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ABSTRACT

How do cancer cells escape tightly controlled regulatory circuits that link their proliferation to extracellular nutrient cues? An emerging theme in cancer biology is the hijacking of normal stress response mechanisms to enable growth even when nutrients are limiting. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) is the quintessential aggressive malignancy that thrives in nutrient-poor, hypoxic environments. PDAs overcome these limitations through appropriation of unorthodox strategies for fuel source acquisition and utilization. In addition, the interplay between evolving PDA and whole-body metabolism contributes to disease pathogenesis. Deciphering how these pathways function and integrate with one another can reveal novel angles of therapeutic attack.

Significance: Alterations in tumor cell and systemic metabolism are central to the biology of pancreatic cancer. Further investigation of these processes will provide important insights into how these tumors develop and grow, and suggest new approaches for its detection, prevention, and treatment. Cancer Discov; 5(12): 1–15. ©2015 AACR.

INTRODUCTION

Characteristic Features of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) is among the most lethal of all cancer types, with approximately 48,000 new cases and 40,000 deaths annually in the United States (1). It is projected to become the second leading cause of cancer-related death by 2020 in the United States and has a 5-year survival rate of only approximately 6%, which has changed little over the last four decades. Invasive PDA arises through multistage genetic and histologic progression from microscopic precursor lesions designated as pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) that are believed to develop and progress asymptptomatically over several decades (Fig. 1A; refs. 1–3).

An early event during malignant transformation is the acquisition of activating mutations in the KRAS oncogene at codons 12, 13, and 61, which occurs in >90% of patients with PDA. PDAs are highly “addicted” to this oncogene for multiple parameters influencing tumor initiation, progression, and maintenance, as demonstrated using genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models and human PDA cell lines (4–9). In addition, inactivating mutations and deletions of the tumor-suppressor genes TP53, CDKN2A, and SMAD4 are also frequently observed and occur later during disease progression (Fig. 1B). Metastatic lesions exhibit extensive conservation of genomic alterations with matched primary PDA, although specific mutations in the primary tumor (in SMAD and TP53) are associated with increased propensity for metastatic dissemination (10–12). GEM models incorporating these genetic alterations have provided functional validation of their roles in progression of PanIN to PDA and in metastasis (4, 6, 13–16).

The identification of these recurrent mutations and additional less common genetic alterations in PDA has not yet pointed to key targets that are readily inactivated by existing drugs (17, 18). Although KRAS is clearly a critical driver of tumorigenesis, pharmacologic KRAS inhibitors remain elusive. Thus, the present standard of care involves conventional cytotoxic agents that can yield significant responses but in most patients have limited efficacy (1). These issues highlight the need to further probe the biology of PDA in order to uncover novel vulnerabilities of the cancer cells. Indeed, recent studies have revealed a profound rewiring of metabolic pathways activated downstream of oncogenic KRAS that is essential for PDA growth and holds promise as a source of targets for new therapeutic strategies (19, 20). Activation of these pathways may also be linked to the unique microenvironment of PDA, which is characterized by...
reaction, which often forms the bulk of the tumor mass. This heterogeneous infiltrate—consisting of activated fibroblasts (pancreatic stellate cells [PSC]) and diverse inflammatory and immune cells—co-evolves with the tumor cells and influences PDA progression and response to therapy (22–28). An important consequence of the dense stroma is the generation of high levels of solid stress and fluid pressure in the tumors and compression of the vasculature, which creates a highly hypoxic and nutrient-poor microenvironment (Fig. 1C; refs. 22, 29–31). Despite these harsh environmental conditions, PDA cells are able to survive and thrive. How do these cells subsist in the presence of low levels of nutrients derived from the circulation? Which pathways are activated that allow unbridled proliferative capacity? This review focuses on the recently discovered unorthodox strategies used by PDA cells to acquire nutrients and use them for generation of energy and as building blocks for \textit{de novo} synthesis of proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids. We also provide an overview of how PDA pathogenesis is influenced by conditions that alter whole-body metabolism, such as diabetes and obesity. Finally, we discuss the translational potential of exploiting knowledge about pancreatic cancer metabolism for improved diagnostics and therapy for this disease.

**Uncoupling Nutrient Sensing in Cancer**

The adaptive changes in tumor metabolism can broadly be categorized into alterations in the sensing, acquisition, and utilization of nutrients, and elimination of toxic by-products. In noncancerous cells, the utilization of nutrients

**KEY CONCEPTS AND RELEVANCE**

- Cancers have heightened metabolic requirements for cell growth that need to be coordinated with nutrient supply.
- PDA must contend with further metabolic constraints due to their hypovascular, fibrotic microenvironment, and ensuing hypoxia and limited nutrient availability. To support tumor growth, PDA acquire multiple alterations in metabolic circuitry and activation of nutrient scavenging processes—autophagy and macropinocytosis.
- PDA development is also influenced by conditions that change whole-body metabolism (type II diabetes and obesity), and reciprocally PDA incites systemic metabolic alterations (cachexia and PDA-induced diabetes).
- How these processes are activated, integrated, and regulated has started to come into focus.
- The recent advances in understanding these metabolic alterations provide new insights into PDA pathogenesis and suggest paths forward for the development of improved therapeutics and diagnostics.

a dense fibrotic stromal component (desmoplasia; ref. 21). Although other cancer types, such as breast, prostate, and ovarian cancers, also display prominent stromal infiltration, PDA stands out by the remarkable extent of its desmoplastic

