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INTRODUCTION

The Ras pathway is one of the most commonly deregulated pathways in human cancer (1–3). Oncogenic mutations in Ras genes are found in a diverse set of human tumors; however, Ras can also become hyperactivated as a consequence of loss-of-function mutations in genes that encode Ras GTPase-activating proteins (RasGAP), which negatively regulate Ras by catalyzing the hydrolysis of Ras-GTP (4–7). The NF1 tumor suppressor is the most well-studied RasGAP and is mutated in neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), a familial cancer syndrome affecting one in 3,500 individuals worldwide (8). NF1 has also been shown to be mutated or lost in sporadic glioblastomas (9–11), non–small cell lung cancers (12), neuroblastoma (13, 14), and melanoma (15–17), showing a broader role for NF1 in human cancer.

Although tumor suppressors are commonly inactivated by genetic mechanisms, the proteasomal destruction of tumor suppressor proteins such as p53, p27, and PTEN also contributes to their functional inactivation in human cancer (18–21). Moreover, in tumor types where p53 is destabilized, strategies aimed at blocking its pathogenic destruction have been an active area of therapeutic development (22). Neurofibromin is also controlled by the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and its expression is dynamically regulated by growth factors in normal settings (11, 23). More recently, we and others have found that NF1/neurofibromin expression can be ablated by genetic as well as proteasomal mechanisms in sporadic glioblastomas (9–11). However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms that control its regulated or aberrant destruction. Here, we identify the ubiquitin ligase complex that controls neurofibromin stability in normal and pathogenic settings. Thus, in addition to identifying a new regulator of the Ras pathway, these findings can be used as a foundation for developing new therapeutic approaches to treat cancers such as glioblastomas where neurofibromin is chronically destabilized. These findings also reveal a new strategy to suppress Ras activation, which may be more broadly exploited to develop therapies for other cancers.

RESULTS

Identifying the Ubiquitin Ligase Complex that Regulates Neurofibromin Degradation in Response to Growth Factors

We previously reported that a variety of growth factors trigger the acute destruction of neurofibromin within 5 minutes of exposure, and that protein levels are reelevated 90 minutes thereafter (23). Importantly, we showed that (i) this tightly regulated degradation and reexpression are essential for maintaining appropriate levels of Ras-GTP. Like p53, NF1/neurofibromin can be inactivated in cancer by both mutations and excessive proteasomal destruction; however, little is known about the mechanisms that underlie this latter process. Here, we show that a Cullin 3 (Cul3)/kelch repeat and BTB domain-containing 7 complex controls both the regulated proteasomal degradation of neurofibromin and the pathogenic destabilization of neurofibromin in glioblastomas. Importantly, RNAi-mediated Cul3 ablation and a dominant-negative Cul3 directly stabilize neurofibromin, suppress Ras and extracellular signal-regulated kinase, and inhibit proliferation in an NF1-dependent manner. Moreover, in glioblastomas where neurofibromin is chronically destabilized, Cul3 inhibition restabilizes the protein and suppresses tumor development. Collectively, these studies show a previously unrecognized role for Cul3 in regulating Ras and provide a molecular framework that can be exploited to develop potential cancer therapies.
To identify the ubiquitin ligase responsible for neurofibromin degradation, we used a short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-based screening approach. We focused on the cullin-RING superfamily of E3 ligases, as these proteins have been shown to play a critical role in cell-cycle regulation and growth control (24, 25). Cells were infected with lentiviruses encoding shRNA sequences that recognize each of the mammalian cullin subunits. Depletion of Cullin 3 (Cul3), but not other cullins, blocked the degradation of neurofibromin in response to serum (Fig. 1C). Notably, Cullin 1, which controls the stability of potential oncogenes and tumor suppressor proteins involved in cell-cycle progression and proliferation, such as cyclin E and p27 (26), had no effect on neurofibromin degradation (Fig. 1C). Two distinct shRNA constructs targeting different regions of Cul3 blocked neurofibromin degradation, showing that this phenotype is not an off-target effect (Fig. 1D).

We have previously shown that growth factors trigger neurofibromin destabilization by activating protein kinase C (PKC), which provides a signal that is both necessary and sufficient for neurofibromin destruction (11). To determine whether Cul3 mediates PKC-regulated neurofibromin degradation, cells were treated with the PKC activator PMA (phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate). Although neurofibromin was degraded in response to PKC activation in control cells, PMA-induced degradation was blocked in Cul3-depleted cells (Fig. 1E). These results indicate that Cul3 mediates the PKC-driven destabilization of neurofibromin.

