A Melanoma Cell State Distinction Influences Sensitivity to MAPK Pathway Inhibitors
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Most melanomas harbor oncogenic BRAF\textsuperscript{V600} mutations, which constitutively activate the MAPK pathway. Although MAPK pathway inhibitors show clinical benefit in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanoma, it remains incompletely understood why 10% to 20% of patients fail to respond. Here, we show that RAF inhibitor-sensitive and inhibitor-resistant BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanosmas display distinct transcriptional profiles. Whereas most drug-sensitive cell lines and patient biopsies showed high expression and activity of the melanocytic lineage transcription factor MITF, intrinsically resistant cell lines and biopsies displayed low MITF expression but higher levels of NF-κB signaling and the receptor tyrosine kinase AXL. In vitro, these MITF-low/NF-κB-high melanomas were resistant to inhibition of RAF and MEK, singly or in combination, and ERK. Moreover, in cell lines, NF-κB activation antagonized MITF expression and induced both resistance marker genes and drug resistance. Thus, distinct cell states characterized by MITF or NF-κB activity may influence intrinsic resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanoma.

**SIGNIFICANCE:** Although most BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanomas are sensitive to RAF and/or MEK inhibitors, a subset fails to respond to such treatment. This study characterizes a transcriptional cell state distinction linked to MITF and NF-κB that may modulate intrinsic sensitivity of melanosmas to MAPK pathway inhibitors. Cancer Discov; 4(7); 816–27. ©2014 AACR.

**INTRODUCTION**

Mutations affecting codon 600 of the serine/threonine kinase BRAF are among the most highly recurrent genetic aberrations in melanoma (1, 2). These mutations activate the downstream kinases MEK and ERK within the MAPK pathway, leading to enhanced cellular proliferation and survival. The discovery that BRAF\textsuperscript{V600} mutations predict sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibitors (3) revolutionized therapeutic approaches to melanoma. MAPK pathway inhibitors—including the RAF inhibitors (RAFi) vemurafenib and dabrafenib and the MEK inhibitor (MEKi) trametinib—achieve clinical benefit in 80% to 90% of patients with BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanoma (4–6). However, among patients whose tumors respond to MAPK pathway inhibitors, relapse is universal (acquired resistance). Moreover, 10% to 20% of patients never achieve meaningful response to therapy (innate or intrinsic resistance).

Recent studies have characterized numerous mechanisms of acquired resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}, mutant melanoma. These include activating mutations in the downstream kinase MEK (7–9) as well as acquisition of an inhibitor-resistant BRAF splice variant (10). Alternative MAP3K proteins [e.g., CRAF (11) or COT (12)] are also able to reengage the MAPK pathway in the presence of BRAF inhibition. Similarly, upstream of RAF proteins, activation of RAS signaling [e.g., by mutation (13), NF1 loss (14, 15), or relief of negative feedback (16)] confers RAFi resistance. Cumulatively, these studies have most commonly converged upon reactivation of the MAPK pathway as a common effector of many mechanisms of acquired resistance.

In contrast, fewer studies have directly queried intrinsic resistance to RAF inhibition in melanoma. Two recent studies examined stromal contributions to intrinsic resistance. This work identified stromal secretion of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), activation of the receptor tyrosine kinase MET, and subsequent MAPK pathway reactivation as a mechanism of intrinsic MAPK pathway inhibitor resistance in melanoma (17, 18). It remains largely unknown, however, whether cell-autonomous differences might also contribute to the intrinsic resistance phenotype. Therefore, we sought to elucidate molecular features that might mediate intrinsic resistance to RAF/MEK inhibition in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanoma.

**RESULTS**

We hypothesized that cell-autonomous differences, such as distinct gene expression programs, might partially account for why some melanomas display intrinsic resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors. To test this hypothesis, we examined 29 BRAF\textsuperscript{V600}-mutant melanoma cell lines from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE; ref. 19) for which gene expression and pharmacologic sensitivity data were available (Fig. 1A). Although most lines were sensitive to the RAFi PLX4720 (GI\textsubscript{50} ≤ 2 μmol/L), some exhibited intrinsic resistance to this agent (GI\textsubscript{50} = 8 μmol/L, the maximum of this assay; Fig. 1A) as well as to MEKi (PD0325901 and AZD6244; Fig. 1B). To assess
whether differences in transcriptional programs existed between intrinsically sensitive and resistant lines, we identified genes whose expression across the cell lines was strongly correlated or anticorrelated with their PLX4720 GI50 values. MITF, which encodes a melanocyte lineage regulatory transcription factor and melanoma oncogene (20), emerged as the single gene best nominated additional features that might be associated with the MITF-low/NF-κB–high transcriptional state.

