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ABSTRACT
Telomeres progressively shorten throughout life. A hallmark of advanced malignancies is the ability for continuous cell divisions that almost universally correlates with the stabilization of telomere length by the reactivation of telomerase. The repression of telomerase and shorter telomeres in humans may have evolved, in part, as an anticancer protection mechanism. Although there is still much we do not understand about the regulation of telomerase, it remains a very attractive and novel target for cancer therapeutics. This review focuses on the current state of advances in the telomerase area, identifies outstanding questions, and addresses areas and methods that need refinement.

Significance: Despite many recent advances, telomerase remains a challenging target for cancer therapy. There are few telomerase-directed therapies, and many of the assays used to measure telomeres and telomerase have serious limitations. This review provides an overview of the current state of the field and how recent advances could affect future research and treatment approaches.

INTRODUCTION
Historical Background
Telomere terminal transferase (telomerase) enzyme activity (not the identification of the genes encoding the components of telomerase) was discovered in 1985 in the single-cell organism Tetrahymena (1). Almost a decade later, telomerase was described as an almost universal marker in advanced human cancers (2, 3), but it was not until 1997 that the catalytic protein component was isolated, first in yeast (4) and shortly thereafter in humans (5, 6). It is well recognized that telomeres progressively shorten with increased age in vitro and in vivo (7–14) and, in combination with a series of oncogenic changes, cells with short telomeres escape senescence and become immortal (Fig. 1), generally by activating or upregulating telomerase. Most human tumors (85%–90%) not only constitutively express telomerase (2) but also have short telomeres, whereas telomerase activity is absent in most normal tissues or is highly regulated in normal transit-amplifying stem-like cells, making the inhibition of telomerase an attractive target for cancer therapeutics (2).

Telomerase is a reverse transcriptase that adds new DNA onto the telomeres that are located at the ends of chromosomes (1, 15–17). Although the importance of telomeres has been recognized for a long time (18–19), the DNA sequence of telomeres was a somewhat more recent discovery (20–21). Telomeres in mammals consist of long tracts of the hexameric TTAGGG nucleotide repeat and an associated protein complex termed shelterin (22–23). The shelterin complex protects chromosomes from end-to-end fusions and degradation by forming special t-loop–like structures (24), thus masking the very ends of chromosomes from being recognized as double-strand DNA breaks. The TTAGGG repeats shorten with each cell division due to the "end replication problem" (25, 26), oxidative damage, and other still poorly understood end-processing events. When a few telomeres become critically shortened, there is a growth arrest state, at which time a DNA-damage signal and cellular senescence is normally triggered (27–29). In the absence of other changes, cells can remain in a quiescent/senescent state for years, which can be considered a tumor suppressor mechanism at least for long-lived species such as humans. It is a common misconception that normal senescent cells undergo apoptosis and die. It is now recognized that senescent cells can secrete factors that can influence age-associated diseases (30) and remain viable but not dividing for long periods of time. Thus, with increased age it is believed that there is a gradual accumulation of senescent cells that may affect some aspects of aging.

In contrast, human carcinomas (tumors derived from epithelial tissues) almost universally bypass cellular senescence and DNA damage–induced inhibitory signaling pathways by upregulating telomerase. Regulated telomerase activity is present in...
a subset of normal transit-amplifying stem-like cells, but upon differentiation, telomerase is again silenced. However, some transit-amplifying cells may accumulate oncogenic changes, become tumorigenic, and express telomerase. In human cells, the bypass or escape from senescence can be experimentally demonstrated by abrogating important cell-cycle checkpoint genes [such as TP53 (p53), CDKN1A (p21), CDKN2A (p16INK4a), and RB1 (pRb)], leading to increased numbers of cell divisions of potentially initiated premalignant cells (31–34). Eventually, cells enter a state termed “crisis,” which is a period where cell division and death are in balance. In crisis, due to chromosome end fusions, there are chromosome breakage-fusion-bridge events, leading to genomic instability, rearrangements of chromosomes, and eventual activation or upregulation of telomerase and progression to malignant cancers (Fig. 2). Telomerase is detected in approximately 85% to 90% of all malignant tumors (2, 3), making it a highly attractive target for the development of more precision mechanism-based cancer therapeutics. The hope is that such therapies may have minimal or no toxicities on normal telomerase-silent cells and perhaps limited effects on telomerase-expressing transit-amplifying stem cells. However, it has been over two decades since telomerase was recognized as an excellent target for cancer therapy, but there are no approved telomerase-targeted therapies. In this review, some of the reasons for this lack of progress are discussed. In addition, although there have been some recent major advances in understanding the structure of telomerase (16, 17, 35), there remain a number of critical issues that have not been addressed adequately or have been misinterpreted. To advance the telomere and telomerase field, these areas need to be carefully considered.

