recent study demonstrated that AR is activated in response to genotoxic insult, driving expression of multiple genes critical for damage repair, including DNA-PKCs (101). AR activation was also shown to positively regulate DNA-PKCs activity, possibly through the modulation of Ku70 levels. DNA-PKCs depletion or inhibition resulted in inability to repair damage and decreased overall survival in response to genotoxic insult, revealing that DNA-PKCs expression and activity are critical for efficient AR-mediated repair after damage. Further, DNA-PKCs were determined to be a coactivator of AR transcriptional activity, as DNA-PKCs depletion resulted in a significant decrease in expression of multiple AR target genes. Combined, these findings suggest that DNA-PKCs enhances AR transcriptional activation and that AR-driven DNA-PKCs expression and activity are required for AR-mediated DNA repair, resulting in a positive feedback circuit that drives survival after damage.

The concept that DNA-PKCs modulates transcriptional activation in prostatic adenocarcinoma is supported by findings that identified a role for DNA-PKCs in the regulation of the ETS family of transcription factors, which are aberrantly expressed in a number of cancers. Notably, many prostate cancers exhibit gene rearrangements fusing AR target genes to ETS transcription factors, which result in elevated levels of ETS proteins driven by AR activity (102). ETS factors induce a transcriptional program enriched for invasion-associated genes, and overexpression of ETS gene fusion proteins may contribute to cancer progression (103, 104). ERG, the most frequently overexpressed ETS protein, was shown to interact with DNA-PKCs, and ERG-mediated transcription and consequent cell invasion, intravasation, and metastasis required DNA-PKCs and PARP1 (105). Functional association between DNA-PKCs and PARP1 is supported by studies reporting direct interaction between DNA-PKCs and PARP1 (106) and cooperation between DNA-PKCs and PARP1 to inhibit rRNA synthesis after DNA damage (107). Thus, in addition to modulating AR transcriptional activity, DNA-PKCs cooperates with PARP1 to regulate ERα-mediated transcription, clearly defining DNA-PKCs as a regulator of transcription in prostatic adenocarcinoma. Further, PARP1 has also been shown to be critical for AR transcriptional function and maintenance of AR-dependent cancer phenotypes, including tumor progression (108). Combined, these findings suggest a model of coordinated regulation between AR, DNA-PKCs, and PARP1 that drives transcriptional networks in prostatic adenocarcinoma.

Estrogen exerts its effects through estrogen receptor alpha and beta (ERα and ERβ), which differentially affect proliferation. ERα controls transcriptional programs driving cell-cycle progression and proliferation and has been implicated in the development and progression of disorders, including breast cancer and cardiovascular disease. Within the last several years, it was demonstrated that DNA-PK regulates ERα transcriptional activity. Initial findings demonstrated that in response to estrogen stimulation, DNA-PK interacts with and phosphorylates ERα at Ser118, resulting in stabilization of the ERα protein. Depletion of DNA-PKCs resulted in decreased ERα transcriptional activation, suggesting that ERα-mediated transcription is at least partially dependent on DNA-PKCs (109). In addition, a second report discovered two ERα-binding sites in a region upstream of the DNA-PKCs transcriptional initiation site (110). Estrogen-mediated ERα activation resulted in enhanced PRKDC promoter activity and increased levels of DNA-PKCs, whereas ERα inhibition or knockdown reduced DNA-PKCs expression, demonstrating that activated ERα transcriptionally regulates PRKDC levels. Combined, these studies reveal that DNA-PKCs modulates ERα transcription and define a circuit of regulation between ERα and DNA-PKCs, similar to that seen with AR and DNA-PKCs.

Most recently, cell-free biotin-estrogen response element (ERE) pulldown assays further characterized the mechanism underlying DNA-PKCs influence on ERα transcriptional activity, revealing that DNA-PKCs are responsible for recruitment of critical cofactors to regulatory regions of ERα target genes (111). The addition of ATP to initially stable ERα coregulator complexes resulted in immediate dismissal of five coregulators, including the corepressors RIP140 and the CtBPs, and phosphorylation of multiple coregulators, including transcriptionally activating sites on SRC-3, ERα, and MED1. DNA-PKCs depletion or inhibition prevented both dismissal of RIP140 and phosphorylation of the transcriptionally activating sites on SRC-3, MED1, and ERα, and similar complex formation was seen at a naturally occurring ERE-containing enhancer. Thus, these findings build on previous studies reporting interaction between DNA-PKCs and ERα and provide mechanistic insight into how DNA-PKCs regulate ERα-mediated transcription, suggesting that DNA-PKCs phosphorylate SRC-3 and MED-1 for activation and RIP140 for dismissal from coregulator complexes.
TARGETING DNA-PK IN CANCER