Because prosurvival signaling through NF-κB has previously been associated with resistance to cytotoxic therapies, such as doxorubicin (23), we sought to assess whether the NF-κB–high state was associated with generalized drug resistance. However, among 24 targeted and cytotoxic anticancer drugs, differential MITF expression levels were strongly correlated with differential sensitivity only to the four MAPK inhibitors (MAPKi) tested (Supplementary Fig. S3). This finding suggests that the MITF-low/NF-κB–high transcriptional state may pertain specifically to resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition.

To verify that the MITF/NF-κB class distinction was reproducibly associated with differential sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibition, we examined a collection of patient-derived BRAFV600-mutant melanoma short-term cultures for which gene expression data, but not pharmacologic sensitivity data, were available. As in other datasets, we identified reciprocity between MITF and NF-κB levels across this collection (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. S4). This relationship was evident for MITF itself as well as for both the MITF transcriptional signature as a whole (Fig. 1C) and individual MITF target genes (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. S4), confirming that MITF transcriptional activity varied together with MITF expression across these
samples. Correspondingly, both NF-kB–related gene expression levels (e.g., AXL; Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. S4) and transcriptional signatures of NF-kB pathway activity (Fig. 1C) varied across the collection in a manner similar to that of the initial melanoma cell line panel. Similarly, phosphorylated, activated levels of the NF-kB transcription factor RELA (Supplementary Fig. S4) also segregated inversely with MITF activity.

Next, we performed pharmacologic growth inhibition studies on seven MITF-high and three NF-kB–high short-term cultures. As predicted, all seven MITF-high/NF-kB–low short-term cultures were sensitive to RAF and MEK inhibition, whereas each of the three MITF-low/NF-kB–high short-term cultures was resistant to these agents (Fig. 1D). These findings supported the premise that the MITF-low/NF-kB–high transcriptional signature correlated with resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma.

We next sought to assess whether this intrinsic resistance phenotype might derive from incomplete MAPK pathway inhibition, as opposed to indifference to MAPK pathway inhibition in these cells. First, we noted that MITF-low/ NF-kB–high short-term cultures were sensitive to RAF and MEK inhibition, but also to combined RAF–MEK inhibition and ERK inhibition (VTX11E), suggesting that intrinsic resistance extends to multiple levels of the RAF–MEK–ERK signaling cascade. Moreover, the resistance phenotype was not clearly attributable to incomplete MAPK pathway suppression by these agents, because the reduction of phosphorylation of both ERK and the ERK substrate FRA1 in resistant lines following exposure to RAFi, MEKi, and ERK inhibitors (ERKi) was comparable with that observed in sensitive lines (Supplementary Fig. S6). Unique among the tested resistant lines, RPMI-7951 cells maintain MAPK pathway activity even in the setting of inhibitor treatment (Supplementary Fig. S6); however, this line also harbors amplification of MAP3K8/COT, which is sufficient to reactivate ERK signaling following inhibition of RAF and/or MEK in this cell line (12).

Consistent with prior literature (24, 25), the ERKi VTX11E did not inhibit phosphorylation of ERK itself. Nonetheless, the magnitude of phosphorylated FRA1 (pFRA1) suppression by VTX11E was comparable with that induced by the ERKi SCH772984 (25), which inhibits both ERK activity and ERK phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. S7A). VTX11E and SCH772984 also showed a similar spectrum of sensitivity across a panel of BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cell lines (Supplementary Figs. S4A and S7B).

In addition to showing comparable biochemical responses to MAPK pathway inhibition, sensitive and resistant short-term cultures (Supplementary Fig. S8) and cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S9) displayed no clear differences in basal phosphorylation levels of ERK or FRA1 or cell lines, implying that differential basal MAPK pathway activity does not account for differential response to MAPK pathway inhibitors. Together, these findings suggest that a molecularly defined subset of MITF-low/NF-kB–high BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas may exhibit indifference to MAPK pathway inhibition.

To confirm that the apparent reciprocity between MITF and NF-kB expression signatures was also evident in vivo, we examined a collection of primary and metastatic BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas from The Cancer Genome Atlas (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/). In this dataset, we also observed anticorrelation between expression of MITF (and its activity, as measured by target genes and signature) and NF-kB activation (as measured by single-gene markers as well as an expression signature of NF-kB activity; Fig. 2A). Thus, the transcriptional class distinction that segregated MAPK pathway inhibitor–sensitive and –resistant cell lines was also discernible in melanoma tumors.

This reciprocity between MITF and NF-kB transcriptional profiles was reminiscent of prior transcriptional (26) and histopathologic (27) evidence for a two-class distinction in melanoma. These distinct gene expression programs, however, have not previously been linked to resistance to vemurafenib or dabrafenib/trametinib in BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas. Extending these prior results, our findings suggest that this transcriptional class distinction may have a previously unrecognized association with differential susceptibility to MAPK pathway inhibition.

