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Abstract:

Postpartum breast cancer patients are at increased risk for metastasis compared to age-matched nulliparous or pregnant patients. Here, we address whether circulating tumor cells have a metastatic advantage in the postpartum host and find the post-lactation rodent liver preferentially supports metastasis. Upon weaning, we observed liver weight loss, hepatocyte apoptosis, ECM remodeling including deposition of collagen and tenascin-C, and myeloid cell influx, data consistent with weaning-induced liver involution and establishment of a pro-metastatic microenvironment. Using intracardiac and intraportal metastasis models, we observed increased liver metastasis in post-weaning Balb/c mice compared to nulliparous controls. Human relevance is suggested by a ~3-fold increase in liver metastasis in postpartum breast cancer patients (n=564) and by liver-specific tropism (n=117). In sum, our data reveal a previously unknown biology of the rodent liver, weaning-induced liver involution, which may provide insight into the increased liver metastasis and poor prognosis of women diagnosed with postpartum breast cancer.

Statement of Significance:

We find that postpartum breast cancer patients are at elevated risk for liver metastasis. We identify a previously unrecognized biology, namely weaning-induced liver involution that establishes a pro-metastatic microenvironment, and which may account in part, for the poor prognosis of postpartum breast cancer patients.
Introduction:

Breast cancers diagnosed within 5 years of childbirth impart a ~3 fold increased risk for metastasis compared to breast cancers diagnosed in age-matched nulliparous or pregnant women (1-3). Increased metastasis has been found to be independent of tumor ER, PR, or Her-2 expression, or tumor stage (2), implicating a host biology specific to the postpartum period. In rodents, the postpartum event of weaning-induced mammary gland involution promotes early stages of breast cancer metastasis, including tumor cell escape from the mammary gland (4-6). However, late stages of the metastatic cascade are rate limiting, including survival at secondary sites (7), and highlight the critical role of the ‘soil’ in determining fate of the metastatic ‘seed’.

For example, in experimental metastasis models, tumor cells extravasate into secondary tissues at high rates but subsequently die off or fail to efficiently establish overt metastatic lesions (7). Pro-metastatic microenvironments can shift this bottleneck such that tumor cells are more likely to successfully establish metastatic lesions (8-10). Here, we tested whether breast cancer cells have a metastatic advantage at secondary sites in the postpartum host. Rationale for this hypothesis is based upon the assumption that organs with increased metabolic output during pregnancy and lactation, such as the liver, might undergo postpartum involution to return the organ to its baseline metabolic state. This tissue involution process is anticipated to enhance metastasis, as physiologic tissue involution is mediated by wound healing-like programs known to support tumor growth (5,6). Here, using rodent models, we report that pup weaning induces maternal liver involution characterized by hepatocyte cell death and stromal remodeling consistent with establishment of a pro-metastatic microenvironment. Experimental metastasis models demonstrate increased liver metastasis in post-weaning mice compared to nulliparous hosts. Potential human significance is suggested by a preferential increase in liver metastasis in
postpartum patients compared to nulliparous controls. In summary, our studies identify a heretofore-unrecognized biology of the rodent liver, weaning-induced liver involution, a tissue remodeling process that establishes a pro-metastatic microenvironment. These findings address the role of normal physiology on metastatic niche education in the absence of a primary tumor, and provide a novel mechanism that may explain poor outcomes of postpartum breast cancer patients.
Results:

Dynamic regulation of the rodent liver during pregnancy, lactation, and weaning

Evidence for weaning-induced involution in tissues other than the breast has not been reported. We focused on the liver, which increases in metabolic output during pregnancy and lactation (11,12). Specifically, the liver increases lipid β-oxidation to facilitate production of glucose that is shuttled to the mammary gland for milk production (13,14). Yet how the liver returns to its baseline metabolic state after weaning is unknown. To begin to address this question, we performed a pregnancy and weaning study in Sprague Dawley female rats (Fig.1A). We found rat liver weights increased ~2-fold during pregnancy and remained elevated during lactation (Fig.1B, Fig.S1A). We also observed that liver weights rapidly returned to nulliparous levels by 8-10 days post-weaning; data consistent with weaning-induced liver involution (Fig.1B). In contrast, lung weights did not change with parity, lactation or weaning status (Fig.S1B).