**Endogenous Cul3 Interacts with Neurofibromin and Controls Neurofibromin Ubiquitination and Degradation**

To determine whether Cul3 directly regulates neurofibromin stability, cells were pretreated with proteasome inhibitors,
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and neurofibromin or Cul3 was immunoprecipitated from serum-stimulated NIH3T3 fibroblasts. Importantly, endogenous neurofibromin and endogenous Cul3 cocomplexes were detected under the precise conditions in which neurofibromin was normally degraded (Fig. 2A). To confirm a functional role for Cul3 in this complex, we used a dominant-negative fragment of Cul3 (DN-Cul3) that can bind Cul3 targets but is unable to recruit the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (27, 28).

Cul3 substrates directly associate with this fragment but cannot become ubiquitinated or degraded. Similar to endogenous Cul3, DN-Cul3 also forms a stable complex with endogenous neurofibromin in vivo (Fig. 2B). Neurofibromin did not coprecipitate with a DN-Cul4A fragment, further highlighting the specificity of this interaction. Similar to the effects of Cul3 shRNAs, DN-Cul3 substantially blocked neurofibromin degradation (Fig. 2C).

**Figure 2.** Cul3 associates with neurofibromin and regulates its ubiquitination. A, endogenous neurofibromin, Cul3, or a control were immunoprecipitated from serum-starved NIH3T3 fibroblasts after stimulation with 10% serum in the presence of proteasome inhibitors and a chemical crosslinker. Immunoblots (IB) of neurofibromin or Cul3 immunocomplexes and whole-cell lysates (WCL) are shown. B, neurofibromin was immunoprecipitated from NIH3T3 fibroblasts expressing dominant-negative (DN) versions of Cul3 and Cul4A. Expression of DN cullins in total cell lysates was confirmed with an anti-HA antibody and equal loading was confirmed by a RasGAP p120 immunoblot. Immunoblots using a neurofibromin antibody and an HA antibody confirm the specific association of DN-Cul3 with neurofibromin. C, immunoblot assessing the effect of lentivirally expressed HA-tagged DN-Cul3 or a lentiviral control vector on neurofibromin stability in serum-stimulated NIH-3T3 fibroblasts. Expression of DN-Cul3 was detected using an anti-HA antibody. RasGAP p120 levels are shown as a loading control. D, serum-starved NIH3T3 fibroblasts expressing a Cul3-specific shRNA were treated with proteasome inhibitors (1 μmol/L bortezomib, 10 mmol/L MG132) for 2 hours, and stimulated with 10% serum for 5 minutes. Total cell lysates were isolated in buffer containing 2 nmol/L N-ethyl-maleimide to preserve polyubiquitin chains. Neurofibromin or control immunoprecipitations are shown. Both ubiquitin and neurofibromin blots denote a decrease in high-mobility ubiquitinated neurofibromin (brackets) in cells depleted of Cul3. An arrow points to unmodified neurofibromin. Cul3 levels and a RasGAP p120 loading control are also shown. E, Western blot depicting the expression of ectopic HA-tagged Cul3 used for in vitro ubiquitination reactions (top). Neurofibromin blot showing an increase in ubiquitinated neurofibromin catalyzed by endogenous Cul3 complexes immunoprecipitated from stimulated cells (bottom). These in vitro reactions included recombinant human E1, E2 (UBCH5a), ubiquitin, and an ATP-regenerating system. An arrow points to unmodified neurofibromin and a bracket indicates the location of polyubiquitinated neurofibromin, IgG, immunoglobulin G.
resulting in the accumulation of higher-mobility species of the target protein (29). To confirm that Cul3 indeed controls ubiquitination, we examined the ubiquitination state of neurofibromin in wild-type cells or in cells in which Cul3 expression was suppressed by RNA interference (RNAi). Consistent with previous reports, neurofibromin is ubiquitinated in serum-treated cells exposed to proteasome inhibitors, which can be visualized as a high-mobility smear that can be detected by both NF1 and ubiquitin antibodies (Fig. 2D; refs. 11, 23). Importantly, Cul3-specific shRNA sequences dramatically suppressed neurofibromin ubiquitination (Fig. 2D). To determine whether Cul3 was directly mediating this ubiquitination, we generated a Cul3 expression construct and confirmed that immunoprecipitated, recombinant Cul3 could ubiquitinate Nrf2, a well-established Cul3 substrate (Fig. 2E and Supplementary Fig. S1). This immunopurified, recombinant Cul3 also ubiquitinated neurofibromin in a reconstituted in vitro ubiquitination assay (Fig. 2E). It should be noted that although ubiquitinated neurofibromin extracted from cells is manifested as a high-mobility smear on immunoblots, we have consistently found that neurofibromin ubiquitinated in vitro migrates as a more concentrated high-mobility species, with a less of a smeared pattern (11, 23). This is likely due to the large size of ubiquitinated neurofibromin (>250 kDa), which becomes maximally ubiquitinated in vitro, in addition to the activity of deubiquitinating enzymes in vivo. Together, the endogenous coprecipitation data, RNAi and dominant-negative experiments, as well as these in vitro and in vivo ubiquitination studies, show that Cul3 directly controls the dynamic ubiquitination and degradation of neurofibromin.