**AREAS OF CURRENT STUDIES AND CONTROVERSY**

In this review, outstanding questions in the telomere/telomerase field are discussed (Table 1). For background information, the reader is referred to another recent review (36).

**Cancer and Telomerase**

Nearly the complete spectrum of human tumor types has been shown to be telomerase-positive (2, 3). In general, malignant tumors are characterized by telomerase expression, correlating with the capacity for unlimited cell proliferation, whereas most benign, premalignant tumors are characterized by the absence of telomerase (3). Somatic mutations in the proximal promoter of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (TERT) are now considered the most common noncoding mutation in cancer. For example, the vast majority of primary melanomas (67%–85%), glioblastomas (28%–84%), liposarcomas (74%–79%), and urothelial cancers (47%) contain TERT promoter mutations, and additional tumor types are being reported almost weekly (37–44). It is not known why some common cancers, such as lung, colon, ovarian, esophageal, pancreatic, breast, and prostate cancers, do not have a high frequency of TERT promoter mutations (42). Generally, there are no or a very small percentage (<10%) of promoter mutations in these cancer types. Although this may change with additional future studies, it may also be the specific constellation of oncogenic changes that predispose cells in the premalignant lesions to TERT promoter mutations. Alternatively, TERT promoter mutations appear to be somewhat
After a finite number of cell doublings, eventually the cells have sufficient short telomeres that they undergo a growth arrest called senescence or crisis. In crisis, there is a balance between cell growth and apoptosis, and the vast majority of the cell population dies. A rare cell can upregulate telomerase or the much rarer ALT pathway and continue to proliferate. The hallmark of cells escaping crisis is, almost universally, stable telomere lengths and telomerase activity.

Figure 2. With increasing cell divisions, telomeres progressively shorten. Even in stem cells that self-renew, there is a gradual shortening of telomeres. After a finite number of cell doublings, eventually the cells have sufficient short telomeres that they undergo a growth arrest called senescence or the mortality stage 1 (M1). This has also been termed the Hayflick limit. Premalignant cells that have obtained a number of oncogenic changes can bypass M1 and enter into an extended lifespan period. This has been termed the extended lifespan period (M2), but eventually these cells also slow down in proliferation and enter a period called crisis. In crisis, there is a balance between cell growth and apoptosis, and the vast majority of the cell population dies. A rare cell can upregulate telomerase or the much rarer ALT pathway and continue to proliferate. The hallmark of cells escaping crisis is, almost universally, stable but short telomere lengths and telomerase activity.

Table 1. Outstanding questions in the telomere/telomerase field

- Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) promoter mutations are considered the most common promoter point mutations in cancer. Are promoter mutations in TERT sufficient to activate telomerase and immortalize normal telomerase silent cells? Why do TERT promoter mutations occur in a tissue-specific manner? What are the mechanisms for telomerase activation in common tumor types that do not have TERT promoter mutations?
- How do most long-lived large mammals avoid cancer? Why do humans not follow other long-lived mammals in regard to reduced cancer incidence?
- Are the methods frequently used in cancer research for measuring telomerase and determining telomere length accurate? What are the strengths and weaknesses of these methods? How can they be improved?
- Why are there not more successful telomerase targeting approaches in cancer? Why have current approaches not progressed? What do we need to do to identify new approaches to targeting telomerase for cancer therapy that will be more effective?