To assess whether the resistance phenotype linked to this class distinction in vitro was also evident in melanoma tumors, we examined biopsy specimens from patients with metastatic BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma. Samples were obtained before treatment with MAPK pathway inhibitors; after biopsy, patients received combined RAFi–MEKi therapy. Using AXL expression as a readout of the NF-kB–high cellular state (Figs. 1B and 2A and Supplementary Figs. S2 and S4), we stratified the cohort into MITF-high/NF-kB–low (n = 4) and MITF-low/NF-kB–high (n = 8) groups on the basis of immunohistochemistry (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Table S2). Immunohistochemistry on known MITF- positive and AXL-positive cell lines confirmed the sensitivity and specificity of this method (Supplementary Fig. S10). Progression-free survival following dabrafenib/trametinib therapy was significantly shorter in the MITF-low/NF-kB–high group relative to the MITF-high/NF-kB–low group (median 5.0 months vs. 14.5 months; P = 0.0313, two-tailed t test; Fig. 2C). This finding is consistent with a possible therapeutic relevance of this two-class distinction in melanoma.

Among the individual features reproducibly associated with the resistance state was the expression of the AXL receptor tyrosine kinase. AXL has been previously identified as a mediator of acquired resistance to PLX4720 in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma (12) and to laptatinib in EGFR-mutant lung cancer (28). Therefore, we queried whether the intrinsic resistance phenotype in some BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas might simply result from AXL expression in those lines. First, we confirmed that AXL overexpression was sufficient to confer resistance to RAFi or MEKi, singly or in combination, in three BRAFV600E- mutant, MAPK pathway inhibitor–sensitive melanoma cell lines (Fig. 3A). However, ectopic AXL expression did not consistently confer robust resistance to ERK inhibition (Fig. 3A). AXL overexpression induced AKT phosphorylation and conferred sustained ERK phosphorylation in the setting of RAF/MEK inhibition (Fig. 3B). Moreover, the level of ERK phosphorylation produced by AXL overexpression was comparable with that observed following overexpression of the known RAFi resistance effector RALF (Fig. 3B; ref. 11). Consistent with prior findings, these results indicated that overexpression of AXL was sufficient to confer acquired resistance to RAFi and MEKi.

We next wished to determine whether endogenous AXL was necessary for maintenance of intrinsic resistance in the NF-kB–high BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cells. To test this...
hypothosis, we used three small-molecule AXL inhibitors [R428 (29), XL184 (30), and XL880 (28, 31)]. To confirm the pharmacologic effects of these compounds, we exposed A-375 melanoma cells engineered to overexpress AXL to each drug in vitro. All three compounds abrogated AXL-mediated induction of AKT phosphorylation and rescue of ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 3C) but had no effect on pAKT or pERK levels in A-375 or other sensitive cell lines in the absence of exogenous AXL expression (Supplementary Fig. S11).

Next, we assessed the effects of these small molecules on intrinsically resistant melanoma cell lines that express endogenous AXL. In contrast to the setting of ectopic AXL expression, we observed no effect of the AXL inhibitors on pAKT or pERK levels in the intrinsically resistant lines, either at baseline or following treatment with PLX4720 (Fig. 3D). (AXL inhibitors also did not alter pERK or pAKT levels in intrinsically sensitive lines; Supplementary Fig. S11.) Similarly, treatment with AXL inhibitors did not alter the PLX4720 GI50 values of any of the intrinsically resistant melanoma lines (Fig. 3E). Comparable results were observed following knockdown of AXL using three independent shRNAs (the most effective of nine tested; Supplementary Figs. S12 and S13). Here, we noted that a single AXL shRNA (575) modulated PLX4720 GI50 values; although this finding could be due to marginally more effective knockdown with this shRNA, the failure of the other AXL shRNAs and the small-molecule AXL inhibitors to reproduce this phenotype makes it more likely that this modulation stems from off-target shRNA effects. Altogether, our results suggest that AXL expression may be sufficient to confer acquired resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors, but may not be necessary for maintenance of resistance. Although AXL expression may nonetheless contribute to the intrinsic resistant phenotype in these melanoma cells, AXL does not seem to be the sole or limiting resistance effector in this setting. We therefore returned to the broader differences in transcriptional state that exist among lines with differential sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibitors.