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the multistage progression of PDA. A, PDA arises from the multistage progression of precursor lesions known as PanIN. B, KRAS mutations are an early event in disease pathogenesis, present in the great majority of early-stage PanIN lesions. Mutations in a series of tumor suppressors occur as later events, and contribute to disease progression. C, PDA is also associated with evolving alterations in the tumor microenvironment, including increasing fibrosis and extracellular matrix deposition (desmoplasia) and recruitment of immune and inflammatory cells. Increasing desmoplasia accompanies progressive disease (as indicated) and creates intratumoral pressure that compresses the vasculature, resulting in limited blood flow to the tumor and consequent hypoxia and low nutrient delivery. In turn, PDA cells exhibit activation of nutrient scavenging pathways (autophagy and macropinocytosis) that support tumor cell growth. Although autophagy activation is a late event in PDA tumorigenesis, the precise temporal dynamics of macropinocytosis is as yet unknown (dotted box).
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Figure 2. Alterations in metabolite utilization in PDA. A, KRAS promotes glucose metabolism in PDA cells by upregulating the GLUT1 transporter and driving glycolysis through induction of the expression of multiple glycolytic enzymes. In addition, glycolytic intermediates are shuttled toward biosynthetic pathways, including the nonoxidative arm of the PPP for synthesis of DNA and RNA, and the HBP, which generates precursors necessary for the generation of glycoproteins, glycolipids, proteoglycans, and glycosaminoglycans. B, in addition, PDA cells have enhanced activity of the monocarboxylate transporters MCT1 and MCT4, which shuttle lactate in order to prevent intracellular accumulation and subsequent decreases in cytosolic pH. C, KRAS also activates and reprograms glutamine metabolism. A proportion of glutamate is used to fuel NADPH production via the aspartate–malate shunt, thus contributing to maintenance of reduced glutathione levels and redox balance. The enzymes whose expression levels are regulated by mutant KRAS are indicated in blue. HBP, hexosamine biosynthesis pathway; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; TCA, tricarboxylic acid.

is tightly linked to their abundance via the action of multiple nutrient-sensing pathways (32). These sensors are finely tuned to detect drops in cellular nutrient levels or conversely to respond to signs of plenitude, and include adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK; ref. 33) and mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1; ref. 34), respectively. For example, AMPK triggers two tightly coordinated processes upon detection of reduced energy charge (ATP:AMP ratio): one is to shut down energy-intensive anabolic processes, such as protein and lipid biosynthesis. The second is to increase energy generation both by activating autophagy—a nutrient scavenging–recycling pathway that provides fuel sources by breaking down superfluous cellular components into their constituent building blocks—and by enhancing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Additional sensors for lipids, AAs, and other key metabolites act to restore homeostasis through similar principles (32). An emerging view is that cancer cells adapt to life under limiting nutrient conditions by breaking these basic rules and removing the dichotomy between states of biosynthesis and catabolism. This bypass endows cancer cells with sustained growth even in challenging environments where nutrients and oxygen are scarce, or following metastasis to distant organ sites. How this occurs has been the focus of extensive study over the last several years (35), and much evidence suggests that cancer cells hijack and modify normal cellular homeostatic response mechanisms to maintain an unrestricted rate of growth.

Although relatively little is known to date about how sensing mechanisms themselves may be subverted or appropriated in PDA, significant advances have been made with regard to how these tumors obtain nutrients and channel them into distinct biochemical pathways. PDA and other KRAS-driven cancers thrive in poorly perfused, hypovascular conditions, which shuttle lactate in order to prevent intracellular accumulation and subsequent decreases in cytosolic pH. This metabolic reprogramming may enable PDA cells to more efficiently maintain adequate intracellular nutrient levels despite limited external supply, providing them with a competitive growth advantage compared with law-abiding normal cells. Thus, severe nutrient and oxygen shortage may function as strong selective pressures favoring survival of aggressive tumor cells able to withstand such harsh environmental conditions. Conversely, the acquired dependence of PDA on these pathways creates new vulnerabilities that can be targeted therapeutically.

GLUCOSE AND GLUTAMINE METABOLISM IN PDA

Anabolic Glucose Metabolism

To fuel their elevated demand for energy and macromolecular biosynthesis, many cancers show augmented nutrient acquisition that is coupled to increased flux through downstream metabolic pathways. Thus, it is not surprising that mutations in KRAS and other canonical oncogenes (e.g., AKT, MYC, and PI3K) and tumor suppressors (e.g., TP53, RB, and PTEN) that drive accelerated growth also directly reprogram cellular metabolism by acting at both of these levels (38–40). A common theme associated with these central cancer pathways is the promotion of glucose metabolism, which serves as a major nutrient source for the production of ATP and provides building blocks for anabolic processes. In keeping with their poor perfusion, the overall levels of glucose and its rate of uptake are thought to be modest in PDA compared with other cancer types (29). Measurement of steady-state metabolite levels suggests that glucose concentrations are not significantly elevated in most PDAs compared with adjacent pancreatic tissue (29). Nevertheless, among PDAs, higher levels of glucose uptake and expression of the primary glucose transporter GLUT1 (encoded by SLC2A1) correlate with worse prognosis (41, 42). Moreover, alterations in glucose delivery and utilization are required for PDA tumorigenesis, and mutant KRAS serves as a major regulator of these processes. Using a GEM model with expression of mutant KRAS under a doxycycline-inducible promoter, it was shown that KRAS silencing markedly reduces glucose uptake in PDA in vivo and in derivative cell lines, associated with downregulation of GLUT1 and of multiple glycolytic enzymes (Fig. 2; refs. 8, 37).