more common in tissues that do not have a high rate of cell turnover (self-renewal; ref. 40), but exceptions to this, such as carcinomas of the oral cavity, already exist (40). Although it is believed that these mutations activate telomerase activity (by converting conserved regions within the TERT promoter to an ETS transcription factor binding site) to permit the continuous cell divisions required for advanced cancers, much of the molecular steps about the causal relationship of promoter mutations to telomere length maintenance remain unknown. Previously, it was reported that some cancers do not have detectable telomerase activity, and these often result in spontaneous cancer remission (45, 46). These examples demonstrate that one does not need to have telomerase activity to develop cancer, but a mechanism to maintain telomeres is required for the continuous growth of the advanced tumor (45–47). In almost all human cancers, immortalization of emergent cancer cells occurs by the reactivation or upregulation of telomerase; however, another mechanism can also reverse telomere attrition in order to bypass senescence, which is termed alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) and involves DNA recombination between telomeres (48). The ALT pathway is not common in carcinomas but does appear in soft-tissue sarcomas and some other less common tumor types, but at present there are no directed therapies to the ALT pathway (48).

In addition, very little telomerase is required to maintain the shortest telomeres. Previously, it was shown that even 1% of the telomerase observed in typical advanced cancer levels is sufficient to maintain the shortest telomeres (49), and that short-term (~2 weeks) expression of telomerase in normal cells is sufficient to double the proliferative lifespan of cells (50). These studies indicate that telomerase is recruited to the shortest telomeres and that very little telomerase enzyme activity is required to maintain these short telomeres. These issues have important implications to our understanding of telomere biology and cancer biology.
implications for the development of telomerase therapeutics (discussed in a later section). Thus, one possibility is that high levels of telomerase and significant elongation of telomeres may not be required for the sustained growth of emerging malignant cells. Indeed, almost all malignant tumors have very short telomeres. One could speculate that if telomerase was expressed at very high levels, then telomeres might elongate greatly and this could have detrimental consequences. Alternatively, if telomerase was not activated sufficiently, then telomeres would continue to shorten with continuing cell divisions, and the cells would eventually stop dividing. Thus, there is unlikely to be a selective advantage to having more than sufficient telomerase to work on a very small number of the shortest telomeres. In addition, there may be other mechanisms to activate telomerase, such as genomic amplifications, rearrangements (51), or alterations in TERT splicing (52). Finally, there is the possibility that the TERT gene may have functions independent of maintaining telomeres.

Recently, it was shown that active chromatin marks in cells with TERT promoter mutations correlate with TERT expression (44). It was reported that mutant TERT promoters exhibit the H3K4me2/3 mark of active chromatin and recruit the GABPA/B1 transcription factor, although the wild-type TERT allele retains the H3K27me3 mark of epigenetic silencing and does not recruit the GABPA/B1 transcription factor. Interestingly, TERT promoter mutations in telomerase-expressing normal human embryonic stem cells (hESC) only modestly increase telomerase activity (39). Although wild-type hESCs silence telomerase activity when induced to differentiate, telomerase remains active in hESCs with TERT promoter mutations under differentiation conditions (39). Thus, monoallelic TERT promoter mutations must provide a selective advantage in specific tumor types, such as glioblastomas, urothelial carcinomas, and melanomas, possibly by retaining an active chromatin state (44), to perhaps bypass telomere-based senescence, permitting extra cell divisions for other oncogenic changes to occur. In contrast, many common solid tumor types do not have frequent TERT promoter mutations, and very little is presently known about why there are such large variations in frequencies of promoter mutations or if TERT promoter mutations are sufficient for the formation of tumors. Most, but not all, carcinomas undergo dramatic telomere shortening prior to telomerase activation, so one possibility is that the greatly shortened telomeres also change the chromatin state in the TERT promoter (which is about 1.2 Mb from the 5p telomere) in cancers that do not contain TERT promoter mutations. There still remain many fundamental questions that are unresolved about telomerase in cancer:

- Are TERT promoter mutations sufficient for cell immortality in normal human cells that are silenced for telomerase activity (e.g., normal fibroblasts)?
- What is the basis of tissue specificity for TERT promoter mutations?
- At what stage of cancer development do TERT promoter mutations activate telomerase? Does it depend on telomere length, rate of cell turnover, or other genomic rearrangements at the time of telomerase activation?

