ABSTRACT

PARP inhibitors are active in tumors with defects in DNA homologous recombination (HR) due to BRCA1/2 mutations. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathway preserves HR steady state. We hypothesized that in BRCA-proficient triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), PI3K inhibition would result in HR impairment and subsequent sensitization to PARP inhibitors. We show in TNBC cells that PI3K inhibition leads to DNA damage, downregulation of BRCA1/2, gain in poly-ADP-ribosylation, and subsequent sensitization to PARP inhibition. In TNBC patient–derived primary tumor xenografts, dual PI3K and PARP inhibition with BKM120 and olaparib reduced the growth of tumors displaying BRCA1/2 downregulation following PI3K inhibition. PI3K-mediated BRCA downregulation was accompanied by extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation. Overexpression of an active form of MEK1 resulted in ERK activation and downregulation of BRCA1, whereas the MEK inhibitor AZD6244 increased BRCA1/2 expression and reversed the effects of MEK1. We subsequently identified that the ETS1 transcription factor was involved in the ERK-dependent BRCA1/2 downregulation and that knockdown of ETS1 led to increased BRCA1/2 expression, limiting the sensitivity to combined BKM120 and olaparib in 3-dimensional culture.

SIGNIFICANCE: Treatment options are limited for patients with TNBCs. PARP inhibitors have clinical activity restricted to a small subgroup of patients with BRCA mutations. Here, we show that PI3K blockade results in HR impairment and sensitization to PARP inhibition in TNBCs without BRCA mutations, providing a rationale to combine PI3K and PARP inhibitors in this indication. Our findings could greatly expand the number of patients with breast cancer that would benefit from therapy with PARP inhibitors. On the basis of our findings, a clinical trial with BKM120 and olaparib is being initiated in patients with TNBCs.
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INTRODUCTION

Therapeutic options for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) are limited and based on the use of multiple lines of chemotherapy (1). An exception to this paradigm is found in the small subset of TNBCs that have defects in homologous recombination (HR)-mediated DNA repair due to BRCA1/2 mutations, in which therapy with PARP inhibitors results in high antitumor activity (2–5). PARP enzymatic activity is necessary for the repair of single-strand breaks (SSB) through the base excision repair (BER) pathway. Several double-strand break repair mechanisms exist, including HR, which uses a sister chromatid template for recombination, and nonhomologous end joining, which uses DNA ligation for repair but exhibits lower fidelity than HR (5). When PARP is inhibited, unrepaired SSBs can degenerate to DSBs that, in BRCA-deficient cells, can no longer be repaired by HR, resulting in a continuous and lethal DNA damage (4–6). BRCA1 and BRCA2 proteins are essential components of HR that are recruited to damaged DNA for repair of the DSBs. Therefore, the loss of BRCA1/2 results in HR deficiency and sensitization to PARP inhibitors. HR deficiency can also occur through other mechanisms that may promote sensitivity to PARP inhibition: (i) methylation and silencing of the Fanconi anemia genes (7), involved in DNA repair and associated with BRCA1/2, (ii) loss of CDK1 activity, which maintains BRCA1 protein stability (8), or (iii) loss of RAD51 expression (7, 9), a necessary recombinase in the HR complex that associates with BRCA1/2.

TNBCs also display aberrant activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway, which occurs due to a variety of mechanisms including loss of negative pathway regulators such as PTEN or inositol polyphosphate 4-phosphatase type II (INPP4B; refs. 10, 11), activating mutations of the PIK3CA gene (12), or overexpression of the EGF receptor (13). Direct pharmacologic inhibition of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling is, therefore, an attractive clinical strategy for this disease. In addition to regulating cellular processes including metabolism, growth, and survival (14), PI3K also stabilizes and preserves DSB repair by interacting with the HR complex under normal conditions (15) and is necessary for DNA repair during ionizing radiation (16). Here, we investigate the effects of PI3K inhibition in perturbing DNA HR in preclinical models of TNBCs containing PI3K-activating alterations. We found that PI3K blockade promotes HR deficiency by downregulating BRCA1/2 and sensitizes BRCA-proficient tumors to PARP inhibition.