**Figure 2.** Alterations in metabolite utilization in PDA. A, KRAS promotes glucose metabolism in PDA cells by upregulating the GLUT1 transporter and driving glycolysis through induction of the expression of multiple glycolytic enzymes. In addition, glycolytic intermediates are shuttled toward biosynthetic pathways, including the nonoxidative arm of the PPP for synthesis of DNA and RNA, and the HBP, which generates precursors necessary for generation of glycoproteins, glycolipids, proteoglycans, and glycosaminoglycans. B, in addition, PDA cells have enhanced activity of the monocarboxylate transporters MCT1 and MCT4, which shuttle lactate in order to prevent intracellular accumulation and subsequent decreases in cytosolic pH. C, KRAS also activates and reprograms glutamine metabolism. A proportion of glutamate is used to fuel NADPH production via the aspartate–malate shunt, thus contributing to maintenance of reduced glutathione levels and redox balance. The enzymes whose expression levels are regulated by mutant KRAS are indicated in blue. HBP, hexosamine biosynthesis pathway; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; TCA, tricarboxylic acid.
In PDA cells grown *in vitro*, as in most cultured cells, glycolysis predominates over mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation of pyruvate, regardless of oxygen tension—a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect. This is mediated by inhibition of pyruvate dehydrogenase by pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) and by increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity. The decreased fractional utilization of pyruvate for ATP generation in the mitochondria allows for the channeling of glycolytic intermediates into important anabolic pathways, including the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP), which generates substrates for protein and lipid glycosylation, and to the nonoxidative arm of the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), which generates ribose-5-phosphate for nucleotide biosynthesis. Unlike the well-known oxidative PPP, this latter pathway does not produce NADPH, thereby necessitating other mechanisms for redox control (see below). KRAS mediates these changes by transcriptional induction of genes encoding rate-limiting enzymes in both pathways (Fig. 2A; ref. 8). These alterations in glucose metabolism are required for the full tumorigenic growth of PDA cells, as demonstrated by the decreased ATP levels and reduced growth of PDA xenografts treated with a small-molecule inhibitor of LDHA (FX11, which acts by competing with NADH binding; ref. 43). Similarly, knockdown of key KRAS-regulated enzymes in the nonoxidative PPP or the hexosamine pathway slows the growth of murine PDA cell lines *in vitro* and suppresses tumorigenicity upon subcutaneous implantation (8, 44).

This dependence on glycolysis also presents additional demands on mobilization and excretion of potentially toxic by-products. Enhanced shuttling of lactate via the activity of monocarboxylate transporters MCT1 and MCT4 (encoded by the *SLC16A1* and *SLC16A3* genes, respectively) was shown to be essential to prevent intracellular accumulation of lactate and decreased cytosolic pH in PDA cells (Fig. 2B; refs. 45, 46). These transporters are overexpressed in PDA compared with normal tissue and are required for PDA growth (45), with MCT4 playing a predominant role, supporting the physiologic importance of this detoxification process. PDA cells also show elevated levels of the lactate receptor GPR81, which regulates expression of lactate transporters, and CD147, an essential MCT chaperone protein (47). Thus, in response to increased metabolic demand, PDA cells coordinately enhance glucose utilization and lactate mobilization (44).

The signaling pathways controlling glucose metabolism downstream of KRAS have not been completely resolved, although MEK clearly has an important role. Treatment of PDA cell lines with MEK inhibitors markedly impairs glycolysis and reduces expression of glycolytic enzymes, which at least partially involves modulation of MYC transcriptional activity (8). PDA shows multiple additional mechanisms for altering glucose metabolism beyond direct KRAS signaling. For example, it appears that hypoxia and the hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) contribute to upregulation of glycolysis and HBP genes in PDA (44). The FOXM1 and KLF4 transcription factors have also been proposed as positive and negative regulators, respectively, of LDHA levels and glycolytic activity (48, 49). In addition to their regulation at the transcriptional level, several glycolytic enzymes are controlled by posttranscriptional mechanisms (50, 51). In PDA, one such mechanism involves removal of inhibitory acetylation on lysine 5 of LDHA by SIRT2, a deacetylase that senses increases in NAD\(^*\):NADH ratio (52). The full spectrum of mechanisms regulating glucose metabolism are no doubt complex and likely involve multiple additional levels of KRAS-dependent and KRAS-independent control that remain to be deciphered.

Moreover, as metabolic pathways may operate differently *in vitro* and *in vivo*, the precise utilization of glucose in PDA will require further study.

**Glutamine Metabolism and Redox Homeostasis**

In addition to glucose, highly proliferative cancer cells rely on glutamine—the most abundant and versatile AA in the cell cytoplasm—as a fuel source for ATP generation and for macro-molecular biosynthesis. Glutamine is a nonessential AA that functions as a precursor and amine donor for the generation of other AAs as well as nucleotides and hexosamine, and as a donor of carbon skeletons for replenishment of tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediates (anaplerosis). Although many tissues can synthesize glutamine, cancer cells show addiction to glutamine in culture (53, 54), and thus this AA becomes conditionally essential for growth. The first step in glutamine catabolism involves its conversion to glutamate catalyzed via the glutaminase enzymes (GLS1 and GLS2). Glutamate, in turn, is a source of α-ketoglutarate (α-KG)—a TCA cycle intermediate as well as a coenzyme for DNA and protein modifying dioxygenases—generated via the function of glutamate dehydrogenase (GLUD1) in the mitochondria or by transamination in the cytosol or mitochondria. This latter reaction also produces nonessential AAs. Glutamate is also a precursor of glutathione, the major antioxidant in the cell (55).

As noted above, KRAS-mutant PDA cells do not effectively generate NADPH from the PPP; rather these cells produce NADPH through a noncanonical glutamine–glutamate metabolism pathway (Fig. 2C). This pathway involves conversion of glutamate to α-KG and aspartate in the mitochondria catalyzed by aspartate transaminase 2 (GOT2; ref. 56). Aspartate is then trafficked to the cytosol, where it is converted sequentially to oxaloacetate, malate, and pyruvate, via a GOT1–malate dehydrogenase–malic enzyme (ME) cascade that generates NADPH. This pathway is under the control of KRAS, which promotes the transcriptional upregulation of GOT1 and repression of GLUD1, and is necessary for redox balance and growth of PDA cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In addition, enhanced catalytic activity of GOT2 via lysine acetylation has been reported to be required for redox homeostasis in PDA cells (57). KRAS also mitigates the high levels of ROS generated in rapidly proliferating cells by activating the NRF2 transcription factor that induces an antioxidant gene expression program (58).