**Role of Telomerase in Malignant Transformation**

Almost all preneoplastic lesions have critically shortened telomeres, and this may be an initial protective mechanism limiting the maximum number of divisions human cells can undergo. Thus, a short telomere senescence-based mechanism would be a potent initial tumor suppressor mechanism because a large number of genetic and epigenetic alterations are required for a normal cell to become malignant. One can imagine, however, that limiting the maximal number of cellular divisions in human cells would eventually result in a preneoplastic proliferative growth arrest state referred to as replicative aging or senescence (Fig. 3). Thus, senescence may have evolved as an anticancer molecular mechanism in large long-lived mammals to avoid cancer at an early age (53, 54). In cells that acquire a series of oncogenic changes, replicative

---

**Figure 3.** If one assumes that spontaneous mutations can occur with approximately each 20 cell divisions (about 1 million cells), and assuming that mutations provide a premalignant cell with a slight growth advantage, then after 60 to 100 doublings (at least in cell culture conditions) the cells would contain some very short telomeres that are uncapped and initiate DNA-damage signaling. This is a potential potent initial anticancer senescence ‘brick wall’ that protects large long-lived species, such as humans, from the early onset of cancer. It is now believed that 8 to 15 key oncogenic changes are required for a normal cell to become a cancer cell, so senescence could have evolved in humans to prevent most cancers until later in life. Eventually, however, senescence can be bypassed, and this can lead to telomerase activation and cancer progression.
Recent evidence suggests telomere length can regulate genes over long distances. There are genes several megabases from a telomere that are silenced in young cells, expressed in old cells, and repressed again when TERT is introduced into old cells. Using 3-D FISH with a subtelomeric probe and a distal gene of interest, one can observe adjacent probe signals in young cells and separated signals in old cells with short telomeres. This model provides an explanation for how gene expression changes can occur during aging without initiating a DNA-damage signal. As an example, the model (right) shows a schematic of how telomeres when long could repress the expression of a specific gene over long distances, and when telomeres shorten as part of normal aging, expression of that specific gene could change. Genes (X and Y in the illustration), although closer to the telomere, are not regulated by this mechanism (TPE-OLD). Previously, it has been shown that ISG15, DSP, CIS, and SORBS2 are regulated by this mechanism (62, 63).

Figure 4. Recent evidence suggests telomere length can regulate genes over long distances. There are genes several megabases from a telomere that are silenced in young cells, expressed in old cells, and repressed again when TERT is introduced into old cells. Using 3-D FISH with a subtelomeric probe and a distal gene of interest, one can observe adjacent probe signals in young cells and separated signals in old cells with short telomeres. This model provides an explanation for how gene expression changes can occur during aging without initiating a DNA-damage signal. As an example, the model (right) shows a schematic of how telomeres when long could repress the expression of a specific gene over long distances, and when telomeres shorten as part of normal aging, expression of that specific gene could change. Genes (X and Y in the illustration), although closer to the telomere, are not regulated by this mechanism (TPE-OLD). Previously, it has been shown that ISG15, DSP, CIS, and SORBS2 are regulated by this mechanism (62, 63).
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Figure 4. Recent evidence suggests telomere length can regulate genes over long distances. There are genes several megabases from a telomere that are silenced in young cells, expressed in old cells, and repressed again when TERT is introduced into old cells. Using 3-D FISH with a subtelomeric probe and a distal gene of interest, one can observe adjacent probe signals in young cells and separated signals in old cells with short telomeres. This model provides an explanation for how gene expression changes can occur during aging without initiating a DNA-damage signal. As an example, the model (right) shows a schematic of how telomeres when long could repress the expression of a specific gene over long distances, and when telomeres shorten as part of normal aging, expression of that specific gene could change. Genes (X and Y in the illustration), although closer to the telomere, are not regulated by this mechanism (TPE-OLD). Previously, it has been shown that ISG15, DSP, CIS, and SORBS2 are regulated by this mechanism (62, 63).

