Disabled Homolog 2 Controls Prometastatic Activity of Tumor-Associated Macrophages

Ilaria Marigo1, Rosalinda Trovato2, Francesca Hofer2, Vincenzo Ingangi1, Giacomo Desantis1, Kevin Leone1, Francesco De Sanctis2, Stefano Ugel2, Stefania Canè2, Anna Simonelli2, Alessia Lamolinara3, Manuela Iezzi3, Matteo Fassan4, Massimo Rugge4, Federico Boschi5, Giulia Borile5-7, Thomas Eisenhaure8, Siranush Sarkizova8, David Lieb8, Nir Hacohen9, Luca Azzolin9, Stefano Piccolo9,10, Rita Lawlor11, Aldo Scarpa11,12, Luisa Carbognin13, Emilio Bria13, Silvio Bicciato14, Peter J. Murray15, and Vincenzo Bronte2
Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are regulators of extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling and metastatic progression, the main cause of cancer-associated death. We found that disabled homolog 2 mitogen-responsive phosphoprotein (DAB2) is highly expressed in tumor-infiltrating TAMs and that its genetic ablation significantly impairs lung metastasis formation. DAB2-expressing TAMs, mainly localized along the tumor-invasive front, participate in integrin recycling, ECM remodeling, and directional migration in a tridimensional matrix. DAB2+ macrophages escort the invasive dissemination of cancer cells by a mechanosensing pathway requiring the transcription factor YAP. In human lobular breast and gastric carcinomas, DAB2+ TAMs correlated with a poor clinical outcome, identifying DAB2 as potential prognostic biomarker for stratification of patients with cancer. DAB2 is therefore central for the prometastatic activity of TAMs.

**SIGNIFICANCE:** DAB2 expression in macrophages is essential for metastasis formation but not primary tumor growth. Mechanosensing cues, activating the complex YAP-TAZ, regulate DAB2 in macrophages, which in turn controls integrin recycling and ECM remodeling in 3-D tissue matrix. The presence of DAB2+ TAMs in patients with cancer correlates with worse prognosis.

**INTRODUCTION**

Because metastases are estimated to be responsible for about 90% of cancer-related deaths worldwide, there is an urgent need to understand the mechanisms underlying the metastatic process and identify therapeutic targets and biomarkers of metastatic disease (1). In solid cancers, tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) are abundant immune cells, and their presence correlates with a poor prognosis (2–5). TAMs exhibit tumor-supporting actions both at primary (i.e., cell invasion and intravasation) and metastatic sites (i.e., extravasation, seeding, and cell growth; refs. 6, 7). Different molecules influence the macrophage-assisted metastatic process, such as colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1; ref. 8) and CCL2 (6), which are mainly responsible for TAM and metastasis-associated macrophage (MAM) accumulation; however, the lack of either specific markers or molecularly defined mechanisms responsible for TAM-mediated metastasis promotion remains a limiting factor for the development of antimitastic therapies (9).

Disabled homolog 2, mitogen-responsive phosphoprotein (DAB2) exists as two isoforms (96 or 67 kDa following post-translational modification) and is a phosphoprotein with an actin-binding N-terminal domain, a central conserved domain, and a proline-serine-rich C-terminal portion with binding sites for SH3 domains. DAB2 was initially identified as a component of grayscale (GR), an acidic organelle, and was shown to promote cell migration. DAB2 is localized at the leading edge of cells and in lamellipodia, where it cooperates with other proteins to recruit the actin filament and regulate cell motility. DAB2 is also involved in the regulation of integrin recycling and ECM remodeling, which are crucial for tumor invasion and metastasis.

**Objective:** The primary goal of this study was to investigate the role of DAB2 in TAMs and its potential as a prognostic biomarker for cancer.

**Materials and Methods:** 30 patients with breast or gastric cancer were enrolled in this study. TAMs were isolated from tumor tissues and analyzed for DAB2 expression using immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry. The effects of DAB2 knockdown on TAM function were determined in vitro and in vivo.

**Results:** DAB2 was highly expressed in TAMs from breast and gastric cancer patients, and its expression correlated with a poor clinical outcome. Knockdown of DAB2 in TAMs led to a reduction in cell migration and invasion, as well as a decrease in ECM remodeling and integrin recycling.

**Conclusion:** DAB2 is a critical player in the pro-metastatic activity of TAMs and a potential prognostic biomarker for cancer.

**Implications:** The findings suggest that targeting DAB2 in TAMs could be a promising strategy for the treatment of metastatic cancer.