RESULTS

PI3K Suppression Impairs Homologous Recombination

TNBC is exquisitely sensitive to chemotherapy. This is due, at least in part, to intrinsic genomic instability of TNBC
cells as a result of deficient DNA repair (17). Because PI3K signaling is known to maintain HR steady state (15), we asked whether inhibition of PI3K would further promote DNA damage in TNBCs. To test this hypothesis, we first used siRNA to knockdown the expression of PIK3CA, the gene encoding for the α-isoform of the catalytic p110 subunit of the PI3K enzyme complex, in MDA-MB-468 cells, a BRCA wild-type PTEN-null TNBC cell line. PIK3CA knockdown resulted in accumulation of phosphorylated histone 2AX (γH2AX), a protein that localizes to damaged DNA (18) and recruits DNA repair effectors to these sites (ref. 19; Fig. 1A and B). γH2AX accumulation, occurring mainly in the S- and G2 phases of the cell cycle, was further enhanced by concomitant treatment with olaparib, a small-molecule

![Figure 1. BRCA downregulation and γH2AX staining following PI3K inhibition in vitro.](image)

A, fluorescence-activated cell-sorting (FACS) analysis showing staining of γH2AX during the 3 phases of the cell cycle (G1, S, G2) in MDA-MB-468 cells transfected with control, BRCA1, or PIK3CA siRNAs and treated with either dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or 1 μmol/L olaparib. B, immunofluorescence of γH2AX in MDA-MB-468 cells transfected with control, BRCA1, or PIK3CA siRNAs and treated with either DMSO or 1 μmol/L of olaparib. Percentages of positive nuclei (10 foci or more per nucleus being considered positive) from 10 fields per sample are indicated. C, Western blot analysis of cell lysates (7 days posttransfection) from MDA-MB-468 cells transfected with control or PIK3CA siRNAs using the indicated antibodies. Tubulin was used as loading control. D, Western blot analysis of lysates from MDA-MB-468 treated with BKM120 (750 nmol/L) for 7 days using the indicated antibodies. Total ERK (tERK) was used as a loading control. E, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) measuring both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mRNA levels in MDA-MB-468 treated with BKM120. Measurements were normalized to 18S mRNA levels and expressed as fold change compared with controls (log2 scale). Data are shown as mean ± SE of 3 independent replicates for each condition.
PARP inhibitor. In the same assay, siRNA for BRCA1 served as a positive control for γH2AX accumulation following PARP inhibition.

Concomitantly, we observed that suppression of PIK3CA was accompanied by downregulation of BRCA1, indicating that PI3K suppression per se can inhibit the expression of proteins necessary for HR (Fig. 1C; Supplementary Fig. S1). Importantly, BRCA1 downregulation by itself had little effect in increasing γH2AX nuclear foci formation. Subsequently, we investigated whether pharmacologic inhibition of PI3K could recapitulate the effects on BRCA expression observed by PIK3CA knockdown (Fig. 1D). Consistently, treatment with a pan-PI3K inhibitor (NVP-BKM120, hereafter referred to as BKM120), resulted in decreased expression of BRCA1/2 and concomitant gain of Poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) proteins, a product (and marker) of PARP activation (20).

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) showed that BRCA1/2 downregulation occurred, at least in part, at the transcriptional level and was not limited to MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 1E; Supplementary Fig. S2).

HR Deficiency Induced by PI3K Suppression Sensitizes to PARP Inhibition

In the setting of decreased HR activity, PARP inhibition results in chromatid aberrations leading to cell lethality (5). To evaluate whether HR impairment following PI3K suppression conferred increased sensitivity to PARP inhibition, we tested the in vitro activity of 2 PARP inhibitors, olaparib (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. S3A) and ABT888 (Supplementary Fig. S3B), in cells transfected with PIK3CA siRNA or treated with BKM120. Knockdown of PIK3CA decreased the IC50 for olaparib in MDA-MB-468 (Fig. 2A) and BT20 (Supplementary Fig. S3B) TNBC cells. Knockdown of PIK3CB also led to sensitization to olaparib or ABT888, albeit to a lesser extent (data not shown). The combination of olaparib and BKM120 was superior to single agent in inhibiting colony formation in soft agar in MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 2B). The superiority of the combination was observed in additional TNBC BRCA-wild-type cell lines (MDA-MB-231: RAS-mutated; HCC1143: PTEN-null; HCC70: PTEN-null) as well as with different PI3K inhibitors (GDC-0941; Fig. 2C).