To assess if the rapid liver weight loss post-weaning is due to hepatocyte cell death, we first investigated whether hepatocyte proliferation contributed to liver weight gain during pregnancy. We reasoned that if liver weight gain during pregnancy involved new cell proliferation, then resolution of weight gain may be mediated by cell removal, i.e., hepatocyte cell death. During pregnancy, we found increased hepatocyte proliferation, as measured by elevated Ki67-positivity and mitotic figures (Fig.1C, Fig.S1C-D). During lactation, a modest increase in weight gain over pregnancy was associated with hepatocyte hypertrophy (Fig.S1E). In addition, hepatocyte hypertrophy correlated with an anabolic metabolome profile, consistent with the increased metabolic demand of lactation (Fig.1D, Table S1A) (13,14). Conversely, the post-weaning liver exhibited metabolic signatures of nucleic acid and protein catabolism and oxidative stress.
Performing supervised clustering (partial least squares discriminate analysis, PLS-DA) of the rat liver metabolomics data revealed a step-wise, cyclical metabolic pattern across the reproductive cycle (Fig.1E, see Supplementary Methods). These data are consistent with dramatic functional changes to accommodate lactation, and further demonstrate a return to a nulliparous-like, baseline state upon regression at 28 days post-weaning (Fig.1E). The drop in liver weight and metabolic shift of the liver post-weaning is accompanied by increased detection of cleaved caspase-3 (CC3) (Fig.1F, Fig.S1F), data suggestive of apoptotic cell death and tissue regression. Further evidence for weaning-induced hepatocyte cell death after weaning was observed by increased terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining, which labels cleaved DNA (Fig.1G, Fig.S1G). Combined, our data confirm and expand on previous studies demonstrating hepatocyte proliferation and anabolic metabolism occur to accommodate the energy demands of lactation (12,13). Our data also show, for the first time, that weaning induces rapid hepatocyte cell death and liver involution, returning the liver to a baseline size and metabolic state within two weeks of weaning. We next addressed whether stromal remodeling accompanies weaning-induced liver involution, as stromal remodeling is known to impact breast cancer metastasis (9,10,15).

Weaning-induced liver involution is accompanied by stromal remodeling

We turned to the extensively investigated post-weaning mammary gland model to guide our investigation of stromal changes that occur in the actively involuting liver (16), as extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling is a defining characteristic of the post-weaning mammary gland (4,17,18). Quantitative ECM proteomics on rat liver revealed widespread changes in ECM proteins post-weaning (Fig.2A, Table S1B). Similar to our findings from the metabolomics...
analysis, supervised clustering (PLS-DA) of the ECM proteomic dataset demonstrated distinct liver ECM microenvironments across the reproductive cycle, including resolution to nulliparous-like levels in the fully regressed liver (R) (Fig.2B, Fig.S2). During the active window of liver involution we found elevated collagen 1-α1, collagen 4-α1, and tenascin-C (TNC) (Fig.2C, Fig.S3A-B), ECM proteins upregulated in the involuting mammary gland (17) as well as in pro-metastatic microenvironments (9,10,15,19). Increased transcript levels for COL1A1 and TNC suggested active ECM production in the involuting liver (Fig.S3C) and quantitative reticulin staining (Fig.S3D), TNC immunoblot (Fig.2D, Fig.S3E), and TNC immunostaining (Fig.2E, Fig.S3F) confirmed increased collagen and TNC protein deposition within the post-weaning liver. Of note, the timeline of TNC protein accumulation differs slightly by assay, and while these apparent discrepancies remain to be resolved, all three assays support increased liver ECM deposition shortly after weaning. Additionally, shorter TNC fragments were observed post-weaning (Fig.2D-F, Fig.S3F), and gelatin zymography demonstrated increased MMP-9 and MMP-2 levels (Fig.S3G), data supportive of active ECM remodeling in the post-weaning liver, as observed in the involuting mammary gland (5,17,18). While hepatocyte cell death and ECM deposition also occur in pathologic liver injury, the ECM deposition observed during weaning-induced liver involution occurred in the absence of overt fibrosis (Fig.2G, Fig.S3H). Taken together, these data show active, cyclical, physiologic ECM remodeling during the window of weaning-induced liver involution.