The foregoing experiments raised the possibility that distinct melanoma cell states characterized by specific transcriptional profiles might underpin intrinsic resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma. One possible explanation for the existence of these transcriptional states is that they might arise from distinct precursor cells. To test this possibility, we analyzed whether the transcriptional states could both be established from immortalized primary human melanocytes. At baseline, immortalized melanocytes expressed high levels of both MITF and its target genes, reminiscent of MITF-high/NF-κB-low melanoma cell lines. In addition, expression of NF-κB-associated signatures and marker genes was low in these melanocytes (Fig. 4A and B). As expected, introduction of BRAFV600E into immortalized melanocytes augmented ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. S14). Consistent with prior observations (20), ectopic BRAFV600E also abrogated MITF expression [at both the transcriptional (Fig. 4A) and protein levels (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. S14)] and MITF transcriptional activity (as measured by an expression signature of MITF activity as well as levels of individual MITF target genes; Fig. 4A and B and Supplementary Fig. S14). Surprisingly, expression of BRAFV600E also induced NF-κB pathway activation, as measured by expression of NF-κB-driven transcriptional signatures (Fig. 4A), RELA phosphorylation (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. S14), and expression of markers such as AXL and NRP1 (Fig. 4A and B and Supplementary

Figure 2. MITF-low/NF-κB-high transcriptional class is present and associated with resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition in human tumors. A, transcriptional class distinction in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma tumor samples. B, examples of AXL and MITF staining in pretreatment melanoma biopsies (magnification, ×40). C, comparison of progression-free survival between MITF-positive/AXL-negative and MITF-negative/AXL-positive classes. *, P = 0.0313, two-tailed t test.
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Figure 3. AXL is not necessary for maintenance of intrinsic resistance A, effects of AXL overexpression on survival of drug-sensitive BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cell lines following 4-day treatment with PLX4720 (RAFi, 2 μmol/L), AZD6244 (MEKi, 200 nmol/L), PLX4720 + AZD6244, or VTX11E (ERKi, 2 μmol/L). B, BRAF V600E-induced transcriptional changes can, in some circumstances, be at least partially induced by oncogenic MAPK signaling, including gain of BRAFV600E.

Although BRAFV600E induced a transcriptional phenotype suggestive of an MITF-low/NF-κB-high cell state in melanocytes in vitro (Fig. 4A–C and Supplementary Fig. S14; ref. 20), the majority of BRAFV600Emutant melanoma cells exhibit the opposite (i.e., MITF-high/NF-κB-low) cell state both in vitro and in vivo. Because MITF expression is a prominent feature of the “typical” BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cell state, we hypothesized that concomitant MITF dysregulation might antagonize BRAFV600E-mediated induction of an alternative, NF-κB-high cell state. To test this possibility, we ectopically expressed MITF in melanocytes simultaneously with either BRAFV600E or MEK1D12. In melanocytes endogenously expressing high levels of MITF, ectopic MITF expression only minimally altered MITF levels. However, in the setting of ectopic BRAFV600E or MEK1D12 expression, where MITF levels are reduced, a partial restoration of MITF levels by ectopic MITF expression blocked
Consistent with these data, we found an enrichment of DNA, as a DNA binding–deficient mutant (MITF(R217Δ)) was state. This effect was dependent on the ability of MITF to bind and Supplementary Fig. S16). Thus, following gain of aberrant one of the defining features of the NF-κB state (Fig. 4E). These observations raise the possibility that, in the context of BRAFV600E, concomitant MITF dysregulation contributes to maintenance of an MITF-high/NF-κB-low state.

Collectively, these data imply that, in at least some settings, it is possible to establish both MITF-low/NF-κB-high and MITF-high/NF-κB-low cellular states from the same precursor melanocyte. They also raise the possibility that a key determinant of transcriptional states associated with resistance versus sensitivity is the balance between, on the one hand, BRAFV600Emediated MAPK pathway activation and subsequent NF-κB induction, and, on the other, sustained MITF expression.

Because both MITF and NF-κB can influence the establishment of distinct cell states in melanocytes, we next investigated whether these factors could also affect maintenance of these states in established melanoma cell lines. Specifically, we hypothesized that induction of NF-κB could modulate a cell line away from an MITF-high state toward an MITF-low state. Because our aforementioned experiments suggested that intrinsic resistance might result from a global transcriptional state as opposed to expression of individual resistance effector(s), we further predicted that this perturbation of transcriptional state should alter drug sensitivity. Whereas previous analyses demonstrated an association between NF-κB transcriptional state and resistance, here we sought to query whether manipulation of transcriptional state could causally affect drug resistance. We induced NF-κB activity in sensitive cell lines by treating them with the NF-κB agonist TNFα, which led to increased phosphoactivation of the NF-κB transcription factor RELA (Fig. 5A). Following this induction of NF-κB activity, we observed a decrease in both