Cul3 Loss Suppresses Ras/ERK Signaling

Because neurofibromin is a RasGAP, the effects of Cul3 suppression on the Ras/ERK pathway were examined. In response to serum and growth factors, ERK and Ras were maximally activated within 5 minutes (Fig. 3A and B). However, Cul3-specific shRNAs suppressed ERK activity by nearly 60% (Fig. 3A). DN-Cul3 exhibited a similar suppressive effect (60%) on Ras-GTP levels directly (Fig. 3B) and similarly suppressed ERK activity (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We next evaluated the relative contribution of neurofibromin stabilization in mediating this suppressive effect of Ras signaling. Cul3 shRNA constructs suppressed ERK activation by 87% (Fig. 3C), underscoring the potent effects of Cul3 suppression on this pathway. RNAi-mediated neurofibromin suppression had no effect on ERK activity on its own in this setting (Fig. 3C). However, Nf1 ablation substantially rescued the defects in ERK signaling, increasing ERK activation sixfold and restoring it to 66% of maximal levels (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these data show that Cul3-mediated neurofibromin degradation plays an essential role in regulating Ras and ERK and that Cul3 suppression potently inhibits this pathway. Surprisingly, however, although depletion of neurofibromin substantially restored ERK activation in Cul3-deficient cells, a complete rescue was not observed, suggesting that Cul3 may have additional targets that impact Ras activation. Thus, in addition to revealing a previously unrecognized role for Cul3 in regulating Ras, the observation that Cul3 may affect this pathway through neurofibromin and possibly an additional target protein strengthens the potential use of Cul3 inhibition in suppressing the Ras pathway.

Growth Suppression Conferred by Dominant-Negative Cul3 Is Dependent on NF1

The amplitude and duration of Ras/ERK activation have been shown to play an important role in restricting or permitting cellular proliferation (30). We therefore evaluated the effects of Cul3 suppression on cellular proliferation. shRNA-mediated depletion of Cul3 in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) led to acute cell death (not shown), indicating that a minimal threshold of Cul3 activity is required for the viability of these cells, as has been previously reported (31). However, expression of dominant-negative Cul3 (DN-Cul3) was tolerated. To control for the effects of DN-Cul3 in these cells, we also evaluated a mutant form of DN-Cul3 that cannot bind its substrates (L52AE55A), hereafter referred to as DN-Cul3mut (32). We validated these DN-Cul3 constructs by showing that expression of DN-Cul3 stabilized the transcription factor Nrf2, a well-established target of Cul3, whereas expression of DN-Cul3mut had no effect (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Importantly, DN-Cul3, but not DN-Cul3mut, inhibited proliferation and ultimately caused a growth arrest in wild-type MEFs (Fig. 3D). In contrast, Nf1−/− MEFs, which lack neurofibromin, were unaffected by the expression of DN-Cul3 and proliferated indistinguishably from Nf1−/− MEFs expressing DN-Cul3mut (Fig. 3D). Notably, DN-Cul3, but not DN-Cul3mut, led to a decrease in activated ERK in wild-type MEFs, whereas ERK activation was not hampered by DN-Cul3 in Nf1−/− MEFs (Supplementary Fig. S2A). These results show that the growth-inhibitory effects of Cul3 suppression are dependent on neurofibromin.

Cul3 Regulates Neurofibromin Destabilization in Glioblastomas

We and others have shown that one Nf1 allele is mutated or lost in 15% to 23% of sporadic glioblastomas. However biallelic inactivation occurs in only 3% of tumors and cell lines (9–11, 33). Nevertheless, neurofibromin protein expression is suppressed or absent in a much higher fraction of tumors (11). We have shown this suppression is caused by excessive ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation and that neurofibromin destabilization plays an active role in driving tumor pathogenesis (11). To determine whether Cul3 was responsible for the destabilization of neurofibromin in glioblastomas, we expressed DN-Cul3 in three cell lines where neurofibromin has been shown to be destabilized: U87MG, Gli36, and SF539. In all cases, DN-Cul3 expression resulted in an increase in the expression of neurofibromin protein (Fig. 4A). Cul3 shRNA sequences similarly stabilized neurofibromin in these cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). Importantly, DN-Cul3 also coprecipitated with endogenous neurofibromin (Fig. 4B), suggesting that these effects were direct, consistent with the analysis shown in Fig. 2.

The Cul3 Adaptor Protein KBTBD7 Regulates Neurofibromin Stability

Cul3, like all cullins, recruits its targets through a discrete set of substrate recognition adaptor proteins. Cul3 substrate
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**Figure 3.** Cul3 loss suppresses Ras/ERK signaling and proliferation. 