The diverse roles of glutamine in fueling tumor cell metabolism have spurred the development of inhibitors targeting enzymes along the glutamine metabolism pathway, including GLS inhibitors that are currently being evaluated clinically (see Table 1). However, it should be noted that recent studies have suggested that glutamine may not be a major contributor to anaplerosis in some cancer types *in vivo*, and therefore the dependence of cultured cell lines on exogenous glutamine may not always be conserved in primary tumors (59, 60). Likewise, GLS (and thus glutamine–glutamate conversion) may be dispensable for the growth of some tumors. Nevertheless, this would not undermine the importance of the GOT2–GOT1–ME pathway, which can use glutamate regardless of its source.
Table 1. Clinical trials targeting metabolism in PDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Trial design</th>
<th>NCT number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase and α-KG dehydrogenase</td>
<td>CPI-613 + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td>NCT00907166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosome</td>
<td>HCQ + gemcitabine/ nab-paclitaxel</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td>NCT01506973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCQ + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td>NCT01128296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCQ + proton beam (neoadjuvant)</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>NCT01494155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCQ + gemcitabine/ nab-paclitaxel (neoadjuvant)</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>NCT01978184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR</td>
<td>Paricalcitol + gemcitabine/ abraxane (neoadjuvant)</td>
<td>Randomized, pharmacodynamic study</td>
<td>NCT02030860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ</td>
<td>Pioglitazone</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>NCT01838317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial complex I</td>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>NCT01954732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metformin + gemcitabine or nab-paclitaxel</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>NCT02363087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metformin + rapamycin</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
<td>NCT02048384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metformin + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>NCT02005419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metformin + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>NCT01210911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG-CoA reductase</td>
<td>Atorvastatin + metformin</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>NCT02201381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaminase</td>
<td>CB-839</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>NCT02071862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; VDR, vitamin D receptor.

Thus, these downstream components may offer additional therapeutic targets irrespective of the potential utility of GLS inhibitors in PDA.

NUTRIENT SCAVENGING IN PDA

An Unusual Diet: A Protumorigenic Role for Autophagy in PDA

PDAs use an intriguing set of scavenging mechanisms that support growth and may mitigate the limited delivery of nutrients from the vasculature that characterizes these tumors (Fig. 3A). These include autophagy (also known as macroautophagy), which is a highly conserved cellular catabolic process that mediates degradation of macromolecules as well as whole organelles. Autophagy involves sequestration of cytoplasmic contents within a double membrane vesicle (the autophagosome), which eventually fuses with lysosomes, forming autolysosomes where cargo is degraded. Products of autolysosome digestion (AAs, fatty acids, nucleosides) are recycled back to the cytoplasm to fuel biosynthetic and bioenergetic reactions and ultimately protect the cell during conditions of cellular stress such as nutrient starvation (61). In addition, autophagy functions to remove misfolded proteins, damaged organelles, and protein aggregates and therefore provides the cell with an important quality control mechanism. Deregulation of these essential protective functions of basal autophagy has been implicated in the pathogenesis of degenerative and immune disorders as well as in aging (62, 63). Of the numerous stimuli that can activate autophagy above baseline levels, the best characterized and most potent is nutrient starvation, which activates AMPK and turns off mTORC1 (Fig. 3A). These kinases phosphorylate key proteins controlling autophagy initiation, namely ULK1/2 and ATG13, to induce (AMPK) or suppress (mTORC1) autophagosome formation. Autophagy can also be activated in response to glucose deprivation in an ULK1-independent manner by increased ammonia levels generated via compensatory AA catabolism (64). Thus, decreases in extracellular and intracellular nutrient levels promote autophagy, providing an adaptive response geared toward restoring cellular homeostasis. Extensive studies of the functions of autophagy in cancer reveal context- and stage-specific roles. Although its quality control activity serves as a barrier to tumorigenesis through suppression of genomic instability, oxidative stress, and chronic tissue damage, established cancers exploit the macromolecular recycling and detoxifying functions of autophagy to gain a growth advantage and protect the tumor cell (65–74).

Autophagy Is Constitutively Active and Required for PDA Growth

Autophagy can be gauged by the cleavage and lipida- tion of the LC3 protein followed by its integration into the autophagosomal membrane (Table 2). By these measures, the great majority of PDA cell lines exhibit high basal autophagy compared with control immortalized pancreatic ductal cells (61). The use of additional assays confirms a true increase in autophagic activity (flux) rather than a block in the pathway. Moreover, autophagy is active in PDA cell lines even when grown in standard tissue culture conditions, suggesting this process is uncoupled from external nutrient availability. This is functionally important because treatment with the antimalarial drug chloroquine—which inhibits autophagy by increasing lysosomal pH—or knockdown of essential autophagy genes (ATG5 or ATG7) strongly inhibits PDA cell proliferation under full nutrient conditions (75). Correspondingly, treatment with the chloroquine analogue hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) suppresses tumorigenic growth in PDA patient–derived xenograft (PDX) and cell line–derived xenograft models, and in the
Figure 3. Nutrient scavenging in PDA converges at the lysosome for breakdown of intracellular and extracellular cargo. A, PDA cells show enhanced autophagy activation and macropinocytosis in vitro and in vivo. Autophagy involves formation of double membrane vesicles that surround a portion of cytoplasm thus encapsulating cargo material (protein, lipid, organelles) that is delivered to lytic organelles (lysosome) for breakdown. Positive (AMPK and VPS34) and negative (mTORC1) kinase regulators of autophagy are indicated. Macropinocytosis, the bulk uptake of extracellular material, occurs via plasma membrane invagination and generation of internalized macropinosomes. These cargo-laden vesicles similarly fuse with lysosomes for efficient degradation of the internalized material. Therefore, lysosomes are a key central delivery port for substrates destined for breakdown and serve to recycle the constituent building blocks and support cellular metabolism. Drugs that modulate different aspects of these pathways are shown. B, resident lysosomal enzymes and their substrates and final products are listed. BAFA1, Bafilomycin A1; EIPA, 5-(N-Ethyl-N-isopropyl)amiloride; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine.

