On October 1, Edward Chu, MD, began his role as director of Albert Einstein Cancer Center and vice president for cancer medicine at Montefiore Medicine, both in New York, NY. He also became a professor of cancer medicine at Einstein and a professor of medicine and molecular pharmacology at Montefiore. Previously, Chu was the deputy director of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center’s Hillman Cancer Center in Pennsylvania. His research focuses on understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying drug resistance. He is also involved in developing novel agents for colorectal cancer and other gastroenterological malignancies.

The European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) bestowed its ESMO Award upon Hans-Joachim Schmoll, MD, PhD, during the ESMO Virtual Congress 2020, held September 19–21. The award recognizes his clinical work on colorectal and germine cancers, including his role in developing various treatment guidelines. Schmoll is the head of the Clinical Oncology Research division at the University Clinic of Martin Luther University in Halle, Germany. He authored the first ESMO consensus recommendations for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

Also at the ESMO Virtual Congress, Nadia Harbeck, MD, PhD, received the ESMO Lifetime Achievement Award for her contributions to breast cancer research and treatment. Her work has centered on personalizing treatment for breast cancer, and she has led several phase III trials exploring predictive biomarkers. Harbeck is the head of the Breast Center at the Ludwig Maximilian University Munich in Germany, where she also serves as chair for Conservative Oncology in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

ICI Impact on COVID-19 Severity Modest at Best

In an ongoing global pandemic, one question oncologists have been investigating is whether their patients—already vulnerable to COVID-19—may be at risk of more severe viral disease if their cancer therapy includes immune checkpoint inhibition (ICI). The emerging answer is that, at least for now, halting or modifying ICI-related treatment is unwarranted.

Rather, vigilance with SARS-CoV-2 testing is “what we suggest for patients who are on or about to start ICI,” said Jedd Wolchok, MD, PhD, of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) in New York, NY. He coauthored two recent retrospective studies showing that “any signal we’ve observed that ICI may worsen COVID-19 outcomes is modest, to say the most.”

One set of findings was presented by Jia Luo, MD, at the American Association for Cancer Research’s (AACR) Virtual Meeting: COVID-19 and Cancer, held July 20–22. The analysis focused on patients with lung cancer, identifying 69 who were diagnosed with COVID-19 between March 12 and April 13 (Cancer Discov 2020;10:1121–8). Of these, 41 had received prior ICI, primarily PD-1 blockade.

“We wanted to see if there might be differences between recent or more remote exposure to ICI, in terms of impact on COVID-19 severity,” Luo said. As such, the 41 patients included those dosed within 6 months of a COVID-19 diagnosis and those who had started ICI within 3 months of developing it. Virus “severity” was defined by the following outcomes: hospitalization rate, the need for intensive care, and death. After adjusting for potentially confounding factors—chiefly smoking history—the investigators found no significant association between PD-1 blockade and an increased risk of severe COVID-19.

On the other hand, such a link was uncovered in a second, broader study of 423 patients with various cancers and COVID-19 (Nat Med 2020;26:1218–23). The researchers reported that two predictors for hospitalization and severe respiratory illness—defined as requiring supple-mentary oxygen or mechanical ventilation—were prior ICI and being older than 65. In subanalyses of lung cancer versus non–lung cancer cohorts, higher rates of hospitalization and respiratory illness were still observed in patients who received ICI, regardless of cancer type. However, metastatic disease, recent chemotherapy, or major surgery were not significant predictors.

Regarding the apparent lack of concurrence, Wolchok noted that “both studies are modest-size data sets with different endpoints and inherent heterogeneity.” Some patients were treated at MSKCC, others at affiliated hospitals, “so our access to information—all collected during a very high-intensity time period—was not the same.” That chemotherapy did not emerge as a predictor was “surprising,” he added, but “there are probably other studies that suggest the opposite.”

Indeed, at the AACR meeting, Leora Horn, MD, of Vanderbilt University in Nashville, TN, presented data from the global TERAVolt consortium showing that chemotherapy—but not ICI or targeted drugs—was associated with an increased risk of COVID-19 mortality among patients with thoracic cancers. Meanwhile, Aljosja Rogiers, MD, of Melanoma Institute Australia in Sydney, reported that, in a multicenter analysis of 113 ICI-treated patients, the mortality rate from COVID-19, at 8%, was similar to what has been reported for the general cancer patient population (between 7.6% and 12%) during the pandemic.

Overall, “it would be challenging to put COVID-19 in a single immune category,” Wolchok observed. “There are hypotheses that ICI could improve T-cell responses to the virus; alternatively, pneumonitis—part of PD-1 blockade’s toxicity spectrum—could be exacerbated in the same context. We need to respect the limitations of these early analyses, but they’ve produced many observations to be followed in larger studies.”

MSKCC plans to share its data on COVID-19 outcomes with the Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy, Wolchok added, “so we can begin to harmonize some of what we find. If there are further [virus] hot spots or
second waves, perhaps we’ll be better prepared with uniform ways of querying patient outcomes, to reduce heterogeneity.” —Alissa Pol

**Gilead Buys into Tizona’s Anti–HLA-G Strategy**

Gilead announced plans in July to pay $300 million for a 49.9% stake in Tizona Therapeutics, with the option to pay another $1.25 billion for the remainder of the company. Whether Gilead follows through with the acquisition will hinge on results of a first-in-human trial involving Tizona’s investigational checkpoint inhibitor, TTX-080.