Figure 4. Establishment of transcriptional class distinction in melanocytes. A, effects of aberrant MAPK pathway activation on melanocyte whole-genome expression profiles. B, effects of aberrant MAPK pathway activation on markers of the MITF-high and NF-κB-high classes. C, effects of chronic BRAFV600E expression on markers of the MITF-high and NF-κB-high classes; D, VTX11E (ERKi); E, VTX11E (ERKi); F, AZD6244 (MEKi), all overnight at 2 μmol/L.  
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MITF expression and MITF activity (as measured by levels of the MITF target gene PMEL; Fig. 5A). To further verify the effects of NF-κB on MITF transcriptional activity, we used SK-MEL-5 cells stably expressing a luciferase reporter under the control of the promoter of TRPM1, a known MITF target gene. This system has previously been used as a readout of MITF transcriptional activity (32). Using this approach, we observed a reduction in MITF transcriptional reporter activity following TNFα treatment (Fig. 5B), the magnitude of which was comparable with the same stimulus should also confer phenotypic drug resistance. Indeed, we observed that TNFα led to resistance to inhibition of RAF and MEK, singly or in combination, as well as ERK activation can perturb a sensitive, MITF-high/NF-κB–low state toward a state that is not only MITF-low/NF-xB–high but also functionally resistant to MAPK pathway inhibition. Crucially, therefore, perturbing the cellular transcriptional state can result in a phenotypic alteration in drug sensitivity. On this basis, it seems that NF-xB activity is not simply a marker of the MITF-low resistant state, but rather is functionally sufficient to induce it.

Finally, because MAPK pathway hyperactivation could promote establishment of the MITF-low/NF-xB–high state in melanocytes, we wondered whether therapeutic MAPK pathway inhibition in BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas would affect maintenance of this state. To test this hypothesis, we cultured four MITF-high, MAPK pathway inhibitor–sensitive melanoma cell lines continuously in PLX4720 until a resistant population emerged (Supplementary Fig. S19). Interestingly, resistant cells showed diminished MITF expression and MITF state, and if cell state controls drug sensitivity, we reasoned that the same stimulus should also confer phenotypic drug resistance. Indeed, we observed that TNFα led to resistance to inhibition of RAF and MEK, singly or in combination, as well as ERK activation can perturb a sensitive, MITF-high/NF-xB–low state toward a state that is not only MITF-low/NF-xB–high but also functionally resistant to MAPK pathway inhibition. Crucially, therefore, perturbing the cellular transcriptional state can result in a phenotypic alteration in drug sensitivity. On this basis, it seems that NF-xB activity is not simply a marker of the MITF-low resistant state, but rather is functionally sufficient to induce it.

Finally, because MAPK pathway hyperactivation could promote establishment of the MITF-low/NF-xB–high state in melanocytes, we wondered whether therapeutic MAPK pathway inhibition in BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas would affect maintenance of this state. To test this hypothesis, we cultured four MITF-high, MAPK pathway inhibitor–sensitive melanoma cell lines continuously in PLX4720 until a resistant population emerged (Supplementary Fig. S19). Interestingly, resistant cells showed diminished MITF expression and MITF

Figure 5. Plasticity of transcriptional state distinction in melanoma cell lines. A, effect of TNFα (25 ng/mL), with or without concomitant IκBα super-repressor expression, on RELA phosphorylation and expression of resistance markers, MITF, and MITF target genes, n.s., nonspecific band. B, effect of TNFα (25 ng/mL) on MITF transcriptional activity, as measured in an SK-MEL-5 melanoma cell line stably expressing a TRPM1-luciferase MITF reporter construct. *, P < 0.001 (two-tailed t test) relative to no TNFα treatment. C, effect of TNFα (30 ng/mL) on sensitivity to the indicated MAPKi inhibitors. PLX4720, 2 μmol/L; AZD6244, 200 μmol/L; VTX11E, 2 μmol/L. *, P < 0.001 (two-tailed t test) relative to the same cell line and same MAPKi treatment.
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transcriptional activity (as measured by levels of MITF target genes TYRPI, MLANA, and PMEL; 4/4 lines) and gain of AXL expression (2/4 lines; Fig. 5D). These results suggest that in some contexts, MITF-high/NF-κB-low melanomas can transition toward an MITF-low/NF-κB-high state during acquisition of resistance. These findings suggest that not only endogenous, but also acquired mechanisms of resistance [Fig. 5D; e.g., COT expression and p61 BRAF splice variant (10, 12)]. This finding implies that transition toward an MITF-low/ NF-κB-high state is not mutually exclusive with acquisition of other known resistance effectors—an emerging theme in resistance to targeted therapeutics (35). Moreover, this finding suggests that the MITF-low/NF-κB-high state, although certainly associated with intrinsic resistance, can be also observed in the context of acquired resistance. Thus, the MITF-low/ NF-κB-high transcriptional phenotype may generally signify diminished dependence on the MAPK pathway. Melanomas that begin in this MITF-low/NF-κB-high state before treatment are likely to be intrinsically resistant to MAPK pathway inhibition, whereas melanomas that transition into this state during treatment can exhibit acquired resistance. Cumulatively, these findings demonstrate that, even in melanoma cell lines, the transcriptional states associated with sensitivity and resistance remain plastic; moreover, maintenance of these states in cell lines can be perturbed by the same mediators that govern establishment of these states in melanocytes (Fig. 5E).