KnasG12D;Trp53+/− GEM harboring established PDAs or advanced PanIN lesions. Likewise, knockdown of ATG5/ATG7 inhibits the growth of human PDA cell line xenografts. LC3 staining and the use of an autophagy reporter indicate that autophagy is induced as a late event in PDA progression, with elevated levels in the majority of invasive PDA tumors as compared with low-grade PanIN (75, 76). Against this backdrop, mouse genetic studies have highlighted the complex, context-specific functions of autophagy in tumorigenesis. Mice with deletion of ATG7 in the pancreas show progressive tissue damage (77, 78), consistent with the important quality control function of basal autophagy in this organ. This inflammatory state promotes the initial formation of PanIN precursor lesions in mice with engineered KRASG12D mutations, although these lesions show significant impairment in full malignant progression to PDA (75, 77, 78). Delayed
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**Table 2. Assays for monitoring autophagy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Visualization</th>
<th>Readout</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron microscopy</td>
<td>Ultrastructure</td>
<td>↑ Autophagosomes</td>
<td>Induction or block in maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western blot analysis</td>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>↑ LC3-II band/LC3-I band</td>
<td>Induction or block in maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>GFP-LC3</td>
<td>↑ GFP-LC3 puncta</td>
<td>Induction or block in maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy flux</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western blot analysis</td>
<td>LC3 ± lysosome inhibitor</td>
<td>↑ LC3-II band/LC3-I band</td>
<td>Increased induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>GFP-LC3 ± lysosome inhibitor</td>
<td>↑ GFP-LC3 spots in the inhibitor-treated sample</td>
<td>Increased induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>mRFP-GFP-LC3</td>
<td>Yellow fluorescence: autophagosome</td>
<td>↑ Yellow/ ↑ red: increased induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Red fluorescence: autolysosome</td>
<td>↑ Yellow/ ↓ red: block in maturation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDA formation and extended survival are also observed in the Kras⁹¹²⁺/Trp53⁻/⁻ model upon deletion of the lysosomal gene Plac8, which partially compromises autophagy (76). In contrast, the simultaneous activation of KRAS and homozygous deletion of TP53 (incurred during embryogenesis) negates the need for autophagy in PDA pathogenesis (77), although this genetic context is not thought to be representative of the genesis of most human PDAs. Taken as a whole, these data strongly suggest that autophagy is required for the development of invasive PDA.

The contextual effects of autophagy inhibition bear directly on the potential of targeting this process therapeutically. First, it is important to note that, unlike complete deletion of ATG7 (or ATG5) during pancreatic development, chloroquine treatment does not cause pancreatic damage, nor does it cooperate with KRAS in driving PanIN formation. Second, in human cell lines and PDX models, chloroquine inhibits tumor growth irrespective of TP53 genotype (78). Therefore, these data provide support for the pharmacologic targeting of autophagy as a PDA therapy. Although the mechanisms by which autophagy inhibition impairs tumorigenesis are presently under investigation, a number of key observations have been made. Autophagy inhibition in vitro and in vivo is cytostatic rather than cytotoxic. In vitro, this effect is associated with increased ROS and DNA damage as well as a decrease in oxidative phosphorylation. In turn, ROS scavengers or supplementation with pyruvate partially rescue growth, indicating that autophagy is required to maintain redox control and supply metabolic intermediates in PDA (65, 75). As discussed below, further examination of the interface of autophagy with cell metabolism will be an important step in the most effective deployment of autophagy inhibition to treat this cancer, potentially providing information regarding metabolic escape pathways and pointing toward combinatorial treatment strategies that may promote cell death rather than cytostasis.

**PDAs Depend on Uptake of Extracellular Protein and Lipid**

In addition to intracellular scavenging via autophagy, cells can use an additional scavenging pathway involving endocytosis-mediated bulk uptake of extracellular material, known as macropinocytosis (Fig. 3A). Studies by Commissio and colleagues (79) recently showed that KRAS-mutant cancer cells, including PDAs, upregulate macropinocytosis to import significant quantities of extracellular protein, which is ultimately delivered to lysosomes for proteolysis. Macropinocytosis of serum albumin was demonstrated to be a key source of AAs to fuel multiple metabolic pathways in PDA cells and to support growth upon glutamine restriction. Moreover, treatment of PDA cells with inhibitors of endocytosis that block albumin uptake impaired proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. Importantly, high levels of macropinocytic uptake are observed in PDA GEM models and in human PDA tumors (29). Thus, there is considerable interest in fully understanding the contributions of this pathway to PDA metabolism and in potentially targeting it therapeutically in KRAS-mutant cancers.

Other components of the extracellular milieu may also serve as critical sources of nutrients, including lipids. Although the overall abundance of lipid species in PDA is limited, with reduced amounts of fatty acids, lipids, and choline-containing compounds compared with normal pancreatic tissues (31, 80), the tumor cells appear to have efficient mechanisms that may promote cell death rather than cytostasis. AAs are transported into the cell by multiple mechanisms, including PDAs, upregulate macropinocytosis to import significant quantities of extracellular protein, which is ultimately delivered to lysosomes for proteolysis. Macropinocytosis of serum albumin was demonstrated to be a key source of AAs to fuel multiple metabolic pathways in PDA cells and to support growth upon glutamine restriction. Moreover, treatment of PDA cells with inhibitors of endocytosis that block albumin uptake impaired proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. Importantly, high levels of macropinocytic uptake are observed in PDA GEM models and in human PDA tumors (29). Thus, there is considerable interest in fully understanding the contributions of this pathway to PDA metabolism and in potentially targeting it therapeutically in KRAS-mutant cancers.