Immune cell accumulation during weaning-induced liver involution

Immune cell infiltrate is another defining stromal change in the involuting mammary gland (6), and was suggested in the involuting rat liver by increased CD68 staining, a macrophage
lysosomal marker (Fig. 3A). To further investigate the immune milieu in the post-weaning liver
we turned to the Balb/c murine model, which permits robust immune cell characterization by
flow cytometry. We first confirmed murine hepatocyte proliferation and liver weight gain during
pregnancy, followed by liver weight loss, metabolic catabolism/stress, and increased apoptosis
upon weaning (Fig. S4A-D, Table S2). Increased TNC, MMP-9, and MMP-2 in the post-weaning
mouse liver provided further evidence that weaning-induced liver involution is conserved
between rats and mice (Fig. S4E-H). In mice, liver immune cell phenotyping by flow cytometry
revealed transient increases in CD45+ leukocytes, CD11b<sup>b2</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup> macrophages, CD11b<sup>b1</sup>F4/80<sup>−</sup>
Ly6C<sup>+</sup>Ly6G<sup>−</sup> monocytes, and CD11b<sup>b1</sup>F4/80<sup>−</sup>Ly6C<sup>−</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> neutrophils with weaning (Fig. 3B,
Fig. S5A-B). Semi-quantitative IHC detection of F4/80+, Ly6C+, and Ly6G+ cells confirmed a
transient increase in macrophages, monocytes, and neutrophils in the post-weaning liver (Fig. 3C-
E). The observed influx of myeloid populations during weaning-induced liver involution may be,
in part, due to increased production of chemokines. Thus, we looked at chemokines known to
promote monocyte influx into tissues and found an increase in both CXCL12 and CCL2
expression during liver involution (Fig. S5C-E). Additionally, previous work has reported
formation of myeloid immune foci in the pre-metastatic niche (8), an observation we also report
here (Fig S5F-G). Our finding of increased myeloid populations within the liver post-weaning is
also consistent with clearance of apoptotic cells and immune suppression. Specifically,
professional phagocytic clearance of apoptotic cells limits exposure to self-antigen (20) and
gives rise to immune-suppressive, wound-resolving macrophages (21) that support tumor cell
immune evasion (22).
In sum, we provide evidence that the liver undergoes weaning-induced involution that is characterized by ECM deposition, MMP activity, and infiltration/clustering of CD11b^{hi}F4/80^{-}Ly6C^{+}Ly6G^{-} monocytes reported to occur within the metastatic niche as a result of primary tumor education (8-10,23,24). Our data indicate regulation of these same pro-metastatic pathways in the absence of tumor, under the physiologic conditions of weaning-induced liver involution. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that the post-weaning liver would preferentially support breast cancer metastasis in comparison to the nulliparous host.

Weaning-induced liver involution establishes a pro-metastatic microenvironment

To determine if the involuting-liver supports metastasis to a greater extent than the nulliparous host, we injected 5,000 mammary 4T1 tumor cells into the left ventricle of isogenic Balb/c hosts that were nulliparous or immediate post-weaning, referred to as the involution group (Inv2) (Fig.4A). Intracardiac injection was necessary to ensure equal circulating tumor cell numbers in both groups, as we have previously shown increased tumor cell-dissemination from the mammary fat pad during weaning-induced mammary gland involution (4,5). At study end, the percentage of mice with tumor cells in the liver, as assessed by a clonogenic assay for 4T1 cells (25), was higher in the involution group and cells were detected earlier in comparison to nulliparous hosts (Fig.4B-C). The percentage of mice with tumor cells in the lung, bone, and brain was unchanged between groups, suggesting a unique metastatic advantage within the post-weaning liver (Fig.4D). By immunohistochemical assessment, liver metastases were confirmed as CD45{^-}, Heppar-1{^-}, and CK18{^+}, and found to be highly Ki67 positive (Fig.4E). Micro-metastases were the dominant lesion type, likely due to the fact that systemic tumor burden and...
4T1-tumor cell driven cachexia required sacrifice of mice prior to the formation of overt liver metastasis.

To assess the ability of the post-weaning liver to support macro-metastases, we developed a portal vein injection metastasis model that allows for tumor cell delivery directly to the liver. This model permits outgrowth of liver lesions without concomitant metastasis in other organs (26). Further, to avoid cachexia, we utilized the less aggressive, isogenic, murine D2A1 mammary tumor cell line. We delivered 5,000 D2A1 cells into the portal vein of nulliparous and immediate post-weaning Balb/c female mice (Fig.4F). This cell number was selected to reduce penetrance of overt liver lesions in nulliparous mice to ~20% (data not shown), permitting detection of a potential increase in metastatic frequency in the involution group. To investigate whether the pro-metastatic microenvironment of the post-weaning liver is transient or persistent, we also injected mice at 28 days post weaning, a time point where the liver is fully regressed (R) by morphologic, molecular and biochemical characterizations (Fig.1E, 2B, & S2, and Table S3). In the immediate post-weaning group (Inv2), we observed a 3-fold increased frequency of histologically identified overt liver metastases compared to both nulliparous and fully regressed groups (Fig.4G). By IHC, D2A1 liver lesions were identified as Heppar-1−, CK18+, and CD45−, and found to be highly positive for Ki67 (Fig.4H, Fig.S6).

To assess for differences in metastatic burden across groups, we quantified tumor number and size from H&E liver sections. From this analysis we found that the immediate post-weaning (Inv2) group had an increased number of tumors per liver and increased tumor burden measured as total tumor area per liver, compared to the nulliparous group (Fig.S7A-B). However, when we
assessed only those mice with detectable metastases, differences in tumor number and area were not observed between groups (Fig.S7C-E). Cumulatively, these data indicate that the window of increased risk for developing liver metastasis is transient, limited to the period of active liver involution following weaning. Further, data from this metastasis model suggest that liver involution supports the early event of tumor cell seeding, but not tumor growth. Finally, these data predict increased liver metastasis in women diagnosed with postpartum breast cancer.