Multiple mechanisms have been proposed for engaging telomerase activity. These include mutations/deletions in the TERT promoter (36–44), engagement of TERT alternative splicing (58, 59), TERT gene amplification (60), and epigenetic changes (44). Another possibility is that the human TERT gene may autoregulate itself because it is located very close to the telomere end of chromosome 5 (61). In most large long-lived species, TERT is also close to a telomere, but in small short-lived species such as mice, TERT is not located near a telomere. Telomerase by necessity would have to be carefully regulated in large long-lived species to avoid the early onset of cancer, whereas in smaller mammals, such as mice, telomerase is known to be more promiscuous and most inbred strains of mice have very long telomeres compared with humans, but the reasons for this are not well understood. One could speculate that the TERT gene being located near a telomere in large and long-lived species may have been selected for over evolutionary time to regulate telomerase and thus the maximal telomere length permitted during human development (62). It is known that telomerase is active during early human fetal development, then becomes silenced in most tissues at approximately 3 to 4 months gestation (62). Thus, when telomeres reach a certain initial length (~15–20 kb) during human development, three-dimensional chromatin structures involving telomere position effects over long distances (TPE-OLD; refs. 63, 64) may silence the TERT gene. As part of cancer progression, as telomeres shorten, the chromatin-silencing effects may become relaxed, resulting in a permissive environment for telomerase promoter mutations and telomerase reactivation (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the observation that almost 70% of all cancers are in the 65-and-older segment of the population. TPE-OLD (long-distance chromatin loops involving telomeres) has now been demonstrated for several genes, including ISG15, DSP, CIS, and several genes thought to be important in the human disease facioscapulohemeral dystrophy (63, 64). Thus, it is entirely possible that TERT is regulated at multiple levels, including long-distance telomere looping and chromatin modifications.

Although introduction and expression of telomerase has been shown to immortalize cells (65), it does not by itself induce a transformed phenotype (66). In human fibroblasts, many factors are required to experimentally transform telomerase-positive cells. Normal cells only expressing ectopically introduced hTERT exhibit normal cell-cycle activities, maintain contact inhibition and anchorage-dependent growth requirements, and maintain a normal karyotype (66).

Thus, there is a diverse system of cellular mechanisms in place to suppress the early development of neoplastic cells in humans. One could further postulate that the multiplicity of these anticancer defenses explains the relative rarity of adult...
human cancers in the first four decades of life. Given that human cancer incidence increases with age, older individuals, whose telomeres in somatic cells are shorter than those in younger ones, should have an increased propensity to major cancers. Although this is correlative, and certainly does not prove a cause-and-effect relationship, these findings suggest that individuals with inherently short telomeres should be at increased risk for cancer. It is widely believed that short telomeres in combination with other oncogenic changes lead to genomic instability, which is typically observed in most human cancers. However, recent studies have shown that in the general population individuals with inherently long telomeres are also at a higher risk for major cancers (67–71).

How do we explain this apparent paradox?

**Peto’s Paradox: Why do Most Large Long-Lived Species Not Get Cancer at a Higher Frequency Compared to Small Short-Lived Species?**

It is well established that most large mammals also have more cells and generally longer lifespans that require more cell replications, which theoretically should increase the mutational burden and augment cancer risk. However, Peto pointed out that cancer risk does not always scale with size (72). Large, long-living mammals show no increase in cancer risk compared with small, short-lived ones. Known as Peto’s paradox (73, 74), these findings suggest a role of evolutionary forces, part of which might be mediated through telomere biology. Large, long-living mammals typically repress telomerase in somatic tissues and have short telomeres compared with small, short-lifespan mammals (e.g., telomerase activity inversely correlates with body mass, not necessarily lifespan; refs. 75, 76). Repressed telomerase and short telomeres would thus diminish the maximal number of replication-mediated mutations that would occur prior to engaging telomere-based senescence. It was reported that short telomere length correlated with increased lifespan and that telomerase repression correlated with increased body size (mass) in over 50 mammalian species covering most of the mammalian radiation (75). This paradigm has led to the concept of evolutionary tradeoffs. Cancer resistance due to repressed telomerase and short telomeres might limit regenerative capacity, thus increasing the likelihood of age-dependent degenerative diseases, particularly as animals get older and their telomeres undergo further shortening. However, there are exceptions, such as the small long-lived mole rat (77), that show increases in tumor suppressor p15/p16 variants, decreased inflammation, and increases in high-molecular-mass hyaluronan, perhaps influencing cell adhesion. In contrast to somewhat rare exceptions, the concept of shorter inherited telomere length being an anticancer protection mechanism has been experimentally tested in a large series of mammals and remains a viable explanation (75).