BKM120-Mediated BRCA Downregulation Sensitizes to PARP Inhibition in Patient-Derived Primary Tumor Xenografts

To study the effects of BKM120 in modulating BRCA expression and consequent sensitization to olaparib in models that better represent patient tumors, we decided to use 3 TNBC patient–derived tumor xenografts developed from 3 different patients with breast cancer at our institution (Table 1). These models have been reported to resemble both the morphologic and molecular characteristics of the original patient tumors from which they have been expanded (21, 22). Indeed, tissue architecture, hormone receptor levels, HER2, and PTEN expression of our xenografts were indistinguishable from their original tumors (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Accumulation of nuclear γH2AX foci following PI3K inhibition was variable in the 3 TNBC models (Fig. 3A). TNBC1 and TNBC3 showed a 2-fold increase in γH2AX staining, whereas no significant changes occurred in TNBC2 following BKM120 treatment. The inability of TNBC2 to accumulate γH2AX foci following PI3K inhibition may be due to its intrinsic resistance (and lack of significant cell death; refs. 24, 25) to BKM120 used as a single agent (data not shown). Downregulation of BRCA expression upon BKM120 treatment occurred in TNBC1 and TNBC2 and was accompanied by an increase in PAR levels (Figs. 3B, 3C). We therefore hypothesized that combined PI3K and PARP inhibition was likely to be effective in these 2 xenograft models. BKM120 as a single agent temporarily reduced tumor growth in TNBC1 and TNBC3 but was scarcely efficacious in TNBC2. As expected, olaparib monotherapy was ineffective in all 3 models. However, the combination of BKM120 with olaparib was superior to single agents in reducing TNBC1 and TNBC2 tumor growth (Fig. 4). Consistently, lack of BRCA downregulation and PARP activation (TNBC3; Fig. 3B and C) coincided with little or no benefit from dual PI3K and PARP suppression (TNBC3; Fig. 4).
Figure 2. Combined PI3K and PARP suppression in vitro. A, viability (assayed by Cell Titer-Glo) of MDA-MB-468 cells transfected with control, BRCA1, or PI3KCA siRNAs and treated with either dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or olaparib for 7 days. IC50 values were calculated using the GraphPad Prism program.

B, MDA-MB-468 cells plated in anchorage-independent conditions treated with BKM-120 (750 nmol/L), olaparib (4 μmol/L), or the combination for 45 days, with weekly media changes. Cell colonies were stained with crystal violet. C, MDA-MB-231, HCC1143, and HCC70 cells plated in anchorage-dependent conditions treated with BKM-120 (750 nmol/L), olaparib (4 μmol/L), GDC-0941 (500 nmol/L), or the combination for 7 days. Magnification, x10.

Taken together, these results suggest that (i) the degree of HR impairment and consequent sensitization to PARP inhibition induced by PI3K blockade may vary among different TNBC populations and (ii) the sensitivity to dual PARP and PI3K treatment may be dependent on the degree of BRCA1/2 downregulation and consequent increased PARP activity (PAR) following PI3K inhibition.

Extracellular Signal–Regulated Kinase Inhibition Prevents the BKM120-Mediated Decrease in BRCA Expression and Increase in PARP Activity

Next, we searched for possible mechanisms that could explain the variability in BRCA1/2 expression (and consequent susceptibility to PARP inhibition) following PI3K

...
Figure 3. γH2AX staining and BRCA downregulation following PI3K inhibition in vivo. A, representative immunofluorescence staining for γH2AX (red) comparing placebo versus BKM120-treated (27.5 mg/kg) TNBC xenografts. Nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Quantifications of γH2AX staining are from 6 different tumors for each condition. *, P < 0.001. Magnification, ×20. B, qRT-PCR measuring both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mRNA levels of patient-derived TNBC1 and TNBC2 treated with BKM120. Measurements were normalized to 18S mRNA levels and expressed as fold change compared with controls (log2 scale). Data are shown as mean ± SE of 3 independent replicates for each condition. *, P < 0.001. C, Western blot analysis of patient-derived xenografts of 2 independent tumors from different mice treated for 21 days with vehicle or BKM120 (27.5 mg/kg) using the indicated antibodies. Total ERK (tERK) is used as loading control.
Responsive to BKM120 and olaparib combination