**A.** Immunoblot (IB) of neurofibromin, phosphorylated ERK, Cul3, and RasGAP p120 from serum-stimulated NIH3T3 fibroblasts expressing a lentiviral control shRNA or a Cul3-specific shRNA (left). Right, quantification of relative levels of activated ERK in shControl or shCul3-expressing cells using ImageJ software (right).

**B.** Immunoblot of a Ras-GTP pulldown assay to assess activation of Ras in MEFs expressing a lentiviral control vector or dominant-negative Cul3 (DN-Cul3) following treatment with LPA for the times indicated (left). Right, relative levels of Ras activation quantified using ImageJ software and depicted as a percentage of maximal Ras activation compared with vector control-expressing cells.

**C.** Immunoblot of phosphorylated ERK, Cul3, neurofibromin, and RasGAP p120 from NIH3T3 fibroblasts expressing lentiviral control vectors or Cul3 and NF1-specific shRNAs individually or in tandem (left). Right, quantification of relative activated ERK levels using ImageJ software, denoting that the attenuation in ERK activation due to Cul3 deficiency is rescued in part by the ablation of Nf1 expression.

**D.** Relative proliferation curves of NF1 wild-type (Nf1+/+) or NF1-null (Nf1−/−) MEFs expressing a dominant-negative Cul3 (DN-Cul3) or an inert mutant form of this construct (DN-Cul3mut) as a control. Error bars represent the SD of triplicate cell number measurements.
Figure 4. Cul3 and the adaptor protein KBTBD7 destabilize neurofibromin in glioblastoma cells. 

A, immunoblot (IB) of neurofibromin, RasGAP p120, and HA-tagged dominant-negative Cul3 (DN-Cul3) from glioblastoma cell lines expressing lentiviral HA-DN-Cul3 or a vector control. B, immunoblot of neurofibromin IP from NF1-WT (U87) or NF1-null (LN319) glioblastoma cells expressing HA-DN-Cul3. HA blot indicates that HA-DN-Cul3 associates with neurofibromin in U87 cells. 

C, immunoblot of neurofibromin IP from proteasome-inhibited, serum-stimulated cells expressing HA-KBTBD7 or HA-LacZ. HA blot indicates that KBTBD7 associates specifically with neurofibromin.

D, immunoblot of endogenous Cul3 coprecipitating with HA-tagged BTB proteins KBTBD7 and KLHL21 expressed in 293T cells. Total Cul3 levels are shown as a loading control.

E, immunoblot of neurofibromin in serum-starved NIH3T3 fibroblasts stimulated with 10% serum for the times shown in the absence or presence of a shRNA specific for Kbtbd7 (left). RasGAP1520 is shown as a loading control. Right, relative expression of Kbtbd7 mRNA as assessed by normalized quantitative PCR.

F, immunoblot of neurofibromin in U87 glioblastoma cells expressing a control or KBTBD7-specific shRNAs (left). RasGAP1520 is shown as a loading control. Right, relative expression of KBTBD7 mRNA as assessed by normalized quantitative PCR.

G, immunoblot of neurofibromin in control Gli36 glioblastoma cells or cells expressing a KBTBD7-specific shRNA (left). RasGAP1520 is shown as a loading control. Right, relative expression of KBTBD7 mRNA as assessed by normalized quantitative PCR. KBTBD7, kelch repeat and BTB domain-containing 7; WT, wild-type; BTB, Bric-a-brac, Tramtrack, Broad complex.
adaptors contain a BTB protein–protein interaction domain (for Bric-a-brac, Tramtrack, Broad complex; refs. 27, 32, 34, 35). BTB domain proteins bind to Cul3 through the BTB domain and recruit substrates through diverse protein–protein interaction motifs (36). To further dissect the mechanism by which Cul3 regulates neurofibromin stability, we conducted a proteomic/mass spectrometry–based screen to identify the neurofibromin-associated BTB adaptor protein. Similar strategies have been used to identify components of E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes for a variety of substrates (37–39). Specifically, mass spectrometry was conducted on endogenous neurofibromin immunoprecipitates, generated from serum-stimulated cells in the presence of proteasome inhibitors. Using this approach, we detected the BTB domain-containing protein kelch repeat and BTB domain-containing 7 (KBTBD7) in the neurofibromin immunoprecipitates. To validate this interaction, we expressed an epitope-tagged KBTBD7 construct, as reliable antibodies for KBTBD7 are not presently available. Importantly, HA-KBTBD7 could be readily detected in a complex with endogenous neurofibromin (Fig. 4C). Although KBTBD7 contains a BTB domain, its ability to interact with Cul3 has not been previously evaluated. Importantly, endogenous Cul3 coprecipitated with KLHL21, an established Cul3-binding BTB protein (40), as well as KBTBD7 (Fig. 4D). Moreover, shRNA-mediated depletion of Kbtbd7 blocked the serum-stimulated degradation of neurofibromin in NIH3T3 cells (Fig. 4E), mirroring the block in neurofibromin degradation caused by Cul3 depletion (Figs. 1 and 2). Finally, RNAi-mediated KBTBD7 depletion caused an increase in neurofibromin levels in glioblastoma cell lines in which neurofibromin is normally destabilized (Fig. 4F and G). Together, these findings show that Cul3 uses KBTBD7 as the adaptor protein to regulate neurofibromin stability.