Evidence for elevated risk for liver metastasis in postpartum breast cancer patients

To investigate liver metastasis in young women with breast cancer, we evaluated a unique cohort of 564 patients diagnosed at ≤45 years of age where specific clinical data not normally collated, including parity history, long-term follow-up, and sites of metastasis, were made available through detailed chart review (Table S4). Compared to nulliparous women, we found a ~3.6-fold increase in liver metastasis in postpartum patients diagnosed within 5 years of giving birth, a trend that continued for up to 10 years following parturition (Fig.4I). This increased risk for liver metastasis persisted after adjusting for tumor biologic subtype, patient age, and year of diagnosis, which accounts for treatment advances over time (Table S4, S6). To examine potential breast cancer preference for the postpartum liver, we next investigated site-specific metastasis in the subset of women with metastatic disease. To more accurately evaluate metastatic tropism to the postpartum liver, we restricted our analysis to cases where first site of metastasis was recorded. This approach would avoid potential confounding influences that concomitant multi-site metastasis might have on the liver metastatic niche. To increase sample size of this highly defined young women’s breast cancer cohort, we extended our analysis to multiple institutions where de novo and recurrent metastatic disease data were available, and expanded the cohort to
include patients diagnosed within 10 years of pregnancy (Table S5). In this metastatic patient cohort, we observed increased liver metastasis in postpartum compared to nulliparous breast cancer patients (Fig.4J). This increase appears to be liver specific, as we did not observe significant differences in frequencies of lung or brain metastasis between groups (Fig.4J, Table S5-6). Intriguingly, we observed a trend towards reduced frequency of bone metastasis in the postpartum group (Fig.4J, Table S5-6). Cumulatively, these data support the hypothesis that the microenvironment of the postpartum involuting liver is uniquely permissive for the formation of breast cancer metastasis (Fig.4K).
Discussion:

We provide the first description of a developmentally regulated, liver involution program induced by weaning; a program that returns the liver from a state of high metabolic output necessary for lactation to a baseline pre-pregnant-like state. Weaning-induced liver involution involves liver weight loss, hepatocyte apoptosis, catabolic and cell stress metabolite profiles, ECM deposition, and increases in myeloid populations associated with apoptotic cell clearance and immune suppression. Using intracardiac and intraportal tumor cell injection models of breast cancer metastasis, we found the actively involuting murine liver supports increased metastasis compared to the livers of nulliparous or postpartum hosts whose livers have completed the involution process, i.e. regressed hosts. Potential relevance to breast cancer patients is suggested by our observation that patients diagnosed postpartum experience an elevated risk for liver-specific metastasis when compared to nulliparous young women’s breast cancer patients. Unresolved by our studies is a reconciliation between the narrow window of increased risk for liver metastasis observed in the murine model and the extended window of risk observed in women (5-10 years postpartum). One possible mechanism for this potential timing discrepancy is through dissemination of breast cancer cells shortly after pregnancy/lactation, as previously proposed (5), followed by a dormancy phase prior to metastatic expansion.

While physiologically regulated, the tissue-remodeling attributes of weaning-induced liver involution, including TNC, collagen I, collagen IV, and MMPs, are identified as key components of primary tumor-educated metastatic niches (8-10, 15, 23, 24). For example, breast cancer cells depend upon TNC for successful establishment of lung metastasis in mice (10), and collagen I at secondary sites can promote tumor cell escape from dormancy through integrin-mediated
cytoskeletal rearrangement and proliferation (15). In addition, crosslinked collagen IV supports
immune cell infiltration, production of MMP-2 by monocytes, and metastatic niche formation in
the murine lung, brain, and liver, ultimately facilitating tumor cell recruitment and metastatic
outgrowth (9). We also observed increased CD11b+ myeloid cell infiltrate in the involuting liver,
and previous work has shown that CD11b+ bone marrow derived monocytes (BMDM) are
essential for the establishment of successful metastasis upon tumor cell arrival in the liver (24).
Similarly, seminal work has revealed that VEGFR1+ BMDM, a subset of which are CD11b+,
are ‘first-responders’ in the pre-metastatic niche, where they are implicated in establishing a pro-
metastatic environment hospitable to circulating tumor cells (8). The identification of several
components of the tumor-educated metastatic niche within the normal involuting liver provides
mechanistic support for our functional data demonstrating increased liver metastasis in post-
weaning compared to nulliparous murine hosts.