Thus, the overarching question is: How do large mammals reduce their risk of cancer? In two recent papers on the elephant (78) and the bowhead whale (79), there are emerging findings that mechanisms to reduce cancer risk in large mammals may have evolved. For example, in the African and Asian elephant approximately 20 TP53-related sequences (p53) are detected by DNA sequencing (78), and although some of these may be pseudogenes, others produce functional protein. Thus, cancer-free longevity in the elephant may be due to acquiring extra copies of functional ancestral TP53 (78). Although p53 protein is generally thought of as a tumor suppressor pathway, it is more difficult to understand in evolutionary terms how these extra copies could have been selected for to protect against cancer. TP53 is also a cell stressor responsive gene, and this could possibly explain the evolutionary acquisition of extra copies of TP53. One possibility that was recently demonstrated (80) is that an ancestral function of wild-type p53, but not mutant p53, is to restrain retrotransposon mobility, and thus extra copies of wild-type p53 could serve as a tumor suppressor mechanism by reducing transposable elements from moving around in the normal genome. In contrast, the bowhead whale, which lives almost 200 years and is believed to be the longest-living mammal, has ~1,000 times more cells compared with humans. Similar to elephants, whales are rarely found to develop cancer. The bowhead whale genome was also recently sequenced, and the investigators proposed that increased copies or variants in DNA-damage repair genes (mutations in ERCC1 and PCNA and FEN1 duplications) may account for cancer-free longevity in whales (79).

**Man versus Mouse Cancer Paradox**

If whales and elephants have evolved anticancer protection mechanisms, what occurs in humans? An average human weighs about 60 to 80 kg and lives about 75 to 80 years compared with inbred strains of mice that weigh about 20 to 25 grams and live approximately 2 to 3 years. Yet humans and mice get about the same incidence of cancer. For this to make sense, humans would have to be at least 100,000 times more resistant to cancer compared with mice (53). Perhaps humans have better DNA repair mechanisms, or perhaps inbred strains of mice are inappropriate to compare with wild-type mice. In addition, inbred strains of mice have probably been inadvertently selected for fast growth, big litter sizes, and rapid maturation, which may have discarded slow-aging genes, including anticancer genes. For example, wild-type mice in captivity have been shown to live longer than inbred strains. Many wild-type mouse strains also have somewhat shorter telomeres compared with inbred strains, which generally have very long telomeres. Finally, if one deletes Tert or Terc (encoding the functional RNA template component of telomerase) from inbred strains of mice (81), telomeres do progressively shorten and, in later generations, mice develop aging phenotypes (stem cell dysfunction, cardiomyopathies, insulin resistance, diminished stress responses, and only a modest increase in cancer) similar to humans (81). Thus, inbred strains of mice in a normal lifespan probably do not use telomere-based replicative aging as an anticancer protection mechanism (53). Although this large difference in protection from cancer may be true when comparing inbred mice to humans, it is not true for humans when compared to elephants and whales. Thus, although humans may have evolutionarily evolved more efficient DNA repair or other mechanisms to reduce cancer incidence, humans still appear to be less protected from developing cancer when compared to other large long-lived mammalian species.
Why Are Humans More Susceptible to Cancer Compared to Elephants and Whales?

So, one could ask, why are humans especially vulnerable to cancer? Although some anticancer mechanisms may have evolved in evolutionary terms, such as dark pigmented skin to protect against UVB-induced cancers, humans in the modern era have a reasonably large tumor incidence (some estimate close to 50% in more developed, Western societies; refs. 82, 83). One explanation is that humans historically died in childbirth, of accidents, infectious diseases, and/or starvation and have never had the evolutionary pressures to develop even better anticancer protection mechanisms. With the improvement in sanitation, the development of vaccines and antibiotics, safer working environments, and improved medicines and surgical procedures, humans have essentially doubled their average lifespan in the last 150 years. In addition, humans have also dramatically changed their lifestyles from our ancestral hunter-gatherer, low-fat, and active environment, to a more sedentary, high-fat, smoking, sun-exposed, polluted environment. Some have estimated that the vast majority of human cancers are indeed associated with lifestyle factors that do not occur in other large long-lived mammals (83). Thus, because humans are living longer and most cancers occur in the 65-year-old and older segment of the population (e.g., post-reproduction), evolutionary adaptations have yet to occur in humans to the extent they have occurred in elephants and whales, even though humans have shorter telomeres and repress telomerase in somatic tissues similarly to elephants and whales. Because humans now live in a vastly different environment, it is possible that inflammatory responses are driving human cells past senescence into an extended lifespan phase so cells have additional divisions to engage additional oncogenic changes. When cells then enter crisis, in combination with other genetic and epigenetic changes, instead of engaging senescence, cells develop genomic instability and an increased risk of cancer and activation of telomerase. One way to think about this is that the rapid lifespan increases have most likely put most humans out of balance with evolution.