**DN-Cul3 Suppresses Transformation and Tumor Growth through Its Effects on Neurofibromin**

The observation that neurofibromin was stabilized by Cul3 shRNAs and DN-Cul3 raised the intriguing possibility that Cul3 inhibition might also suppress the transformed properties of these cancer cells. To ascertain the biologic consequences of Cul3 suppression in glioblastomas, we compared the effects of the DN-Cul3 in glioblastoma cells in which neurofibromin was destabilized by the proteasome, as compared with cells that were confirmed to be genetically NF1-deficient (11). Expression of DN-Cul3 significantly reduced the ability of NF1 wild-type/neurofibromin destabilized glioblastoma cells to form colonies in soft agar, as compared with cells that expressed equivalent levels of the control DN-Cul3mut, which had no effect in preventing colony growth (Fig. 5A and B). In contrast, DN-Cul3 had no effect on the ability of NF1-null cells to form colonies (Fig. 5A and B).

Finally, we investigated whether DN-Cul3 activity could affect the ability of glioblastoma cells to form xenograft tumors in vivo. Although U87 cells readily formed tumors in the flanks of immunocompromised mice, DN-Cul3 potently suppressed tumor development (Fig. 5C; \( P = 0.00044 \)). In contrast, expression of DN-Cul3 did not prevent tumor growth in mice injected with NF1-null LN319 cells, which are genetically NF1-deficient. Because DN-Cul3 likely affects other targets, we directly evaluated the contribution of neurofibromin stabilization in tumor suppression. To examine this in an isogenic setting, we used U87shp53 cells, which are able to tolerate complete NF1 ablation (11). DN-Cul3, but not control DN-Cul3mut, similarly inhibited tumor growth when expressed in these cells. However, RNAi-mediated NF1 suppression restored tumorigenicity (Fig. SD–F), indicating that the tumor-suppressive effects of DN-Cul3 were dependent on NF1. Collectively, these data show that Cul3 suppression can potently inhibit the tumorigenic properties of glioblastomas in which neurofibromin has been inactivated by the proteasome, thus revealing a mechanism-based vulnerability that can be used to develop new potential therapies. Importantly, this vulnerability may extend beyond glioblastomas and may ultimately be harnessed as a means of suppressing Ras signaling in other cancers. A model describing the pathway identified here is shown in Fig. 6.

**DISCUSSION**

The Ras pathway plays an essential role in transducing signals from activated growth factor receptors and regulates a wide variety of biologic responses (30). The proper intensity of Ras/ERK signaling has been shown to be essential for dictating specific cellular responses and can determine whether a cell proliferates, arrests, differentiates, survives, or dies (30, 41). However, there is still little known about the precise molecular events that regulate the timing, duration, and intensity of Ras signaling that control these diverse biologic behaviors. Here, we report that Cul3 and the BTB adaptor protein KBTBD7 regulate the ubiquitination and rapid degradation of neurofibromin in response to growth factors. Although we cannot rule out the involvement of additional ligases in some settings, in this report we show that Cul3 is essential for full activation of the Ras/ERK pathway. Moreover, Cul3 suppression potently inhibits Ras/ERK activation and cellular proliferation through its effects on neurofibromin. Thus, these studies reveal a previously unrecognized regulatory component of the Ras signal transduction pathway and provide fundamental insight into how Ras activity is so exquisitely regulated.