Identification of defined roles for DNA-PK in tumor-associated processes, including genomic stability, hypoxia, metabolism, inflammatory response, and transcription, supports targeting DNA-PK as a therapeutic intervention in human malignancy. The therapeutic efficacy of targeting DNA-PK has classically been gauged through potential to prevent repair of damage, given the importance of DNA-PK activity in NHEJ. Consistent with this concept, synthetic lethal interactions have been identified between DNA-PKcs and multiple damage response factors, including ATM, MSH3, and numerous components of HR-mediated repair (112–114), suggesting that DNA-PK inhibitors may show efficacy as targeted agents for treatment of damage repair-defective human cancers. Although many of the original DNA-PK inhibitors suffered from lack of specificity and poor pharmacokinetics, more recently developed inhibitors, including NU7026 and NU7441, demonstrate improved specificity and pharmaceutical properties and have been used preclinically to explore the impact of DNA-PK inhibition in multiple tumor types (115). However, recent evidence implicating DNA-PK in pathways outside of NHEJ may help define novel strategies of therapeutic intervention, a concept supported by the reality that all of the agents targeting DNA-PK currently in clinical trials reflect the dynamic ability of DNA-PK to impinge on multiple pathways. CC-122 is a DNA-PK inhibitor in phase I clinical trial (NCT01421524) for solid tumors, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and multiple myeloma. CC-122 is described as a pleiotropic pathway modifier, echoing the ability of DNA-PK to modulate numerous pathways outside of double-strand break repair. The two additional DNA-PK-targeting molecules currently in clinical trials target both DNA-PK and the PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway. CC-115 is a dual inhibitor of DNA-PK and mTOR, and is currently in phase I trial (NCT01353625) for advanced solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, whereas ZSTK474 is a PI3K inhibitor that also inhibits DNA-PK and is currently in phase I trials (NCT01280487 and NCT01682473) for advanced solid malignancies. As the only DNA-PK–targeting compounds currently in clinical trials impinge on pathways outside of DNA-PK–mediated damage repair, it will be important to consider novel DNA-PK-regulated pathways when designing therapeutic strategies. For example, as a positive feedback circuit involving AR and DNA-PK drives prostatic adenocarcinoma progression and survival, next-generation means of targeting AR activity in combination with a DNA-PK inhibitor may represent a novel node of therapeutic intervention in advanced prostatic adenocarcinoma. Though directly targeting cancer tissue is always important to consider with double-strand break–inducing agents to avoid reduction of the therapeutic ratio, such combination strategies could afford new opportunities for precision therapies because DNA-PK seems to affect multiple tumor types.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Findings discussed herein illustrate that DNA-PK has dual functions in both DNA repair and gene regulation that promote tumor cell survival and progression, both in the presence and absence of genomic damage. These discoveries shed light on the potential mechanisms underlying DNA-PK–associated tumor phenotypes and poor outcomes associated with high DNA-PK expression. Key questions remain, which will facilitate further understanding of DNA-PK–mediated tumor progression and illuminate the overall consequence of targeting this kinase in human malignancy. First, precisely how is DNA-PKcs activated, and is the mechanism of activation altered in the context of cancer? Although autophosphorylation of multiple sites denotes activation, the factors and events preceding the phosphorylation event are incompletely defined. Recent findings suggest that factors other than the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer and DNA ends may assist in activation, and the factors influencing DNA-PKcs activation may be context dependent. Discovery and understanding of how and when different factors affect DNA-PKcs activation will provide insight into how DNA-PKcs affects disease progression and identify new therapeutic targets. Second, what dictates the specificity of DNA-PKcs–mediated transcriptional regulation in cancer cells? DNA-PKcs has been defined as a transcriptional modulator in multiple contexts, but the global impact of DNA-PKcs on transcription has yet to be considered. Discovery of gene expression networks affected by DNA-PKcs and understanding of specific cofactors involved will identify how DNA-PKcs damage-independent activation drives tumor survival. Although recent studies have contributed some understanding to this issue, global discernment of the transcriptional function of DNA-PKcs in addition to the damage-response function will provide a disease-specific insight into progression. Third, which functions of DNA-PKcs should be considered when designing therapeutic strategies? Although the roles of DNA-PKcs in damage repair and genomic stability are currently integrated into therapeutic tactics, the transcriptional roles of DNA-PKcs have not been well considered when designing preclinical studies and clinical trials. Further, although the DNA-PK inhibitors entering clinical trials for cancer are known to inhibit the kinase activity of the protein, little is known about kinase-independent functions of DNA-PKcs that may affect therapeutic efficacy in targeting malignancy. Finally, does the function of DNA-PKcs change during cancer progression? One hallmark of the progression of cancer (and other diseases) is the alteration of signaling and regulatory pathways. Although DNA-PKcs is generally considered a tumor suppressor under normal growth conditions, it acts more as a tumor promoter in cancerous cells, aiding in resistance to genotoxic therapeutics. Further, the effects of DNA-PKcs mutations recently identified in numerous cancer types should be determined in the contexts of transcriptional regulation and cancer progression. It will be critical to ascertain whether modulators and/or substrates of DNA-PKcs change as cancers progress and mutate, particularly when designing therapeutic strategies aimed at specific stages of disease.

The continual discovery of novel means of regulation and roles of DNA-PK suggests that despite recent advances, thorough understanding of the cellular responsibilities of DNA-PK has yet to be realized. Additional studies about context-dependent mechanisms of activation, global impact on transcription, kinase-independent functions, and alteration of function and regulation during cancer development and progression are needed to further characterize the function of DNA-PK, as each new advance may define a novel therapeutic
strategy in any number of cancer types. Although DNA-PK is certainly a critical component of the cellular response to DNA damage, it is clear that DNA-PK exerts pleiotropic cellular roles that yield major impact on human malignancies.
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