**DISCUSSION**

The majority of BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas are profoundly dependent on the RAF–MEK–ERK signaling cascade. This vulnerability has been exploited clinically with the development of pharmacologic RAFi and MEKi. However, the efficacy of these drugs is limited both by relapse following an initial response (acquired resistance) and by the initial indifference of some BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas to these inhibitors (intrinsic resistance). Multiple studies have elucidated mechanisms of acquired resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitor therapy, largely converging on reactivation of the MAPK pathway (7, 10–16). Although recent work has identified gene expression reciprocity between MITF and NF-κB as a mechanistic determinant of intrinsic resistance.

Our data suggest that the origin of these two distinct states in melanocytes can be controlled by the relative balance of aberrant MAPK activation (leading to NF-κB activation and the MITF-low/NF-κB-high state) and sustained MITF expression and activity (leading to the MITF-high/NF-κB-low state). Because we and others have shown that introduction of BRAFV600E into melanocytes can lead to loss of MITF expression and activity, it may seem surprising that the majority of BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas retain MITF expression and activity and low levels of NF-κB activity. Of note, we also show that chronic MAPK pathway inhibition led some melanoma lines to transition to an MITF-low/NF-κB-high state. Although one possible explanation for this finding is simply outgrowth of a preexisting resistant subpopulation, it may also suggest that not all melanomas preserve the same relationship between MAPK signaling and MITF levels as observed in melanocytes.

An additional possible explanation for the maintenance of MITF expression in BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas is our finding that dysregulation of MITF—for example, by ectopic expression—can impair induction of the NF-κB-high state. Indeed, we observed that MITF amplification was enriched in the MITF-high cell lines relative to the NF-κB-high cell lines, suggesting that this genomic alteration may contribute to the ability of some melanomas to maintain MITF following acquisition of BRAFV600E. In addition, recent work has shown that, in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cell lines, enforced (rather than endogenous) MITF activity is permissive for cellular proliferation following MAPK pathway inhibition (36). This result is consistent with our finding that endogenous levels of MITF predict sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibition. Because endogenous MITF is regulated by the MAPK pathway, endogenous MITF levels function as a proxy for MAPK pathway dependency, whereas in MITF-low melanomas, additional transcription factors may permit MAPK-independent cell-cycle progression. In contrast to endogenous MITF, however, exogenous MITF is not regulated by the MAPK pathway and therefore allows MAPK-independent cellular proliferation.

In our initial analysis, the MITF-low/NF-κB-high subgroup of melanomas was identified as exhibiting resistance to single-agent RAF or MEK inhibition. One recent strategy for enhancing the response to MAPK pathway inhibition has been to combine RAF and MEK inhibition (6). However, the MITF-low/NF-κB-high melanomas were also resistant to combined RAF and MEK inhibition as well as to ERK inhibition. This resistance was apparent despite robust biochemical evidence for MAPK pathway inhibition, arguing that these melanomas may be largely indifferent to MAPK pathway blockade. Our findings therefore raise the possibility that combination (e.g., RAF–MEK) or additional downstream (e.g., ERK) inhibition of the MAPK pathway may not achieve durable therapeutic control of at least some BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas.
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These analyses suggested that the MITF-low/NF-κB–high state is associated with a diminished sensitivity to MAPK pathway inhibition. For this reason, melanoma cells that begin in this state before MAPK pathway inhibitor therapy show intrinsic resistance to such treatment. We also found, however, that these transcriptional states may not be permanently fixed, but rather can exhibit a degree of plasticity during therapy. When MITF-high cell lines were cultured in PLX4720 to resistance, a transition to an MITF-low/NF-κB–high state was observed. Direct stimulation of the NF-κB pathway by TNFα recapitulated these expression changes in an NF-κB–dependent fashion. Moreover, this change of transcriptional state by NF-κB activation also induced phenotypic resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors, thus providing direct evidence for the key role of the NF-κB pathway in establishing the resistant cellular state. Intriguingly, when cultured to resistance to single-agent RAF inhibition, melanoma cells also acquired cross-resistance to inhibition of MEK, RAF and MEK in combination, and ERK. This state transition occurred together with the acquisition of other known effectors of acquired resistance, including COT and the p61 BRAF splice variant. This apparent plasticity between the MITF-high/NF-κB–low and MITF-low/NF-κB–high states may therefore accompany other acquired resistance effectors that converge on the MAPK pathway. Moreover, this finding may implicate a transition between these cellular states in the acquisition of resistance to RAFi/MEKi. An important feature of this model is that the MITF-low/NF-κB–high transcriptional state is not restricted to either an intrinsic or acquired resistance context, but rather is fundamentally a state of diminished dependency on the MAPK pathway. Thus, whereas transcriptional state before therapy may influence intrinsic resistance, the ability to modulate transcriptional state during therapy may contribute to acquired resistance.