**Other components of the extracellular milieu may also serve as critical sources of nutrients, including lipids. Although the overall abundance of lipid species in PDA is limited, with reduced amounts of fatty acids, lipids, and choline-containing compounds compared with normal pancreatic tissues (31, 80), the tumor cells appear to have efficient mechanisms that may promote cell death rather than cytostasis.**

**PDAs Depend on Uptake of Extracellular Protein and Lipid**

In addition to intracellular scavenging via autophagy, cells can use an additional scavenging pathway involving endocytosis-mediated bulk uptake of extracellular material, known as macropinocytosis (Fig. 3A). Studies by Commissio and colleagues (79) recently showed that KRAS-mutant cancer cells, including PDAs, upregulate macropinocytosis to import significant quantities of extracellular protein, which is ultimately delivered to lysosomes for proteolysis. Macropinocytosis of serum albumin was demonstrated to be a key source of AAs to fuel multiple metabolic pathways in PDA cells and to support growth upon glutamine restriction. Moreover, treatment of PDA cells with inhibitors of endocytosis that block albumin uptake impaired proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. Importantly, high levels of macropinocytic uptake are observed in PDA GEM models and in human PDA tumors (29). Thus, there is considerable interest in fully understanding the contributions of this pathway to PDA metabolism and in potentially targeting it therapeutically in KRAS-mutant cancers.
ROLE OF LYSOSONAL CATABOLISM IN PDA
Lysosome Activation: A Novel Hallmark of PDA

The major scavenging pathways in PDA, autophagy and macropinocytosis, converge at the lysosome, where cargo is digested by over 40 resident lysosomal acid hydrolases (lipases, proteases, glycosidases, acid phosphatases, and sulfatases), which are functional in the acidic environment of the lysosome (Fig. 3B). Changes in lysosome composition and function have been observed in cancer cells (83). Indeed, a recent study demonstrated that PDA specimens from treatment-naïve patients had striking increases in the number of lysosomes compared with matched normal pancreatic tissue (84). This finding indicates that elevations in lysosome biogenesis and function may be integral to the nutrient-scavenging program, ensuring efficient breakdown and recycling of cellular components and endocytosed material. Moreover, it suggests that there may be coordination between scavenging pathways and lysosome function in cancer.

The MiT/TFE family of basic helix–loop–helix transcription factors (MITF, TFE3, and TFEB) have been identified as central regulators of the biogenesis and function of the autophagy–lysosome system in PDA (84). TFEF was first shown by the Ballabio laboratory to be a master transcriptional regulator of an autophagy–lysosome transcriptional program through direct binding to a consensus sequence present in the regulatory regions of essential autophagy and lysosome genes (85–87). In follow-up studies, the MiT/TFE factors were shown to be components of an mTORC1-regulated acute stress response mechanism in normal cells (88–90). Under nutrient-rich conditions, mTOR is activated and localized to the lysosome, where it phosphorylates and inactivates the MiT/TFE proteins. Conversely, upon starvation, mTOR is switched off, enabling nuclear translocation of unphosphorylated MiT/TFE proteins. Together, these studies highlight a lysosome-to-nucleus signaling pathway that monitors the cell’s nutritional status and adjusts catabolic activity accordingly.

In PDA cell lines and patient-derived PDA cultures, the MiT/TFE proteins bypass mTORC1-mediated surveillance and are constitutively localized in the nucleus regardless of external nutrient availability (84). This constitutive nuclear localization is mediated through binding to nuclear import proteins (including importin 8), which are overexpressed in PDA. Inactivation of MiT/TFE proteins in PDA cells results in downregulation of autophagy and lysosome genes, defective lysosomal function, and complete compromise in both autophagic flux and degradation of macropinocytosis-derived protein. Consequently, cell proliferation and tumor growth are significantly impaired. Collectively, these data show that by governing both autophagic flux and lysosomal catabolism, the MiT/TFE proteins support an integrated cellular clearance program that enables efficient processing of cargo from autophagy as well as macropinocytosis. Thus, PDAs appear to maximize growth processes associated with high mTOR activity while simultaneously benefiting from the metabolic fine-tuning and adaptation to stress afforded by activation of catabolic pathways. Interestingly, the MiT/TFE proteins are established oncogenes that are activated by genomic amplification or translocation in melanomas, renal cell carcinomas, and in alveolar soft part sarcoma (91), although contributions of autophagy regulation in these settings have not been explored to date.

What Are the Products of Lysosome Degradation?

As noted above, autophagy activation and macropinocytosis represent hardwired programs essential for metabolic adaptation and growth of PDA cell lines and tumors. A precise understanding of which specific metabolite pools are recovered through autolysosome-mediated degradation and how PDA cells use these pools will be critical to deciphering the metabolic reprogramming that sustains these tumors. Accordingly, metabolomics studies in cells following knockdown of the MiT/TFE proteins or of ATG5, or treatment with lysosome inhibitors, revealed a marked drop in intracellular AA levels, even in full nutrient conditions (84). These differences did not reflect broad changes in the rate of AA import or export and were not seen in nontransformed pancreatic cells. These findings indicate that autolysosome activation has PDA-specific functions in maintaining intracellular AA stores. Similar decreases in intracellular AA levels have also been observed following proteosome inhibition in yeast, Drosophila, and various mammalian cell lines despite exposure to full external nutrient conditions (92). Thus, catabolic processes supply a significant fraction of internal AA that is independent of import from the external environment in PDA. These observations raise the intriguing possibility that distinct metabolite pools may fuel different biologic processes. If so, how might this segregation occur and what factors dictate this partitioning? Detailed metabolite tracing experiments will provide insight into how these AA pools might be incorporated into different cellular reactions.

Beyond compensating for a paucity of nutrients supplied from the vasculature, the enhanced scavenging capacity of PDA cells may also serve an important quality control mechanism. Although initially thought to function as a nonselective method for degradation of cytoplasmic content, recent studies have shown that autophagosomes can sequester and degrade specific cargo (93, 94). This selective breakdown of protein may also be essential for functional maintenance or remodeling of the PDA cellular proteome, a process known as proteostasis. Cancer cells are often characterized by increased rates of protein synthesis, due to activation of oncogenic signaling pathways or extrinsic factors such as hypoxia or nutrient deprivation, which places a heavy burden on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for enhanced protein folding capacity. Adaptive responses to ER stress, such as autophagy, ensure efficient clearance of misfolded protein species that can impair cell function (95). Similarly, removal of damaged organelles, particularly mitochondria, is an important function of autophagy in cancer and has been shown to influence the malignant progression of lung tumors (65–67, 71).