Are the Commonly Used Methods for Measuring Telomerase and Telomere Length Being Interpreted Correctly?

Although it is well established that the vast majority of human tumors express telomerase activity, assays for measuring this activity are varied, making comparisons between studies difficult. Telomerase can be assayed using a variety of methods, some more reliable and reproducible than others. For example, telomeric repeat amplification protocol (TRAP), which uses PCR to amplify the extension products of the telomerase enzyme, is quite sensitive and can detect as few as 0.01% positive cells (2, 49). Recently, more quantitative telomerase assays using droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) have been described (84), and ddTRAP can potentially provide more exact numbers of molecules of telomerase per cell instead of semiquantitative information using other methods. Indeed, the standard TRAP assay can vary widely in semiquantitatively estimating telomerase activity levels in tumor specimens, so most studies indicating that telomerase activity levels are prognostic indicators of outcome may be suspect. Many investigators also use mRNA for TERT as a surrogate for telomerase enzyme activity, but because there is new evidence that mRNA for TERT does exist in normal cells, caution is needed in using indirect methods for assuming enzyme activity.

There are also many methods to measure telomere length, including terminal restriction fragment (TRF) analysis (6), in situ Q-FISH (85, 86), flow-FISH (87), Q-PCR (88), chromosome-specific single telomere length analysis (STELA; ref. 89), and universal STELA (90, 91). In addition, there is now whole genome sequencing (TelSeq) to estimate average or mean telomere length that is quantitative but somewhat still expensive compared with other methods (92). All these methods for measuring telomere lengths have their strengths and limitations. For example, depending on the number of restriction enzymes used for the TRF Southern blot analysis, one gets very different ranges of average telomere sizes, and no standardizations in the field exist. Perhaps the most popular and widely used method for determining average telomere length is the Q-PCR method, because it is quite easy to conduct and provides an average telomere length compared with a single copy gene (88). The problem in using this technique in cancer cells as opposed to normal diploid cells is the global aneuploidy that exists in cancers, raising the very real possibility that the single copy reference gene may not be accurate, and almost nothing is mentioned about this in published studies.

Perhaps, even more importantly, it is not certain what average telomere length actually means when it is well established that the shortest telomeres lead to senescence and genomic instability (93). Although in situ Q-FISH and flow-FISH can provide information about the shorter telomere lengths in normal and tumor cells, both methods rely on probe hybridization kinetics to the telomeres that may not hybridize to the very shortest telomeres. For example, signal-free ends using in situ telomere Q-FISH does not mean these chromosome ends do not have telomeric repeats. Thus, quantitation of the very shortest telomeres requires more sensitive assays. Both single-chromosome and universal STELA are methods to identify the percentage of telomeres that are the very shortest (e.g., less than 1 to 2 kb). Single-chromosome STELA is perhaps less useful in cancer because there is a great variation and losses in chromosome numbers. Because STELA (90, 91) has recently emerged to measure the shortest telomeres on all chromosomes, but neither single-chromosome nor universal STELA are high-throughput methods, so large-scale studies would be more difficult. Issues to consider when conducting telomere testing for disease susceptibility and aging are provided in Table 2.

Targeting Telomerase: Therapeutic Potential

Although there have been several comprehensive reviews on the approaches being considered to inhibit telomerase in cancer (94–99), there have yet to be any antitelmerase therapies approved for any indication. This is certainly not from lack of trying, and some approaches have recently led to phase II clinical trials (100–102). Telomerase inhibitors remain an attractive approach to targeting cancer cells, largely because of the specificity of the activity in tumor cells. However, a key to understanding the role for this class of agents is that the inhibitory effects are apparent only after the cancer cells shorten their telomeres.