Because MITF-low/NF-κB–high melanomas can exhibit resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition, the identification of alternative therapeutic avenues for these tumors is of great interest. AXL, one gene associated with the NF-κB–high transcriptional state, has previously been shown to be sufficient to cause acquired MAPKi resistance. However, efforts to render intrinsically resistant melanomas sensitive to MAPK pathway inhibition through inhibition of AXL in vitro suggested that AXL was not necessary for the maintenance of intrinsic resistance—a finding consistent with the observation that these cell lines were resistant to ERK inhibition, a phenotype that AXL alone did not robustly affect. Thus, intrinsic resistance seems to be not simply a consequence of AXL expression, but rather due to a more fundamental cell state distinction that happens to involve AXL expression. For this reason, the identification of new pharmacologic vulnerabilities in this resistant state—whether singly or in combination with MAPK pathway inhibition—will be a high priority for future investigation.

In summary, our findings reveal that resistance to MAPK pathway inhibition in BRAF596D-mutant melanoma can be associated with a distinct transcriptional state, both in vitro and in human tumors. The establishment and maintenance of these states seems linked to aberrant MAPK pathway activation, NF-κB induction, and MITF dysregulation. This class distinction may aid future efforts in BRAF596D-mutant melanoma to predict treatment response and identify new therapeutic strategies for patients who fail to benefit from RAFi/MEKi.

METHODS

Cell Culture

Cell lines obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD), and Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, which verify identity by short tandem repeat profiling, were passaged <6 months following receipt. SK-MEL-5-TPM1-luciferase cells were a gift of David E. Fisher (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA). Cells were maintained (unless otherwise indicated) in medium supplemented with 10% FBS (Gemini Bio-Products) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The following cell lines were maintained in RPMI-1640: A-375, COLO-679, RVH-421, SK-MEL-5, SK-MEL-19, SK-MEL-28, UACC-62, WM-983B, WM-793, LOX IMVI, and all short-term cultures; DMEM: WM-88, WM-266-6, G-361, A2058, and HS 294T; MEM: RPMI-7951; and DMEM with 15% FBS: IGR-39.

MITF Reporter Cell Line

SK-MEL-5-TPM1-luciferase cells were a kind gift of David E. Fisher, and the stable derivation of this line will be described in a forthcoming article. Cells were seeded at 5,000 cells per well in duplicate in 96-well clear- and white-bottomed plates. Beginning the day after seeding, cells were treated with 25 ng/mL final TNFα for the indicated time points. Four days after seeding, viability was read out from clear-bottomed plates using CellTiter-Glo (1.5 final dilution) and luciferase activity from white-bottomed plates using ONE-Glo (Promega; 1:2 final dilution). Luciferase activity was then normalized to viability and expressed as a percentage of activity in untreated cells.

Inhibitors

PLX4720, AZD6244, XL184, and XL880 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. R428 was purchased from Synamus. VTX11E was synthesized as previously reported (24). All small molecules were dissolved in DMSO. For Western blot analysis following MAPK pathway inhibitor treatment, all cells were seeded in parallel and allowed to proliferate for 5 days, with indicated drugs added for the indicated lengths of time before simultaneous final harvest.

Gl50 Determination

For determining the half-maximal growth inhibitory concentration (Gl50), lines were seeded in 96-well format. The day after plating, if applicable, recombinant human TNFα [Cell Signaling Technology (CST) 8902SC, 25 ng/mL final] or AXL inhibitors (at indicated dilutions) were added. Cells were then drugged with serial dilutions of indicated inhibitors to give final concentrations ranging from 100 μmol/L to 31.62 nmol/L (PLX4720 and VTX11E) or 31.62 μmol/L to 10 nmol/L (AZD6244), in half-log increments. For combined PLX4720 and AZD6244 treatment, an equitoxic combination of doses was used, starting at 100 μmol/L PLX4720 + 31.62 μmol/L AZD6244. Four days later, cellular viability was assessed using CellTiter-Glo (Promega). Gl50 calculations were performed in GraphPad Prism; for AZD6244, floor value was set to 0. In Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. S4A, "relative sensitivity" was calculated by dividing the Gl50 of a given drug in a given cell line by the median Gl50 of the same drug in the sensitive group of cell lines.