Notably, the excessive destabilization of neurofibromin has also been shown to underlie the pathogenesis of glioblastomas (11). Here, we show that Cul3 and KBTBD7 also mediate this instability. Moreover, Cul3 suppression potently inhibits tumorigenicity by stabilizing neurofibromin. We have previously reported that PKC activation triggers neurofibromin degradation in response to growth factors and that excessive PKC activity causes chronic destabilization in a subset of glioblastomas (11, 23). The molecular events that drive this destruction are currently unknown; however, the insight provided in this study will serve as the foundation for future investigations. Importantly, an oncogenic role for Cul3 or KBTBD7 has not previously been described in the pathogenesis of human cancer. Moreover, the involvement of Cul3 in regulating neurofibromin and Ras may be exploited to suppress tumor development. For example, based on these studies, a Cul3 or a general cullin inhibitor would be expected...
Figure 5. DN-Cul3 suppresses transformation and tumor growth through its effects on neurofibromin. A, relative differences in soft agar colony growth of NF1-WT (U87, Gli36, SF539) or NF1-null (LN319) glioblastoma cells expressing DN-Cul3 or an inert mutant (L52AE55A) control (DN-Cul3mut). Data are represented as mean ± SD of triplicate measurements. P values shown in the panel were calculated by Student t test. n.s., not statistically significant; WT, wild-type. B, immunoblot (IB) showing equivalent loading of DN-Cul3 and DN-Cul3mut in each glioblastoma cell line used for soft-growth agar assays and xenograft injections shown in A and C. Vinculin levels are shown as loading control. C, dot plot depicting differences in tumor volume in xenograft tumor-bearing mice injected with U87 or LN319 cells expressing DN-Cul3 or a (L52AE55A) DN-Cul3mut control (same cells as in A). P value significance in the panel was determined by Student t test. n = 6 each. n.s., not statistically significant. D, relative tumor incidence of U87-shp53 glioblastoma cells expressing DN-Cul3 or a control DN-Cul3mut (L52AE55A) vector in conjunction with a lentiviral NF1 shRNA or a control shRNA. Bars represent the fraction of tumor incidence over a period of 5 months and the number of tumors formed/number of injections are depicted. n = 8 in each category. P = 0.0014 (DN-Cul3;shScr versus DN-Cul3;shNF1) using Fisher exact test. E, dot plot depicting tumor volume in xenograft-tumor-bearing mice injected with U87-shp53 glioblastoma cells expressing DN-Cul3 or the control DN-Cul3mut (L52AE55A) vector together with a lentiviral NF1 shRNA or a control shRNA. A Mann–Whitney U test (P = 0.0025) was used to illustrate a significant difference in tumor volume in xenograft tumors comprised of DN-Cul3;shScr versus those comprising DN-Cul3;shNF1-expressing cells. F, neurofibromin expression in shp53-U87 cells used for xenograft studies shown in D and E. Cells express shNF1 or a control shRNA and HA-tagged DN-Cul3 or DN-Cul3mut constructs. HA immunoblot showing equivalent expression of DN-Cul3 and DN-Cul3mut is shown, as well as RasGAPsh120, which serves as a loading control.
Figure 6. The Cul3 E3 ligase regulates NF1 ubiquitination and stability in normal and pathogenic settings. Upon growth factor receptor stimulation, PKC becomes activated, which triggers the ubiquitination and degradation of neurofibromin by the proteasome. This ubiquitination is catalyzed by the Cul3 E3 ligase and the BTB adaptor protein KBTBD7. In normal cells, this degradation contributes to Ras/ERK activation. Shortly thereafter, neurofibromin levels become reelevated and properly terminate the Ras signal. However, excessive PKC activity in some glioblastomas results in chronic neurofibromin destabilization. The data presented here also show that Cul3 and KBTBD7 mediate this pathogenic destruction. Ub, ubiquitin; E2, ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme; Rbx1/2, RING-box protein1/2.

METHODS

Cell Culture
NIH3T3 fibroblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with calf serum. Primary MEFs and 293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with fetal calf serum (FCS) and l-glutamine. For serum starvation involving NIH3T3 fibroblasts, cells were trypsinized, neutralized with 0.5 mg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor in DMEM, washed, and plated as indicated below in serum-free media. MEFs were made quiescent as previously described (48) by first growing to confluency over 72 hours, then changing media to 0.1% FCS for 72 additional hours and washed, resuspended, and plated in serum-free media for 18 hours before stimulation. U87, Gli36, SF539, and LN319 glioblastoma cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and l-glutamine. All non-MEF cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). No additional authentication was conducted by the authors.

Degradation Time Courses and Proteasome Inhibition
NIH3T3 fibroblasts were plated in serum-free DMEM at a density of $5 \times 10^6$ cells/10 cm culture dish. After 18 hours, cells were stimulated with 10% calf serum for the times indicated. For PKC stimulation, cells...
were treated with 10 mmol/L PMA. Quiescent MEFs were plated at a density of 5 × 10^5 cells/10 cm culture dish for 18 hours and stimulated with 10% FCS or 6 μmol/L lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) for the times indicated. Cells were lysed at specified time points with 1% SDS boiling lysis buffer and clarified. For experiments that required proteasome inhibition as indicated in the text, cells were pretreated with 1 μmol/L bortezomib (LC laboratories) and 10 μmol/L MG 132 (Boston Biochem) or vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide) for 2 hours before stimulation with serum or LPA.