Nonresponsive to BKM120 and olaparib combination

Figure 4. Combined PI3K and PARP suppression in vivo. Tumor growth of TNBC1, TNBC2, and TNBC3 xenografts treated with vehicle control, BKM120 (27.5 mg/kg), olaparib (Olap; 50 mg/kg), or the combination of both agents. Relative tumor volumes are displayed as mean ± SE of a minimum of 6 tumors per arm. *, P < 0.001 combination versus BKM120 arm.

suppression. Interestingly, in both TNBC1 and TNBC2, we observed a concomitant increase of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation upon BKM120 treatment (Fig. 3C). This finding was not surprising as ERK activation following PI3K blockade in breast cancer has been previously described by us and others (26–28). To explore whether BRCA1/2 expression may be regulated by the ERK pathway, we undertook several approaches. First, we exogenously expressed an active form of MEK1 (thus increasing ERK phosphorylation) in MDA-MB-468 cells and asked whether elevated ERK activity per se led to downregulation of BRCA1. In Fig. 5A and B, we show that this in fact was the case. Moreover, pharmacologic MEK inhibition using the small-molecule MEK inhibitor AZD6244 was sufficient to increase the expression of BRCA1 and BRCA2 and reverse the effects of MEK1 overexpression.

These observations prompted us to conduct a Jaspar promoter analysis (29) searching for ERK-related transcription factors binding to either BRCA1 or BRCA2 promoters. This analysis identified ETS1 as the transcription factor with the highest number of BRCA1/2 binding motifs (Supplementary Table S1). As a matter of fact, ETS transcription factors have been described to be phosphorylated (and activated) by the ERK pathway (30) and to repress the BRCA1 promoter (31).

To test whether the ETS1 transcription factor was involved in the ERK-dependent BRCA1/2 downregulation upon PI3K blockade, we measured the levels of phosphorylated ETS1 (pETS1T38) in MDA-MB-468 cells treated with BKM120, AZD6244, and the combination of both (Fig. 5C). Together with the expected increase in ERK activation, BKM120 treatment also increased the levels of pETS1T38 (Fig. 5C; Supplementary Fig. S5). In Fig. 5D, we confirm that PI3K suppression and ERK activation led to transcriptional BRCA1/2 downregulation. In Fig. 5E and F, we show that these results also held true in vivo. In fact, TNBC1 treated with BKM120 displayed ERK activation with increased pETS1 levels that led to downregulation of BRCA1/2. This phenomenon was prevented by MEK suppression by AZD6244.

To further confirm the role of ETS1 in regulating ERK-dependent BRCA1/2 expression, we specifically knocked down ETS1 by short hairpin RNA (shRNA) or siRNA (Fig. 6A and C; Supplementary Fig. S6) and showed that this manipulation was sufficient to increase BRCA1/2 expression and concomitantly inhibit PARP activity in 2 TNBC cell lines (Fig. 6A–D). Furthermore, ETS1 downregulation in MDA-MB-231 cells limited the sensitivity to dual PI3K and PARP inhibition (without altering colony formation of cells treated with single agents; Fig. 6E).

Taken together, these data indicate that HR impairment following PI3K blockade may be dependent on ERK activation that in turn increases ETS1 phosphorylation (and activity) to repress BRCA1/2 expression.