A limitation of our study is that we have yet to demonstrate causality between weaning-induced
liver involution and increased metastasis. Such assessments will require abrogation of these
involution-related pro-metastatic attributes by use of targeted interventions as well as genetic
approaches. An additional constraint of our study is the use of metastasis models that do not
recapitulate the entire metastatic cascade, but rather focus on the fate of circulating tumor cells.
However, our reductionist approach is essential to isolate postpartum liver biology from that of
the mammary gland, as previous studies in our lab revealed a metastatic advantage of orthotopic
tumors in postpartum hosts, including increased tumor growth, local invasion, and escape into
the circulation (5,6).
The highly novel aspect of our discovery of weaning-induced liver involution and establishment of a transient pro-metastatic niche in rodents is also a potential limitation, as relevance to women is unknown. Our observations are unprecedented and to date, no published studies have examined whether weaning-induced liver involution occurs in women. Such investigation will require non-invasive, serial liver imaging studies in pregnant, lactating and post-weaning women. Studies using non-human primates, where liver biopsy is a viable option, could provide information regarding the molecular mechanisms of postpartum liver involution in primates. Of potential significance, postpartum breast involution in women occurs to a similar degree and by many of the same physiological processes as found in rodents, revealing conservation of weaning-induced breast involution (27).

Importantly, in a retrospective study of young women’s breast cancer patients, we find postpartum patients are at increased risk for liver metastasis; data consistent with an unrevealed postpartum liver biology in women. Of note, we observe increased liver metastasis without observing differences in frequency of other common sites of breast cancer metastasis, including bone, lung, and brain; data suggestive of a liver specific metastatic advantage. Independent validation of increased site-specific liver metastasis in postpartum breast cancer patients is needed. Such studies will depend upon the expansion of young women’s breast cancer cohorts worldwide, as it is necessary to include time since last pregnancy histories, as well as sites of metastases; these clinical parameters are not routinely collected at present. Of note, we find the increased risk of liver metastasis persists beyond the predicted window of weaning-induced tissue involution, for up to 10 years postpartum. To test the speculation that postpartum breast
involution facilitates early dissemination to the liver, prior to a diagnosis of breast cancer, new breast cancer models are needed.

In conclusion, we find an increase in site-specific metastasis to the liver in postpartum patients, and identify weaning-induced liver involution in rodents as a putative mechanism that may account for this increased risk. Importantly, breast cancer patients with liver metastasis have a median survival of ~4 months, compared to ~5 years with bone-only metastasis (28,29), raising the possibility that differences in site-specific metastasis contribute to the poor survival rates of women diagnosed postpartum. If validated, our finding that postpartum patients experience an increased risk for liver metastasis could lead to changes in treatment decisions in this vulnerable population of young mothers diagnosed with breast cancer. Finally, our study implicates unique host biology, rather than intrinsic attributes of the tumor, in mediating the poor prognosis of postpartum breast cancer and offers unexplored avenues for metastasis research and therapeutic intervention.
Materials and Methods:

Postpartum rodent models

The UC-AMC and OHSU Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees approved animal procedures. Age-matched female Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) and Balb/c mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME) were housed and bred as described (4,6). For tissue collection, rodents were euthanized across groups either by CO₂ asphyxiation or while under anesthesia by exsanguination via portal vein perfusion with PBS. Whole livers and/or lungs were removed, washed 3x in 1x PBS, and weighed. Median and right liver lobes were digested for flow cytometry analyses, left lobes were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (Anatech ltd., Battle Creek, MI), and caudate lobes were flash frozen on liquid nitrogen for protein and RNA extraction.

Cell culture

4T1 cells, provided by Dr. Heide Ford in 2011 (University of Colorado, Aurora, CO), were cultured as described (25). D2A1 cells were a gift from Dr. Ann Chambers in 2011 (London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario) and were cultured as described (30). Cells were washed and resuspended in cold 1x PBS (Corning) for intracardiac and portal vein injections. 4T1 and D2A1 cells were confirmed murine pathogen and mycoplasma free, last testing date of 3/28/2011 (IDEXX BioResearch, Columbia, MO). Cell lines have not been authenticated. All cells used in the described experiments were within 2-5 passages of the tested lot.

Intracardiac model of metastasis
Anesthetized mice (2% isoflurane) with thoracic cavity hair removed with chemical depilatory were imaged using a Vevo 770 High-Resolution In Vivo Micro-Imaging System (Visual Sonics, Toronto, ON, Canada) and a 35 MHz mechanical transducer. 5,000 4T1 isogenic mammary tumor cells/100 µl PBS were loaded in a 1 ml syringe with a 30-gauge 1” needle and the needle tip rinsed with sterile saline to remove external tumor cells. Under ultrasound image guidance, the needle was placed into the left ventricle, tumor cells injected, and needle held within the heart for 4-6 seconds to ensure tumor cells entered the circulation. Nulliparous and involution day 2 mice were alternately injected. Mice were weighed daily and euthanized in a rolling study design, in pairs, one/group, upon weight loss (10-15% of body weight). All mice were euthanized 16-24 days post-injection (Nullip, n=24; Inv2, n=25). Presence of 4T1 tumor cells in liver, lung, bone, and brain was determined using clonogenic assays (25). Mice were excluded if thoracic tumors were evident.