**Immunoblotting**

Clarified cell lysates were normalized for protein concentration, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene fluoride membrane for immunoblotting with the following antibodies: neurofibromin antibody: UP699, a polyclonal antibody raised against a keyhole limpet hemocyanin-conjugated peptide, RNSIKKV, 1:5,000; or NF1-A300-140A (Bethyl), 1:1,000; p120RasGAP (Transduction Laboratories), 1:2,000; HA (for epitope-tagged constructs; 12CAS, Roche), 1:1,000; Cul3 (BD-Transduction), 1:1,000; pan-Ras (Millipore), 1:20,000; Nrf2 (C-20, Santa Cruz), 1:1,000; phosphor-ylated ERK (Transduction Laboratories), 1:3,000; ERK (Transduction Laboratories), 1:3,000; ubiquitin (DAKO), 1:1,000.

**Interactions between Neurofibromin and Cul3**

To detect interactions between endogenous Cul3 and neurofibromin, serum-starved NIH3T3 cells were treated with proteasome inhibitors and stimulated with serum, as described above. At the time of serum stimulation, the chemical cross-linker DSP [dithiobis(succinimidylpropionate)] (Thermo Scientific) was added to a final concentration of 1 mmol/L for 20 minutes, and the reaction quenched with 100 mmol/L Tris for 10 minutes, before lysis in ice-cold immunocold precipitation (IP) buffer (0.3% CHAPS, 10 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.5, 120 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 10 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mmol/L sodium fluoride) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were incubated for 15 minutes on ice, clarified, combined, and split into equivalent samples for parallel immunoprecipitations of neurofibromin, Cul3, or a control reaction with 2 μg each of neurofibromin-D antibody (Santa Cruz), Cul3 antibody (H-293; Santa Cruz), or normal rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Cell Signaling), rotating overnight at 4°C. Immunoprecipitations were immobilized on Protein A beads, rotating for 1 hour at 4°C, and washed three times with IP buffer before denaturation and separation by SDS-PAGE. Western blots were probed with antibodies as described above, using conformation-specific secondary antibodies (Rockland). To detect interactions between DN-Cul3 and neurofibromin, cells were transduced with DN-Cul3 (see below) or control vectors as described in the text. Neurofibromin was immunoprecipitated from cells using IP buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors only (Roche). Samples were immunoblotted with rabbit neurofibromin antibody (Santa Cruz), using conformation-specific secondary antibodies (Rockland).

**Generation of Constructs**

DN-CUL3 (amino acids 1-418) and DN-CUL4A (amino acids 1-439) were cloned by PCR amplification including an N-terminal HA epitope. All fragments were cloned into pENTR-D TOPO (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions to generate pENTR-D clones containing HA-tagged DN-culins. Mutant DN-Cul3 (L52AE55A) was generated using a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Clontech). Entry clones were subcloned into pLenti TO-puro destination vector (49) via Gateway-mediated LR recombination (Invitrogen) to generate lentiviral expression vectors. Clones expressing full-length cDNAs of KRTBD7, KLHL21, LacZ, and Nrf2 in the pDONR223 vector were obtained from the Human Orfeome collection (Dana-Farber Cancer Center, Boston, MA) and subcloned into the lentiviral expression vector pHAGE-C-CHA-FLAG (W. Harper, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) by Gateway recombination using LR-clonase II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Nrf2 was cloned into pHAGE N-GFP-blast expression vector (W. Harper). All final sequences were verified.

**Retroviral and Lentiviral Infections**

The lentiviral vector pLKO containing the following shRNAs was used: shCul3 (CGCGGTCGTTATAGACCACTC), shCul1 (CGCGCGGTCTTATAGACCACTC), and CUL3 (CGCGGTCGTTATAGACCACTC).
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(CCGCAGTTAAACATGTA-CATT), shCd2 (GCAGTCAG-GTCAT
ATACAA), shCd4B (GCGTGTGGATTTGCGAAATTTA), shCdS
(CGAGAAGTCCCTCAGTTAATCTT), and shKBTBD7 (GAATTAAG
GACAGGCCTC). The retroviral vector pSUPER-Retro containing
the following shRNAs was used: Cal3#1 (CCCTCGAACGTTCT
TCAATAA), Cal3#2 (CGGAAATGCACTGCTACGAA) Cal3#3
(CGAGATCATCAGTTACGTTAT), and Cal3#4 (CCAGGGGCT
TATGTTGACATC). Lentiviruses were produced by transfection of
the 293T packaging cell line with the appropriate lentiviral expres-
sion vector, pLKO for shRNAs (TRC, Broad Institute) and pLentiTO
or pHAGE-C-HA-FLAG for expression constructs, along with Δ8.2
(encoding gag, pol, and rev) and VSVG using Fugene 6 (Roche). Ret-
roviruses were produced by transfection of the 293T packaging cell
line with the appropriate retroviral expression vector and a vector
encoding a replication-defective helper virus, pCL-Eco (Imgenex),
using Fugene 6 (Roche). Virus-containing media was used to trans-
duce target cells. Purumycin (2 μg/mL) was used to select stably
transduced cell lines.