Autophagy has also been linked to resistance to radiotherapy and cytotoxic chemotherapy in several cancer types, including PDA (96–98). Both the quality-control mechanisms of autophagy and the upregulation of internally generated nutrient sources may cooperate to enhance overall cellular fitness and increase metabolic resilience, thereby sustaining tumor cell survival under these conditions (99, 100). Thus, in addition to treatment of autophagy-addicted tumors,
combination strategies incorporating autophagy inhibition may prevent or delay therapy resistance or increase the effectiveness of anticancer drugs in multiple tumor settings.

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PDA AND SYSTEMIC METABOLISM**

Systemic conditions, such as obesity and diabetes, have been linked to the onset and progression of PDA, suggesting that alterations in whole-body metabolism contribute to the pathogenesis of this cancer. Recent experimental studies support and extend this notion, revealing complex reciprocal interactions between somatic physiologic processes and the tumor cells that at least partially involve modulation of metabolism.

**Obesity and Diabetes in PDA Pathogenesis**

Obesity is an established risk factor for PDA in both men and women, increasing risk by an estimated 20% to 50%, as observed across multiple large pooled studies and meta-analyses (101). Moreover, the magnitude of risk increases in proportion to body mass index (BMI) in obese individuals. Consistent with an impact on disease initiation, obesity is also associated with increased incidence of PanIN lesions in otherwise normal pancreatic tissue (102). In addition, obesity appears to influence disease progression as well as the behavior of advanced tumors because patients with an elevated BMI prediagnosis are more likely to present with advanced-stage metastatic PDA at diagnosis compared with healthy-weight patients, and these patients show decreased overall survival times (103, 104).

In agreement with these epidemiologic data, administration of a high-fat/high-calorie diet (HFHCD) in multiple KRAS-mutant mouse models accelerates the development of early PanIN lesions and increases their progression to PDA (105, 106). Conversely, calorie-restricted diets have been shown to delay PanIN progression in KRAS-mutant mice (107). HFHCD was associated with activation of fibrosis and inflammatory pathways (e.g., COX2 and TNFα) and increased immune infiltrate in the premalignant pancreatic lesions, although these studies do not establish whether this effect is a cause rather than a consequence of accelerated tumorigenesis. Although these models showed some differences regarding the impact of HFHCD on insulin sensitivity and weight gain, they broadly support a connection between increased dietary intake and PDA risk. On the basis of the biologic alterations observed in mouse models and the aggressive features of obesity-associated PDA in humans, it will be of interest to determine whether PDA arising in this setting has distinct genomic features and differences in metabolic circuitry.

In addition to serving as substrates for anabolic metabolism and energy generation, lipids can act as important signaling molecules (e.g., prostaglandins and leukotrienes; ref. 108). Accordingly, additional studies have explored the role of specific lipid species in PDA pathogenesis using mouse models. Bioactive lipids containing omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFAs), which have anti-inflammatory properties, were shown to strongly suppress PanIN progression and PDA development in the Ptf1Cre+/−;LSL-KrasG12D mouse model (109, 110). This series of findings on dietary intake and dietary supplementation appears to have implications for PDA prevention, and may be particularly relevant for the management of individuals at high risk for PDA, such as those with hereditary PDA syndromes.

The potential role of diabetes in PDA pathogenesis has long been under debate; however, recent work has provided considerable clarity in this regard, suggesting a “bidirectional” relationship between the two conditions (Fig. 4). First, long-standing type II diabetes (<2–8 years) correlates with an approximately 1.5- to 2-fold increased risk of PDA development (111, 112). The specific clinical features of diabetes that contribute to PDA risk have not been fully established. Interestingly, a large prospective case–control study of individuals without diabetes history showed an association between PDA and circulating markers of insulin resistance (e.g., increased...
pro-insulin levels), but not with islet cell dysfunction or hyperglycemia (Fig. 4A; ref. 113). This is in line with the reported increased PDA risk in diabetics treated with insulin or insulin secretagogues and decreased risk in those treated with the insulin sensitizer metformin (114), although a systematic meta-analysis concluded that additional prospective studies are still needed to support these associations (114). It is not known whether PDA arising in the setting of existing diabetes has distinct genomic profiles. Nevertheless, it is notable that patients with type II diabetes exhibit decreased overall survival compared with nondiabetic PDA patients (115), potentially suggesting differences in tumor biology.

Importantly, in addition to being a risk factor, diabetes can also signal the onset of PDA (101). In particular, patients newly diagnosed with diabetes have an 8-fold increased risk of developing PDA within the next 36 months over the general population. It is estimated that approximately 34% of PDA patients have new-onset diabetes (also referred to as pancreatic cancer–induced diabetes) at the time of cancer diagnosis, and that this group represents up to 75% of PDA patients with diabetes. A large retrospective study monitoring blood glucose levels found evidence of diabetes caused by PDA starting 2 to 3 years prior to diagnosis of the cancer (116). Correspondingly, rather than reflecting destruction of islets and pancreatic parenchyma, this condition appears to be a paraneoplastic syndrome arising due to secretion of factors from the tumor cells, such as adrenomedullin, which inhibits insulin secretion by β-cells (Fig. 4B; refs. 117, 118). Consistent with this, new-onset diabetes resolves in some cases following tumor resection, whereas patients with long-standing diabetes have persistent disease following surgery (119). Because new-onset diabetes signals subclinical malignancy, it may offer approaches for early cancer diagnosis. However, given that type II diabetes is 100 times more common than pancreatic cancer–induced diabetes, the potential of using the latter condition for pancreatic cancer screening will require additional biomarkers distinguishing these conditions.