Intraportal injection model of metastasis

Mice were anesthetized, abdominal hair removed, and 5,000 D2A1 isogenic mammary tumor cells/10 µl PBS injected into the portal vein as described (26). Mice were euthanized at 5 weeks post-injection (Nullip, n=18; Inv2, n=17; R, n=8) and visible liver metastasis assessed at necropsy. Five mice had equivocal liver lesions <3 mm in diameter that were subsequently assessed by histological evaluation of H&E thin sections by a Pathologist blinded to group. Of these mice, four had overt metastasis. Data are presented as the percentage of mice in each group with liver metastasis.

Flow cytometry
For initial flow cytometric analyses, individual mouse livers were digested in 1 mg/ml collagenase I and 0.5 mg/ml hyaluronidase for 30 min shaking at 37°C, and filtered through a 100 µ filter (BD Biosciences). Red blood cells were lysed using 1x RBC lysis buffer (eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Samples were washed 3x with 1x PBS and counted in trypan blue using a Cellometer T4 Plus Cell Counter (Nexcelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA). 1x10^6 cells per sample were blocked with CD16/32 (eBioscience, 1:100) for 30 min and cell surface markers were stained (CD45, 30-F11; CD11b, M1/70; F4/80, CI:A3-1; Ly6C, HK1.4; Ly6G, 1A8) for 35 min at 4°C in 100 ul FACS buffer and fixed with fixation buffer (BD Biosciences) for 30 min. Samples were analyzed on a Gallios 561 flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN; University of Colorado Flow Cytometry Core) and data analysis was done using Kaluza v1.2 software (Beckman Coulter). Single-color controls were used with each run and fluorescence-minus-one and isotype controls were used to confirm CD11b^+, F4/80^+, Ly6C^+, and Ly6G^+ populations. Secondary analyses (Fig.S5) were performed after portal vein perfusion of livers with 1x PBS, and Fixable live-dead Aqua (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher, San Jose, CA; 1:250) was included with the CD16/32 block. This analysis was performed on an LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences; Oregon Health and Science University Flow Cytometry Shared Resource) and analysis was performed using FlowJo (FlowJo, LLC Data Analysis Software, Ashland, OR).

**Metabolomics**

For rat liver metabolomics, mass spectrometry was performed on n=4 Inv4, Inv10; n=5 L, Inv2, Inv6; n=6 N, Inv8, R rats/ grp. For mouse liver metabolomics, mass spectrometry was performed on n=6 N, L, Inv2, Inv4, Inv6; n=5 R; n=4 Inv8 mice/group. Pulverized rat or mouse liver tissues were suspended at 10 mg/ml in ice-cold lysis/extraction buffer (methanol:acetonitrile:water,
5:3:2), vortexed for 30 min at 4°C, and centrifuged at 10,000g for 15 min at 4°C. For LC-MS analysis, 10 µl of samples were injected into a UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000, Thermo Fisher) and run on a Kinetex XB-C18 column (2.1 x 150 mm i.d., 1.7 µm particle size, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) using a 3 min isocratic run at 250 µl/min (mobile phase: 5% acetonitrile, 95% 18 mΩ H2O, 0.1% formic acid). The UHPLC system was coupled online to a Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher), scanning in Full MS mode (2 µscans) at 70,000 resolution in the 60-900 m/z range, 4 kV spray voltage, 15 sheath gas and 5 auxiliary gas, operated in negative and then positive ion mode (separate runs). Calibration was performed before each analysis using positive and negative ion mode calibration mixes (Pierce, Thermo Fisher, Rockford, IL) to ensure sub ppm error of the intact mass. Metabolite assignments were performed using the software Maven (31) (Princeton, NJ), upon conversion of .raw files into .mzXML format through MassMatrix (Cleveland, OH). The software allows for peak picking, feature detection and metabolite assignment against the KEGG pathway database. Assignments were further confirmed using chemical formula determination from isotopic patterns and accurate intact mass, and by matching retention times to an in-house library that contains 650+ metabolites (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA; IROATech, Bolton, MA, USA). Relative quantitation was performed by exporting integrated peak area values into Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, CA) for statistical analysis, including hierarchical clustering analysis (GENE-E; Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA). The metabolomics data reported in this paper are tabulated in the supplementary materials and archived at The Metabolomics Consortium Data Repository and Coordinating Center (DRCC) (Project ID PR000382: Rat metabolomics study ST000509, mouse metabolomics study ST000510).
Proteomics