Identification of Neurofibromin-Interacting BTB Proteins

Briefly, a hundred 15 cm plates of serum-deprived T98G glioblas-
toma cells were pretreated with proteasome inhibitors for 2 hours
and restimulated with FCS. Cells were rinsed three times with PBS
and lysed in ice-cold 0.3% CHAPS buffer (0.3% CHAPS, 10 mmol/L
HEPES pH 7.5, 120 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 10 mmol/L
sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mmol/L sodium fluoride) supplemented
with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were
incubated for 15 minutes on ice, clarified, precleared two times with
agarose beads and two times with protein A beads for 1 hour each
time, and split into equal amounts for parallel immunoprecipita-
tions with 50 μg of neurofibromin antibodies (sc-67 or sc-68; Santa
Cruz), rotating overnight at 4°C. Immunoprecipitations were immo-
obilized on 150 μL Protein A beads, rotating for 2 hours at 4°C, and
washed five times with IP buffer. Samples were separated by SDS-
PAGE on 4–12% gradient mini gels, and proteins were visualized
with Coomassie Safely Blue stain (Invitrogen). The experimental
lines were excised into small fragments, rinsed in 10% acetonitrile,
and submitted for mass spectrometry analysis at the Beth Israel
Deaconess Medical Center Mass Spectrometry Core facility.

Quantitative PCR

To detect shRNA-mediated knockdown of Kbtbd7 and KBTBD7
in mouse and human cell experiments, respectively, total RNA was
extracted from cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen), and cDNA was synthe-
sized using the qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta), following the
manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative PCR was conducted using
PerfeCTa Sybr Green Mix (Quanta) following the manufacturer’s
instructions. Expression levels of Kbtbd7 were normalized against
PerfeCTa Sybr Green Mix (Quanta) following the manufacturer’s
instructions. Expression levels of Kbtbd7 were normalized against
Gapdh

expression. The following primers were used: Kbtbd7F (5’-aagag
caacctgtgga-3’), Kbtbd7R (5’-cttggcacaagtctgtg-3’), Gapdh_F
(5’-aactcactacgcgaacctac-3’), Gapdh_R (5’-tcattgtagcagtcgaga-3’),
KBTBD7_F (5’-cactgctgctctcacttgatg-3’), KBTBD7_R (5’-aagactcct
gatcata-3’), KPRT_TF (5’-gccccttttcaggtgagga-3’), HPRT1_L (5’-aacct
tggttcataca-3’).

Ras Activation Analysis

Primary MEFs were serum-starved by culturing to confluence for 4
days in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, then changing media to
0.1% FCS for 3 additional days. Cells were then resuspended, washed,
and plated in serum-free DMEM overnight. Cells were stimulated
with 6 μmol/L LPA for the times indicated. Ras-GTP levels
were detected using a Ras activation assay, following the manufacturer’s
instructions (Upstate). Ras activation was quantified by using DNA-j
software to assess the amount of Ras that was pulled down by GST-
Ras-binding domain fusion protein divided by total levels of Ras in the
lysates. Values at 5 and 15 minutes were then divided by values
obtained in unstimulated control cells to establish relative levels of
Ras activation.

Proliferation Studies

Quiescent MEFs were split to a density of 150,000 cells per well of
a six-well dish in triplicate and then stimulated with serum. At each
indicated time, cells were trypsinized and counted. Fold increase in
cell number ratios was calculated by dividing the average cell number
of three plates in serum at the indicated time points by the average
cell number of three plates in serum-free medium after 18 hours.

 Colony Growth in Soft Agar

Soft agar assays were conducted as previously described (50).

Xenograft Tumor Studies

All animal procedures were approved by the Center for Animal
and Comparative Medicine at the Harvard Medical School in
accordance with the NIH Guild for the Care and Use of Laboratory
Animals and the Animal Welfare Act. Subcutaneous implantations
were carried out in 6-week-old athymic female nude mice (Charles
River) by injecting 10^6 cells in 100 μL of PBS (six or eight injections
per condition as described in the text). Two weeks after injection
(day 0), the width and length of the tumor were measured twice
weekly by caliper and the volume was calculated with the following
formula: volume = (length x width^2)/π/6. Tumors were monitored
for 5 months.

Statistical Analyses

If data were normally distributed, statistical analysis was done by
Student t test. Otherwise, we used the Mann–Whitney U test. Specific
tests are noted in the text and figure legends. All numerical data,
including error bars, represent the mean ± SD of experiments carried
out in triplicate.
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