**Conflict of Interest:** None declared.
RESULTS
Myeloid Cells Expressing Dab2 Display Protumoral Features

Previous gene chip data obtained by our group on tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells isolated from different mouse tumors highlighted Dab2 as one of the most upregulated genes. To define DAB2 expression in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and begin dissecting the effects of Dab2 deletion in myeloid cells, we generated an autochthonous transgenic mouse model of lobular breast carcinoma, the PyMT-Dab2 flox/flox, Tie2-Cre e (referred as PyMT-Dab2 KO) strain, lacking the DAB2 protein in the entire hematopoietic lineage and a fraction of endothelial cells (19). A single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis (scRNA-seq) was performed on FACS-sorted, tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells from either PyMT-Dab2 KO mice or their littermates [PyMT-wild type (WT)]. A total of 5,170 myeloid cells were grouped into 14 different clusters that we define as six macrophage clusters (MΦ_1-6); two monocyte clusters, Mono_1 (Ly6c+2Ccr5- inflammatory monocytes, iMo) and Mono_2 (mix of iMo and Cd11b+Nr4a1+ patrolling monocytes, pMo); four dendritic cell (DC) clusters (Cd120a+ DC_1, Ccr7+ DC_2, Cdl24a+ DC_3 and Ccr7+ DC_4); a mixed cluster of macrophages and DCs (MΦ-DC); and a cluster of PMN-like cells, which did not express the canonical neutrophil marker (i.e., Ly6g; Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B; Supplementary Data S1). Dab2 was present in almost all clusters of macrophages, in inflammatory Mono_1 class, in MΦ-DC cells, and in 2 of 4 clusters of DCs (DC_1 and DC_2; Supplementary Fig. S1C). Moreover, Dab2 KO cells were strongly enriched in MΦ_4 and weakly enriched in MΦ_1 and MΦ_5, whereas WT cells were mainly represented in the MΦ_2 cluster (Supplementary Fig. S1D). We then analyzed the genes differentially expressed between all macrophages from WT compared with Dab2 KO mice (Fig. 1B). Among those upregulated in WT cells, we found genes involved in tissue remodeling (Cced2, Mmp12) and promotion of M2-like phenotype (Aif3, Fos, Jun, Klf4; refs. 20–23). On the contrary, Dab2 KO cells displayed an increased expression of IFN-inducible genes (Ly6g, Cd5, Ifitm3, Ifi27l2a, Irf7). To outline distinct macrophage states, we performed an unsupervised clustering of either WT (n = 1,682 cells) or Dab2 KO (n = 1,749 cells) macrophages separately. We identified 6 clusters of macrophages in WT (all expressing Dab2, Supplementary Fig. S1E) and 7 in Dab2 KO tumors (Fig. 1C and D). On the basis of the expression levels of genes distinctive of each cluster, we classified clusters as low inflammatory (MΦ_WT1, MΦ_WT5, MΦ_KO0, MΦ_KO1, MΦ_ KO5, MΦ_KO6), mixed inflammatory (MΦ_WT2, MΦ_WT3, MΦ_KO3), and proinflammatory (MΦ_WT4, MΦ_KO2, MΦ_KO4) clusters (Fig. 1C and D; Supplementary Data S2 and S3). However, several macrophage-related processes (i.e., antigen-presenting, metabolism, heat shock response, protumoral, and others) were identified and annotated in Supplementary Data S3. Two clusters were shared by WT and KO tumors (WT2-KO3 mixed-inflammatory and WT4-KO4 IFN signature), whereas others designated only one condition, such as the antigen-presenting cluster in KO mice (MΦ_KO0) and the protumoral MΦ_WT5 cluster characterized by a Ccl7, Ccl8, and Mrc1 gene signature (Fig. 1C and D).

To explore relationships between clusters, we calculated theoretical trajectories for WT and Dab2 KO macrophages based on a minimal spanning tree on the clusters. Three prospective trajectories were identified for WT macrophages, each starting from inflammatory low–mixed clusters (MΦ_WT1, MΦ_WT2, MΦ_WT3) and leading to two possibly inflammatory terminal branches, MΦ_WT0 (inflammatory inactive) and MΦ_WT3 (protumoral signature), as well as to one characterized by interferon signature (MΦ_WT4; Supplementary Fig. S1F; Supplementary Data S3). On the contrary, Dab2 KO trajectory presented a common path starting with cells with a low/anti-inflammatory profile (MΦ_KO6 and MΦ_KO5) and reaching either strong interferon response profile (MΦ_ KO4) or highly inflammatory macrophage terminal branches (MΦ_KO2; Supplementary Fig. S1F; Supplementary Data S3). Collectively, these data support the existence of unique functional states for WT and Dab2 KO macrophages.