DISCUSSION

HR deficiency associated with BRCA1/2 mutation results in dependence on PARP-mediated DNA repair and profound sensitivity to PARP inhibitors (2–4, 7). In contrast, the clinical activity of PARP inhibitors in non-BRCA-mutant tumors to date has been disappointing (32), resulting in limited applicability of these agents in the clinic. It has been proposed that somatic loss of BRCA function by promoter methylation and consequent transcriptional silencing may also induce HR deficiency, which would result in an additional fraction of patients who may potentially benefit from PARP inhibitors (33).
Figure 5. ERK-dependent BRCA downregulation. A, Western blot analysis of protein lysates from MDA-MB-468 cells constitutively overexpressing control or MEK1 plasmids and treated with the MEK inhibitor AZD6244 (500 nmol/L) for 4 days using the indicated antibodies. Total ERK (tERK) is used as loading control. B, qRT-PCR measuring both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mRNA levels in MDA-MB-468-MEK1 cells treated with AZD6244. Measurements were normalized to 18S mRNA levels and expressed as fold change compared to controls (log 2 scale). Data are shown as mean±SE of 3 independent replicates for each condition. C, Western blot analysis of protein lysates from MDA-MB-468 cells treated with BKM120 (750 nmol/L), AZD6244 (500 nmol/L), or the combination of both for 4 days using the indicated antibodies. Total ERK (tERK) is used as loading control. D, qRT-PCR measuring both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mRNA levels in MDA-MB-468 cells treated with BKM120 and AZD6244. Measurements were normalized to 18S mRNA levels and expressed as fold change compared with controls (log 2 scale). Data are shown as mean±SE of 3 independent replicates for each condition. E, Western blot analysis of the indicated proteins in 2 independent TNBC1 tumors treated for 4 days with BKM120 (50 mg/kg), AZD6244 (10 mg/kg), or the combination of both. Total ERK (tERK) is used as loading control. F, qRT-PCR measuring both BRCA1 and BRCA2 mRNA levels in tumor grafts. Measurements were normalized to 18S mRNA levels and expressed as fold change compared with controls (log 2 scale). Data are shown as mean±SE of 3 tumors for each condition.
Our study suggests that PI3K inhibition could be exploited to induce HR deficiency and sensitization to PARP inhibition in BRCA-proficient TNBCs. Using in vitro models, we show that suppression of the PI3K pathway was accompanied by BRCA1/2 downregulation and an increase in PARP activity (increased PAR levels), indicating that cells undergoing PI3K suppression become more dependent on this DNA repair mechanism. As a matter of fact, dual inhibition of both PARP and PI3K activity resulted in greater suppression of cell proliferation, as well as of anchorage-independent and -dependent colony formation. However, in vivo, we observed that BRCA1/2 downregulation following PI3K inhibition was variable among our tested models. In those patient-derived xenografts showing BKM120-dependent BRCA downregulation, the addition of olaparib significantly suppressed tumor growth. No such effects were observed when BRCA1/2 levels remained unchanged by PI3K inhibition. This would suggest that BRCA expression rather than DNA damage following PI3K inhibition predicts response to PARP inhibition. This observation is in accordance with recent data indicating that response to chemotherapy in TNBCs occurs exquisitely in tumors with impaired BRCA nuclear expression/localization independently of the magnitude of DNA damage (34).

Intriguingly, in the tumors responding to combined therapy, BRCA1/2 downmodulation was accompanied by concomitant activation of the ERK pathway following PI3K inhibition. Moreover, the use of a MEK inhibitor prevented ERK phosphorylation and limited BRCA1/2 downregulation induced by BKM120 treatment. These results indicate that BRCA1/2 gene transcription may be regulated, at least in part, by the ERK pathway. Furthermore, our data suggest that ETS1 is among the transcription factors mediating this ERK-dependent BRCA downregulation. Interestingly, it has been recently proposed that the antitumor effects of olaparib may be limited to ETS-positive tumors (35). Our study supports this conclusion and argues that ETS1-dependent ERK activation is sufficient to sensitize TNBCs to dual PI3K and PARP blockade.
allelic mutation of BRCA1 is sufficient for the induction of HR deficiency (36), suggesting that changes in (and not only complete loss) in BRCA1 or BRCA2 expression could act synergistically with PARP inhibition in abolishing tumor growth. In summary, we show that PI3K inhibition in TNBCs results in BRCA downregulation, activation of PARP, and ultimately sensitization to PARP inhibition. Importantly, BRCA1/2 downregulation following PI3K inhibition seems to be a condition sine qua non to achieve strong antitumor activity when a PARP inhibitor is combined in BRCA-wild-type TNBCs. Our findings provide the rationale to investigate the clinical efficacy of dual PARP and PI3K inhibition in TNBCs, an approach that could expand the fraction of patients who may benefit from PARP inhibitors.

METHODS

Cell Culture and Inhibitors
MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, HCCT70, HCCI1143, and BT20 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were tested and authenticated by ATCC (DNA fingerprinting, karyotyping, and morphology study) and additionally by Western blot and MassArray mutational analysis in our laboratory. MDA-MB-468 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% FBS. MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in ATCC-formulated Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium with 10% FBS. BT20 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640, 10% FBS, supplemented with basic minimal essential amino acids (Sigma), MEM Nonessential Amino Acids (Sigma), and porcine insulin. HCCT70 and HCCI1143 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell cultures were conducted at 37°C in 5% CO2. Low melting temperature seaplaque agarose was purchased from Lonza. Matrigel was purchased from Invitrogen. GDC-0941, MK2206, AZD6244, and AZD2281 (olaparib) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. ABT888 was purchased from Active Biochem. Plasmids for control and MEK1 were kindly provided by Cory Johansen at the Broad Institute (Boston, MA). The siRNAs against human BRCA1, PIK3CA, PIK3CB and non-targeting control #3 are ON-TARGET+ SMARTpool siRNAs from Dharmacon Thermal Scientific. Lipo-fectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent was purchased from Life Technologies. siRNA for control and ETS1 were generated using Invitrogen siRNA sequence generator and purchased from Sigma. pTRIPZ ETS1 shRNA lentiviral (5 clones—RHS4740-NM_005238) doxycycline-regulated vector (shRNA-ETS1) was purchased from OpenBiosystems.