---

**Table 2.** Issues to consider when conducting telomere testing for disease susceptibility and aging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAP</td>
<td>Easy to conduct</td>
<td>Provides average telomere length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddPCR</td>
<td>More exact numbers</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-PCR</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Serial dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRF Southern blot</td>
<td>Different ranges of average telomere sizes</td>
<td>No standardizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TelSeq</td>
<td>Whole genome sequencing</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of telomere shortening during replication, resulting in progressive telomere attrition. Telomere attrition is associated with genomic instability and age-related diseases, including cancer. Telomerase inhibitors may be effective in preventing cancer development and progression.

---

**Figure 2.** Flow chart of methods for measuring telomere length. TRAP, terminal restriction fragment analysis; Q-FISH, quantitative fluorescent in situ hybridization; flow-FISH, flow cytometric fluorescence in situ hybridization; Q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; STELA, single-telomere length assay.
Table 2. Telomere testing considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are telomere length tests validated as a biomarker of aging in humans?</td>
<td>Analyses of telomeres are now widely used as biomarkers of aging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do we know about the dynamics of telomere length changes in prospective human longitudinal studies?</td>
<td>Telomere shortening is a normal aging process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are telomere length tests accurate within −5% upon multiple biologic replicates from the same individual?</td>
<td>Telomere length tests can be accurate but require careful validation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tests provide both the average and percentage of the shortest telomeres?</td>
<td>Yes, telomere length tests provide comprehensive data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What does average telomere length mean biologically?</td>
<td>Average telomere length is an indicator of cell age and proliferation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

telomeres sufficiently through continued proliferation to cause them to enter crisis and die. Therefore, time to effectiveness in halting tumor growth is theoretically dependent on the original length of the telomeres in cancer cells. Because the cancer cells will continue to proliferate before a signal to initiate growth arrest or die is “sensed” by the cell, they are less likely to be as effective in first-line therapy but more likely to play a supportive role to control residual disease (maintenance therapy) after initial control is accomplished through conventional surgery, radiotherapy, general chemotherapy, and even targeted therapy. In addition, because some hematopoietic proliferative cells exert regulated telomerase activity, toxicities have been observed (100–102), such as thrombocytopenia (e.g., low platelet counts). These toxicities require patients to go off the telomerase inhibitor, and very quickly the telomeres regain their length. The thrombocytopenia-inducing effects of one therapy, imetelstat, have now been repurposed to treat patients with essential thrombocythemia (101) and myelofibrosis (102), with excellent initial results, even though there are still many side effects. Importantly, the impressive response rates may be non-specific because there were no changes in telomere lengths over the course of the treatments and initial telomere lengths did not predict clinical responses. Alternatively, imetelstat may block terminal maturation in megakaryocyte precursors by inhibiting telomerase. A new approach to targeting telomerase-expressing cancer cells is to develop telomerase-mediated, telomere uncapping compounds (103). This would have the advantage of rapidly shrinking tumor size but largely not affecting telomerase-silent normal cells. Although there is still the possibility of some side effects with this approach, it does avoid the long lag period from initiation of therapy to tumor shrinkage.

In summary, telomerase activity is detected in the vast majority of human cancers. The bottlenecks at present is that additional validation studies and clinical trials will be required before knowledge of telomerase activity will be useful in a practical sense for decisions regarding patient management. This remains an area of intense investigation, and several additional classes of potential agents have been developed (reviewed in refs. 94–99).

CONCLUSIONS

There is mounting evidence that cellular senescence acts as a “cancer brake” because it takes many divisions to accumulate all the changes needed to become a cancer cell. In addition to the accumulation of several mutations in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, almost all advanced cancer cells are immortal and have overcome the normal cellular signals that prevent continued cell division. Young normal cells can divide many times, but these cells are not cancer cells, because they have not accumulated all the other changes needed to make a cell malignant. In most instances, cells become senescent before they can become cancer cells. Therefore, aging and cancer are two ends of the same spectrum. Inhibition of telomerase in cancer cells may be a viable target for anticancer therapeutics, whereas expression of telomerase in normal cells may extend healthy lifespan, especially for patients with inherited telomere spectrum disorders (104). This may be particularly important in specific age-related diseases in which increased cell turnover due to pathologic processes results in replicative senescence and a failure to maintain physiologic function (104). In summary, telomerase and its regulation of telomere length is an important target both for cancer therapy and for the treatment of age-related disease. The telomerase gene will likely have many important applications in the future of medicine and cellular engineering.
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