Constricts

MEKi, RAFi, AXL (clone 7F12), MITF-M, LacZ, BRAF596E and MEK1D151N in lentiviral vectors pLX304-Blast-V5 or pLX980-Blast-V5 were from The RNAi Consortium (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA). The retrorotavirus IκBα super-repressor construct has been previously published (37).

Viral Infections

Unless otherwise indicated, all viral infections were carried out on the day after seeding in 4 μg/mL final polybrene with centrifugation for 60 minutes at 2,250 rpm (1,178 × g) at 30°C, with an immediate medium change following infection. Viral dilutions were 1:50 for
Inhibitor Treatment Following ORF Infection

For Western blot analysis, 4 days after infection with the indicated ORF lentivirus, medium was changed to fresh medium plus DMSO or small molecules as indicated, with harvest the next day. For viability assays following ORF infections, cells were seeded in 96-well format at the following densities: A-375, 900; SK-MEL-28, 1,100; and UACC-62, 2,750. The next day, cells were infected as described above; 3 days later, inhibitors were added at the indicated concentrations. Four days after inhibitor treatment, viability was read out using CellTiter-Glo.

TNFα Time Course with 1βBa Super-Repressor

Each of the 2 days following seeding, cells were infected overnight with indicated retrovirus, with an 8-hour recovery between infections. The day after the second infection, medium was changed to fresh medium plus 1 μg/mL final puromycin, and cells were stimulated for the 6-day TNFα time point (25 ng/mL final). Subsequent time points were stimulated as indicated, and all time points were harvested in parallel.

Primary Melanocytes

Primary melanocytes were grown in TICVA medium (Ham’s F-10 (CellGro), 7% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mmol/L glutamine (CellGro), 100 μm/L L-arginine, 1% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mmol/L glutamine (Sigma), and 1 μmol/L sodium vanadate). Lentiviral infections were performed as described above for 1 hour (for Western blot analysis) or overnight (for expression profiling). For Western blot analysis, cells were switched to Ham’s F10 plus 10% FBS following introduction of BRAFv600E or MEK1/2, and lysates were harvested as described in Supplementary Methods. For expression profiling, cells were selected following infection in 10 μg/mL puromycin for 4 days and propagated stably before RNA harvesting using the RNeasy Miniprep Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Qiagen). RNA quality was assessed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) before expression profiling on an Affymetrix U133+ PM array according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Gene Expression and Pharmacologic Analyses

Gene expression (robust multi-array average normalized using ENT-RezG v15 CDF), drug sensitivity (GI50 values for Fig. 1A and Supplementary Fig. S1, activity area for Figs. 1B and 4E), and genotyping data for BRAFv600E-mutant melanoma cell lines were from the CCLE (19). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were computed between gene expression values and PLX4720 GI50 values as well as between GI50 values for various small molecules and MITF expression values. For Fig. 4E, gene expression, genotyping, and copy-number data were from the Wellcome Trust/Sanger COSMIC Cell Lines Project (38); drug sensitivity was from the CCLE. Gene expression and genotyping data for melanoma short-term cultures (Fig. 1C) were from Lin and colleagues (39); expression data were collapsed to maximum probe value per gene using GSEA Desktop. Genotyping and gene expression data for melanoma tumors in Fig. 2A were from The Cancer Genome Atlas (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/).

MITF and AXL Staining

For immunocytochemistry, 5 days after seeding, cells were scraped in cold PBS, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and processed as below. For immunohistochemistry, 4-μm sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens were heated at 60°C, deparaffinized in xylene, and hydrated in a series of ethanol dilutions. Epiplast retrieval was done by microwaving (5 minutes at 850 W, 15 minutes at 150 W) in 10 mmol/L Tris–EDTA buffer pH 9.0. Slides were blocked 10 minutes in 3% BSA in TBST (Tris pH 7.6, 0.05% Tween-20). Primary antibodies were as follows: MITF, 1:100 in 3% BSA in TBST, clone D5 (Dako M3621); AXL, 1:100 in 3% BSA in TBST, clone C89E7 (CST 8661). Slides underwent 10-minute peroxidase block in 3% H2O2. Secondary antibodies were: goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Bio-Rad 170-6516), 1:200 in 3% BSA in TBST; Dako EnVision anti-rabbit (K4003, ready-to-use). Slides were developed with DAB+ (Dako K3468) for 10 minutes and counterstained for 1 minute with hematoxylin (Vector H-3401) before dehydration and mounting. Slides were imaged on an Olympus BX51 microscope with Olympus DP25 camera using Olympus WH10X-H/22 oculars, Olympus UPlan FL N 20x/0.50 and 40x/0.50 objectives, an Olympus DP25 camera, and images acquired using Olympus DP2-TWAIN software and Adobe Photoshop 7.0. Slides were scored for intensity and distribution of AXL and MITF by a dermatopathologist blinded to clinical outcome.
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