On the basis of the associations between PDA and whole-body metabolism, there is considerable interest in understanding the impact of the widely used antidiabetic drugs on PDA risk as well as the therapeutic effects of these drugs in established tumors. In particular, there are extensive studies conducted using the biguanide metformin in this setting. Metformin is a mitochondrial electron transport chain complex I inhibitor that functions, in part, via inhibiting ATP synthesis and thereby activating AMPK signaling as well as inhibiting the PKA pathway (120). In addition to decreasing gluconeogenesis in the liver and decreasing blood glucose levels, metformin inhibits anabolic metabolism and increases energy generation in peripheral tissues and cancer cells (121, 122). The basis of the widely observed antiproliferative effects of metformin observed in cancer cells in vitro and the anti-tumor effects seen in vivo have been attributed variously to AMPK activation, loss of TCA cycle intermediates, and more systemic effects (122, 123).

As noted above, retrospective studies have given inconsistent results regarding the association between use of metformin and PDA risk in diabetics. On the other hand, studies conducted in a mutant KRAS GEM model of PDA show that preventative treatment with metformin led to a decrease in the progression of PanIN to invasive PDA (124). In addition, metformin was found to significantly reduce the growth of human and murine PDA xenografts (125, 126), perhaps relating to reduction of glucose levels, anti-inflammatory effects, or direct effects on tumor cell metabolism via mitochondrial complex I inhibition. Evaluation of metformin as an anticancer therapeutic is currently ongoing in a number of clinical trials testing combinations of chemotherapy with this drug in metastatic PDA patients (Table 1).

**Cachexia in PDA**

Given the pronounced alterations in cell metabolism associated with PDA pathogenesis, there is considerable interest in the identification of metabolic biomarkers for early detection. Notably, by conducting a prospective study profiling metabolite changes in prediagnostic serum from four large cohorts of PDA patients versus matched controls, Mayers and colleagues (127) found that elevated levels of circulating branched chain AAs (BCAA) are an independent predictive marker of increased risk (2-fold) of developing future PDA. This increase was present early in disease progression (2–5 years prior to tumor diagnosis) and preceded clinically evident cachexia, the process of skeletal muscle wasting and loss of body fat. Nevertheless, it is likely that the BCAA source is from early stages of tissue breakdown, pointing to a tumor-associated secreted factor that influences whole-body metabolic homeostasis during PDA progression (Fig. 4C). Whether these BCAAs directly nourish the evolving tumor and promote its growth is currently unknown, although these observations suggest an additional mechanism by which PDAs may enhance their acquisition of nutrients. Such utilization of BCAAs for tumor growth is suggested by the increases in cell proliferation and tumor volume following administration of the BCAA leucine in a subcutaneous tumor model of PDA (128). Along these lines, it is also worth noting that cachexia itself is associated with more aggressive PDA tumors and poor prognosis, perhaps reflecting access of the tumor cells to substrates derived from lipolysis, protein breakdown, and systemic changes in glucose metabolism (127).

The signals inducing cachexia remain under investigation and both components of the tumor stroma as well as the neoplastic cells are thought to contribute to the process. Infiltration of lymphocytes and tumor-associated fibroblasts is detected during early stages of the disease, and the cytokines and inflammatory mediators secreted by these cells, including TNFα and IL6, have been implicated in promoting cachexia (129). There is also evidence that adrenomedullin produced by PDA cells may have lipolytic activity on adipose tissue (130).

**Vitamin D**

Metabolite levels can also have protective functions in relation to PDA development. Notably, high circulating levels of vitamin D have been associated with reduced risk of PDA in a large prospective study (131). The basis for this effect is not clear. However, it is notable that the activation state of PSCs has recently been shown to be under the control of vitamin D receptor (VDR) signaling (26). Activated PSCs promote inflammation and may support PDA growth, whereas a VDR agonist was shown to revert activation of PSCs to a quiescent state. Thus, vitamin D may act, in part, to reduce...
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Viale and colleagues developed a GEM model of resistance mechanisms to KRAS inhibition may eventually arise, development of such agents and the potential that resistance to generate inhibitors of KRAS are currently under way as a cancer therapy, as many have essential functions in noncancer cells. For example, targeting GLUT1 or other glycolytic enzymes may be associated with severe toxicities due to their near-ubiquitous requirement in most normal tissues. In contrast, targeting of LDHA activity do not present severe abnormalities in organ function in adults (35). In addition, renewed efforts to understand how the multiple different cooperating genomic alterations found in PDA influence these processes. Many important areas, such as lipid metabolism, mitochondrial function, and the role of nutrient sensing transcription factors, remain to be explored. With the development of more precise techniques for dynamic measurement of metabolic reactions in vitro and in vivo, coupled with use of faithful cancer models, significant progress in our understanding of the functions of these pathways in disease progression is on the horizon. In the future, information regarding the metabolic dependencies of PDA and the interplay between the tumor, systemic metabolism, and immune function holds promise for highlighting a path toward the development of novel cancer diagnostics and therapeutics.

CONCLUSION

Metabolic rewiring is central to the pathogenesis of PDA and is a critical component of the tumorigenic program driven by KRAS, the signature mutation in this malignancy. A key current challenge is to more fully define how nutrient substrates are generated and used in these tumors and to understand how the different different cooperating genomic alterations found in PDA influence these processes. Many important areas, such as lipid metabolism, mitochondrial function, and the role of nutrient sensing transcription factors, remain to be explored. With the development of more precise techniques for dynamic measurement of metabolic reactions both in vitro and in vivo, coupled with use of faithful cancer models, significant progress in our understanding of the functions of these pathways in disease progression is on the horizon. In the future, information regarding the metabolic dependencies of PDA and the interplay between the tumor, systemic metabolism, and immune function holds promise for highlighting a path toward the development of novel cancer diagnostics and therapeutics.
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