The antibody therapy is the first clinical-stage candidate designed to block the interaction of HLA-G, a histocompatibility antigen displayed on the surface of tumor cells, with corresponding receptors found on immune cells.

Because HLA-G and PD-L1 have distinct but overlapping expression patterns, Tizona’s HLA-G antagonist may help patients who do not respond to current anti–PD-1/PD-L1 treatments and deepen responses among those sensitive to existing immunotherapy agents. Receptors for HLA-G are also found on many different cell types—including T cells, B cells, natural killer cells, monocytes, and dendritic cells—so TTX-080 could promote antitumor immunity through several pathways at once.

Although the company has not publicly presented any preclinical TTX-080 data, onlookers are enthusiastic about the HLA-G–targeting approach. “It’s definitely something worth trying,” says Kerry Campbell, PhD, of Fox Chase Cancer Center in Philadelphia, PA. “Clearly HLA-G is expressed on a lot of tumors, and I think it’s worth a test.”

Yet, given the structural similarity between HLA-G, a nonclassic MHC class I molecule, and its classic counterparts involved in antigen presentation, some experts worry about the potential for cross-reactivity. “Going for an HLA molecule? Boy, you want to make sure that specificity is good,” says Mary Carrington, PhD, of the NCI.

First described for its role in protecting fetuses from their mothers’ immune systems, HLA-G was later shown to provide an immune escape mechanism for tumors. Yet, despite evidence going back more than 20 years that cancer cells seem to hijack HLA-G for their own defenses, only a handful of companies seem to have pursued the target. According to patent filings, Roche and Inhibitex both have HLA-G–targeted antibodies in their portfolios, and Amgen and Blond Biologies have described experimental antibodies directed against an HLA-G receptor known variously as LILRB1 or ILT2.

Inhibitex and another startup, Cell Biotherapy, are also working on chimeric antigen receptor T cells directed against HLA-G. This strategy takes advantage of the molecule’s tumor-biased expression pattern—the antigen is not found in normal tissues outside of immune-privileged sites such as the placenta and cornea—rather than its immune tolerance function. “It’s a very, very good target based upon that specificity and its activity on about half of all human solid tumors,” says Alan Epstein, MD, PhD, of the University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine in Los Angeles, who leads research efforts at Cell Biotherapy.

Tizona is recruiting for a phase 1, dose-escalation trial evaluating TTX-080 in advanced solid tumors. The company also has two wholly owned first-in-class preclinical programs involving undisclosed targets that are covered by the Gilead deal. Not included, however, is Tizona’s other phase 1 candidate, the antibody therapy TTX-030, for which AbbVie has the exclusive licensing option.

That anti-CD39 therapy targets a cell-surface enzyme used by immune cells and tumors to convert immune-stimulating ATP into immune-suppressive adenosine. As Tizona scientists and their academic collaborators reported last year, CD39 blockade promotes inflammasome-driven antitumor immunity and can rescue anti–PD-1 resistance in mouse models (Cancer Discov 2019;9:1754–73). Clinicians are now evaluating TTX-030 in combination with multiple agents in patients with advanced cancers. —Elie Dolgin

**A Discovery 76 Million Years in the Making**

A deformed leg bone discovered in Alberta, Canada, offers the first histologically confirmed example of a malignant tumor diagnosed in a dinosaur. (Lancet Oncol 2020;21:1021–2). The osteosarcoma, identified in the fossilized fibula of a plant-eating horned dinosaur called *Centrosaurus apertus*, shows that unregulated neoplastic growth is not a modern physiologic problem, but a vulnerability rooted deep in the genomic history of cellular development.

Those evolutionary insights could help narrow the search for genomic drivers of osteosarcoma, says hematologist Mark Crowther, MD, of McMaster University in Hamilton, ON, Canada, who co-led the study. Because all birds alive today descended from dinosaurs, and because osteosarcoma has been documented in birds, “it suggests to us strongly that the best place to look is in the genetic material that is shared between humans and birds.”

Paleontologists who found the 76-million-year-old fibula in the late 1980s chalked up the bone’s strange bulbous shape to a fracture that had not healed properly. The specimen then sat in a museum drawer for close to 30 years until Crowther—working with paleobiologist David Evans, PhD, of the Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto, Canada, and a multidisciplinary team of pathologists, radiologists, and orthopedic surgeons—decided to take another look.

The specialists formed a kind of paleo-oncologic tumor board and, using modern diagnostic techniques, offered a second opinion on their dinosaur “patient.” They compared its bone to one from a 19-year-old male, now deceased, who had confirmed osteosarcoma and had undergone lower-leg amputation. Although the dinosaur sample, unlike the human one, lacked preserved soft tissue, morphologic, radiographic, and histologic examinations of the two fibulae revealed similar patterns of abnormal bone formation, with blood vessels...
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