For rat liver proteomics, mass spectrometry was performed on n=4 Inv4, Inv10; n=5 L, Inv2, Inv6; n=6 N, Inv8, R rats/grp. Approximately 50 mgs of flash frozen, pulverized liver tissue was processed as described (18,32). The endogenous protein concentration of each sample was determined by Bradford assay, prior to proteolytic digestion. Samples were digested using the FASP protocol (33). Briefly, 37.5 µg of each sample was added to a 10 kD molecular weight cut-off filter. 500 fmols of $^{13}$C$_6$ labeled ECM associated QconCAT standards (32) were spiked into each sample. Samples were analyzed on the QTRAP 5500 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA) coupled with an UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000, Thermo Fisher). A targeted, scheduled Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) approach was performed using the QTRAP 5500. 16 µl of each sample was injected and directly loaded onto a Waters UPLC column (ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C18, 1.7 µm 150x1 mm) with 5% ACN, 0.1% FA at 30 µl/min for 3 min. A gradient of 2-28% ACN was run for 21 min to differentially elute QconCAT peptides. The mass spectrometer was run in positive ion mode with the following settings: a source temperature of 200°C, spray voltage of 5300V, curtain gas of 20 psi, and a source gas of 35 psi (nitrogen gas). Transition selection and corresponding elution time, declustering potential, and collision energies were specifically optimized for each peptide of interest using Skyline’s step-wise methods set up (34). Method building and acquisition were performed using the instrument supplied Analyst Software (Version 1.5.2).

Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, staining analysis, immunoblot, zymography, RNA analysis methodology are available in Supplementary Methods.
Statistical analysis of rodent studies

All rodent data are from 2 independent breeding studies, with 4-25 animals per group, with the exception of immunoblots and zymogens, which were performed on pooled lysates with 4 samples/grp run as n=4-5 technical replicates. For intracardiac injection studies, one-tailed Chi-squared test was used to compare liver metastasis across groups based on pre-existing hypotheses; two-tailed Chi-squared test was used to compare lung, bone, and brain metastasis. For portal vein injection studies two-sided Fisher’s exact test was used to compare frequency of liver metastasis across groups, student’s t-test was used to compare number of lesions, lesion area, and tumor burden across groups. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA). All data are presented with mean and standard error of the mean (SEM), where applicable.

Statistical analysis of patient cohorts

The Colorado and OHSU Institutional Review Boards approved all human studies. All studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Human subjects were enrolled via prospective trials where informed consent was obtained. UC cases before 2004 were obtained via consent and/or HIPAA exempt approved retrospective protocol. Cohort demographic, clinical, and treatment data are summarized in Supplementary tables 4 and 5, data analyses are summarized in Supplementary table 6. Two young women’s breast cancer cohorts were analyzed, a UC cohort including all patients (≤45 y.o.) and a UC/DFCI (DFCI, <40 y.o.) cohort including only patients with metastatic recurrence. For analysis of the UC cohort (n=564) patients were defined as nulliparous if they had no evidence of complete or incomplete pregnancy, and as postpartum if they were diagnosed <5 or 5-<10 years after their last completed
pregnancy. We excluded cases with incomplete parity data, if pregnant, or >10 years postpartum at time of diagnosis. Multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the effect of parity status on liver metastasis while adjusting for biologic subtype, age of the patient at diagnosis, and year of diagnosis. Patients in the subset analysis that included only metastatic patients (n=117) were excluded if site of first metastatic recurrence was unknown, or if diagnosed with multi-site metastatic disease upon initial recurrence, to limit analysis to first site of metastasis. In this subset analysis, the association between liver metastasis and parity status was assessed using one-sided (increased metastasis in the postpartum group was predicted) as well as a two-sided Fisher’s exact test; with significance (p=0.04; one-sided) or a trend towards significance (p=0.058; two-sided) demonstrated, respectfully. The association between lung, bone, and brain metastasis and parity status was assessed using two-sided Fisher’s exact test because we did not have a pre-existing hypothesis.
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Figure Legends:

**Figure 1. Evidence for weaning induced liver involution.** (A) Rat livers were harvested for biochemical and IHC analyses (red arrows) from nulliparous (N), early (P2-4), mid (P11-13), and late (P18-20) pregnancy, lactation day 10 (L), and post-weaning days 2-10 and 28 (Inv2-Inv10, R). (B) Liver weights from age-matched rats across the reproductive cycle; rats/grp: Nullip (N), n=25; P2-4, n=5; P11-13, n=4; P18-20 & L, n=10; Inv2, n=9; Inv4, n=8; Inv6 & Inv10, n=6; Inv8, n=7; R, n=14. (C) Representative Ki67 IHC (top left) and dual Ki67/Heppar-1 IHC (top right) images from P18-20 liver; Ki67+ hepatocytes (arrows); Ki67+ hepatocytes (asterisk); Ki67- non-parenchymal cells (arrow-heads); scale bar=20 μm. Quantification of Ki67+ hepatocyte IHC by reproductive stage (bottom panel); n=4 rats/grp. (D) Heatmap of UHPLC-MS metabolomics by reproductive stage (top) and Z-scores of anabolic/reducing (bottom left) and catabolic/stress (bottom right) metabolites; n=4-6 rats/grp. (E) Partial least squares discriminate analysis (PLS-DA) of rat liver metabolomics data (see Table S1A). (F) Cleaved caspase-3 immunoblot (top; n=4 rats/grp) and densitometry (bottom). (G) Representative apoptotic hepatocyte detected by TUNEL (inset; scale bar=20 μm), and TUNEL quantification across the reproductive cycle; N, n=7; L & Inv6, n=5; Inv4, Inv10, & R, n=4. Graphs show mean with SEM. One-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparisons test. *=p-value<0.05, **=p-value<0.01, ***=p-value<0.001.