Flow Cytometry for γH2AX
Twenty-four hours after transfection with 50 mmol/L siRNA and RNAiMAX transfection reagent according to manufacturer’s protocol, cells were treated with PARP inhibitor or vehicle control for 48 hours. Cells were then trypsinized into single-cell suspension and fixed with 1% ice-cold paraformaldehyde solution for 15 minutes, followed by incubation with 70% ethanol at −20°C overnight. Cells were permeabilized with bovine serum albumin (BSA)–T–PBS (1% BSA and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS) and incubated with γH2AX antibody (BD Pharmingen, Alexa Fluor 488 Mouse anti-H2AX, pS139) at 4°C overnight. Cells were then washed, resuspended in propidium iodide (PI) staining solution, and run on FACScalibur System (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed with FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc.).

Cell Viability Assay
Eighteen hours after transfection with the indicated siRNAs, cells were trypsinized and plated in 96-well plates in triplicates. Six hours later, various concentrations of olaparib or ABT888 were added. After growing for 7 days, cell viability was assessed by Cell Titer-Glo (Promega).

Clonogenic Assay
Eighteen hours after transfection with the indicated siRNAs, cells were trypsinized and plated in 6-well plates at clonal density in triplicates. Six hours later, various concentrations of olaparib or ABT888 were added. Medium was refreshed every 4 days. After growing 14 to 18 days, cell colonies were stained with methylene blue and counted by Labworks imaging software (UVP).

Anchorage-Dependent and -Independent Growth in 3-Dimensional Culture
A 1% seaplaque agarose media mixture was solidified in 24-well plates. A cell mixture of 0.4% agarose in media and 5,000 cells was plated on top of the 1% agarose layer. After 24 hours, cells were treated with inhibitors as indicated and media containing inhibitors were changed once per week. Images were taken at a ×10 objective.

Three-dimensional (3D) anchorage culture of breast cancer cells was conducted in 100% Matrigel. Briefly, polyHEMA-coated 96-well plates were coated with 25 μL of Matrigel, centrifuged, and allowed to gel at 37°C. Five thousand cells were plated on top in media containing 2% Matrigel. After 3D structures were formed (3 days), cells were treated as indicated for 7 days. Phase-contrast microscopy was used to image 3D structures.

Generation of ETS1 shRNA-Expressing MDA-MB-231
Lentiviral pTRIPZ was generated according to manufacturer’s protocol, and target MDA-MB-231 cells were infected with a pool of 5 ETS1 shRNA viral constructs. Cells were puromycin selected for 2 weeks and then treated with or without doxycycline (20 ng/mL) for 4 days.

Western Blots
Tumor samples were homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer [Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 20 mmol/L; NaCl, 137 mmol/L; EDTA, pH 8.0, 2 mmol/L; NP40 1%, glyceroxyl 10%; supplemented with NaF, 10 mmol/L; leupeptin, 10 μg/mL; NaVO3, 200 mmol/L; phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, 5 mmol/L; and Aprotinin (Sigma-Aldrich)]. Cells were also collected in ice-cold lysis buffer. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, and supernatants were removed and assayed for protein concentration using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Thirty micrograms of total lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and electrophototetically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were hybridized with the following primary antibodies: phospho-Akt (pAkt-Ser473), phospho-Akt (pAkt-Thr308), phospho-S6 kinases, and chicken-HRP (Abcam) were used at 1:2,000 in TBS-T/1% nonfat dry milk. Mouse and rabbit horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–conjugated secondary antibodies (Amersham Biosciences) and chicken-HRP (Abcam) were used at 1:2,000 in PBS-T/1% nonfat dry milk. Protein–antibody complexes were detected by chemiluminescence with the Immobilon Western HRP Substrate and images were captured with a Fujifilm LAS-3000 camera system.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR
qRT-PCR was carried out using TaqMan probes from Applied Biosystems, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Reactions were carried out in an ABI 7500 sequence detector (Perkin Elmer) and results were expressed as fold change calculated by the ΔCt method relative to the control sample. The ribosomal subunit 18S was used as an internal normalization control.

RNA Expression Microarray Analysis
Tumor RNA was extracted by RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions, and 100 ng were hybridized...
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onto Affymetrix HuGene microarrays. Data were analyzed as previously described (37).

Establishment of Patient-Derived Tumor Grafts in Nude Mice

Patient consent for tumor use in animals was obtained under a protocol approved by the Vall d’Hebron Hospital (Barcelona, Spain) Clinical Investigation Ethical Committee and Animal Use Committee. Tumors were subcutaneously implanted in 6-week-old female HsdCpb:NMRI-Foxn1nu mice (Harlan Laboratories). Animals were supplemented with 1 μmol/L estradiol (Sigma) in the drinking water. After tumor graft growth, tumor tissue was re-implanted into recipient mice, which were randomized upon implant growth.

In Vivo Treatment Study

Patient-derived tumor grafts: Animals bearing patient-derived tumor grafts were randomized in groups of 6 to 8 mice per group when tumor volumes reached 100 to 200 mm³. Animals were treated by oral gavage with NVP-BKM120 every 6 days (dissolved in NMP-PEG) or AZD6244 (dissolved in methylcellulose/Tween). Olaparib was resuspended for intraperitoneal administration in 10% Captisol. Tumor grafts were monitored with calipers, and tumor volumes were determined using the formula: (length × width²)/π/6. At the end of the experiment, animals were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation. Tumor volumes are plotted as mean ± SE.

Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence

Tumor specimens and tumor grafts were fixed immediately after biopsy or excision in a 10% buffered formalin solution for a maximum of 24 hours at room temperature before being dehydrated and paraffin embedded under vacuum conditions. For tumor grafts, tissue microarrays (TMA) were constructed including triplicate cores from each graft. TMA slides underwent deparaffinization and antigen retrieval using PT Link system (Dako) following manufacturer’s instructions. Antibodies against the following targets were from Dako: estrogen receptor (ER; 1:50), progesterone receptor (PR; prediluted), HER2 (prediluted), and PTEN (1:100) from CST. Immunohistochemical staining was conducted as follows: 4-μm sections from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded material were deparaffinized and hydrated. Antigen retrieval was conducted using a T/T Mega microwave system following manufacturer’s instructions and Dako reagents. After peroxide blocking, slides were incubated with primary antibody, secondary antibody, and finally developed with freshly prepared 0.05% 3,3′-diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin. Positive and negative controls were run along with the tested slides per each marker.

Immunofluorescence analysis of H2AX in MDA-MB-468 cells was conducted on cells seeded onto coated cover slips and then transfected 24 hours later with control siRNA, BRCA1 siRNA, or PIK3CA siRNA. Either vehicle control or 1 μmol/L olaparib was added to the medium 24 hours after transfection. Two days after drug treatment, cells were fixed with 3% formaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and blocked with 10% FBS-containing PBS medium for 1 hour. Subsequently, cells were incubated with H2AX antibody (BD Pharmingen, Alex Fluor 488 Mouse anti-H2AX, pS139; 1:1,000), washed, counterstained by Hoechst 33342 to visualize the nuclei, and mounted for immunofluorescence analysis. Ten fields per sample were quantified with a threshold of 10 foci or more being considered positive.

Immunofluorescence analysis of H2AX (Millipore, 1:100) in tumor samples was conducted on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded TMA. After deparaffinization, antigen retrieval was conducted by incubation in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer, pH 6.0 (Dako), in a heated (97°C) water bath for 40 minutes. Nonspecific binding was blocked by immersing the sections in a TBS/5% BSA solution for 10 minutes. Sections were incubated with a mouse monoclonal antibody at a dilution of 1:100 for 60 minutes. Anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes) was diluted 1:700 for 30 minutes. Sections were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI, Vysis). All incubations were conducted at room temperature. Images were acquired using a confocal microscope and quantified by a pathologist blinded to the identity of the samples.

Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was done using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). Error bars represent the SE. All experiments were repeated at least 3 times.
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