**Figure 2. Extracellular matrix remodeling accompanies weaning-induced liver involution.** (A) Absolute quantification of rat liver ECM proteins by QconCAT based MS-MS proteomics; n=4-6 rats/grp. (B) Partial least squares discriminate analysis (PLS-DA) of rat liver ECM proteomics data from N, L, Inv6, and R stages (See Fig.S2, Table S1B). (C) Box-and-whisker
plots of TNC, collagen 1-α1, and collagen 4-α1 obtained from QconCAT proteomics in (A). (D) TNC expression across involution by immunoblot (top, and Fig.S3E), with densitometry normalized to GAPDH (bottom, and Fig.S3E); quantification is of 4 technical replicates, n=4-6 rats/grp. (E) Representative liver TNC IHC (brown stain) at L (upper left) and Inv8 (lower left) and IHC quantification across reproductive stage (upper right); scale bar=25 μm, n=4-6 rats/grp. (F) TNC fragment length measured in N, L, and Inv8 livers; n=5 rats/grp. (G) Representative H&E stained rat liver sections from N (left), Inv6 (middle), and R (right) stages; scale bar=150 μm. Graphs show mean with SEM. One-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparisons test. *=p-value<0.05, **=p-value<0.01.

Figure 3. Immune populations increase in the liver during weaning-induced involution. (A) IHC quantification of CD68 positivity (left), and representative CD68 IHC images (right); scale bar=40 μm, n=4-6 rats/grp. (B) Flow cytometric quantification of Balb/c mouse liver immune cell populations; CD45+ leukocytes (top left), CD11bloF4/80+Ly6C-Ly6G- mature macrophages (top right), CD11bhif4/80Ly6C+Ly6G+ monocytes (bottom left), and CD11bhif4/80Ly6C+Ly6G+ neutrophils (bottom right); Nullip (N), n=19; L, Inv4, & Inv6, n=14; R, n=9; Inv2, n=7 mice/grp. (C) F4/80 IHC quantification (left), and representative F4/80 IHC images (right); n=5 mice/grp. (D) Ly6C IHC quantification (left), and representative Ly6C IHC images (right); n=5 mice/grp. (E) Ly6G IHC quantification (left), and representative Ly6G IHC images (right); scale bars for c-e=40 μm, n=5 mice/grp. Graphs show mean with SEM. One-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparisons test. *=p-value<0.05, **=p-value<0.01, ***=p-value<0.001, ****=p-value<0.0001.
**Figure 4. Evidence for a pro-metastatic microenvironment in the postpartum liver.**

(A) Intracardiac metastasis model, Nullip (N), n=24; Inv2, n=25. (B) Percent mice with tumor cells in liver (p=0.03; Chi-squared, RR 1.6 [95% CI:0.9-9.6]); (C) Tumor Cell Latency. (D) Percent mice with tumor cells in lung (p=0.81), bone marrow (p=0.51), and brain (p=0.36), Chi-squared. (E) Representative H&E image of liver micro-metastasis and staining for Ki67, CD45, and Heppar-1/CK18; scale bars=25 μm. (F) Portal vein metastasis model, Nullip, n=18; Inv2, n=17; R, n=8. (G) Percent of mice with overt metastasis at study end (**p=0.001, RR 3.9 [95% CI: 1.3-11.6]; *p=0.03, RR 2.0 [1.08-3.66]; two-tailed Fisher’s exact). (H) Representative Ki67, CD45, and Heppar-1/CK18 staining on overt liver metastases (T) from Inv2 mice, dashed lines denote tumor border; scale bars=25 μm. (I) Frequency of liver metastasis in young breast cancer patients (≤45 years of age); N, n=185; PPBC<5, n=205; PPBC 5-<10, n=174 (p=0.038; multivariate logistic regression, OR=4.05 [95% CI:1.08-15.12]). (J) Subset analysis of site-specific metastases in women with metastatic disease (N, n=34; PPBC<10, n=83). Frequency of liver metastasis (p=0.04, one-sided Fisher’s Exact; p=0.058, two-sided Fisher’s Exact, OR: 4.12 [95% CI:0.90-18.94]), and lung (p=1.00), brain (p=1.00), & bone (p=0.11) metastasis by Fisher’s exact (see Table S6). (K) Model of the postpartum involuting liver pro-metastatic microenvironment.
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