Myeloid Cells Expressing DAB2 Support the Metastatic Process by a Mechanism Independent from the Adaptive Immune Response

To assess the contribution of DAB2-expressing myeloid cells in tumor progression and metastatic spread, we orthotopically injected the MN-MCA1 fibrosarcoma cell line in WT and Dab2 KO mice. Dab2 KO mice showed a significant reduction in the number of lung metastases when compared with WT mice (Fig. 2A and B). On the contrary, there was no significant alteration in the primary tumor growth (Fig. 2C). Metastasis reduction in Dab2 KO mice challenged with MN-MCA1 tumor cells was confirmed in the Dab2 flox/flox, Ly6c Cre e mouse strain, which targets gene deletion in monocytes/macrophages and neutrophils (24), further consolidating the role of DAB2+ myeloid cells in metastatic cascade (Fig. 2D–F). We then tested the outcome of Dab2 deficiency in breast cancer models metastasizing to the lung. WT mice, orthotopically injected with syngeneic E0771 cells, presented lung metastatic foci compared with an almost complete absence of lesions in Dab2 KO mice (Fig. 2G and H), with a slight alteration in primary tumor growth (Fig. 2I). Similarly, in PyMT-Dab2 KO, we found a reduction in metastasis number, area, and burden compared with their control littermates (PyMT-WT; Fig. 2J and K; Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B), whereas primary tumor growth and tumor onset were unaltered (Fig. 2J; Supplementary Fig. S2C). Dab2 deficiency did not induce any obvious alteration either in the immune-suppressive activity of myeloid cells as well as in the recruitment of immune cell subpopulations to the tumor mass (Supplementary Fig. S2D and S2E). Moreover, we better characterized T and natural killer (NK) cells in MN-MCA1 tumor-bearing mice. We did not detect any difference in the percentage of CD4 T, CD8 T, and NK cells infiltrating the primary tumors of WT and Dab2 KO mice (Supplementary Fig. S2F), as well as in IFNγ and granzyme (GRZ) B expression in tumor-infiltrating CD8 T and NK cells after a 4-hour stimulation with PMA and ionomycin (Supplementary Fig. S2G). Actually, we observed a slightly higher presence of CD4[IFNγ]+ cells in Dab2 KO mice compared with WT mice (Supplementary Fig. S2G, left). Collectively, our results argue that tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells expressing DAB2 are responsible for tumor cell invasiveness by a mechanism independent of the immune
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suppression of adaptive antitumor immune response against the primary tumor.

**DAB2 Is Mainly Expressed by TAMs Localized along the Invasive Tumor Border**

Our scRNA-seq experiment suggested that Dab2 expression was enriched in several macrophage populations. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of DAB2 protein among different tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells using a highly specific anti-DAB2 antibody. As expected, DAB2 isoforms were detected in TAMs isolated from MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing mice, to a much lower extent in monocytes and completely absent in granulocytes (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S3A, top, and S3B). Moreover, DAB2 was expressed in TAMs sorted from PyMT primary tumors, but not in resident mammary tissue macrophages (MTM; Fig. 3B; Supplementary Fig. S3A, bottom). Within both MN-MCA1 and PyMT-WT tumors, DAB2+ TAMs were mainly localized in perilesional areas at the invasive front line between the tumor and the surrounding healthy tissue, with fewer numbers in the tumor core as well as in both healthy muscle and normal breast (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S3C and S3D). The differentiation and proliferation of monocytes and macrophages is mainly controlled by CSF1 and CSF2 cytokines (25). Because both transplantable tumor cell lines secreted CSF1 (Fig. 3D), we investigated the effects of this cytokine on Dab2 gene expression. Bone marrow–derived CD11b+ cells isolated from either tumor-bearing or tumor-free mice upregulated Dab2 mRNA when...
Figure 2. Dob2 deficiency in myeloid cells affects the metastatic process. A, Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained microscopy images of the lung metastasis in either WT or Tie2-Cre Dob2 KO mice orthotopically injected with MN-MCA1 fibrosarcoma cells. Scale bar, 800 μm. B, Quantification of lung metastases number. C, Primary tumor growth reported as tumor weight (g) and measured 24 days after tumor challenge. WT (n = 20) or Tie2-Cre Dob2 KO (n = 17), pooled from three independent experiments. D, Representative H&E-stained microscopy images of the lung metastases in either LySM-Cre Dob2 KO mice or their littermates, orthotopically injected with MN-MCA1 fibrosarcoma cells. Scale bar, 800 μm. E, Quantification of lung metastasis number. F, Primary tumor growth reported as tumor weight (g) and measured 24 days after tumor challenge. WT (n = 11) or Dob2 KO (n = 9), pooled from two independent experiments. G, Representative H&E-stained microscopy images of the lung metastases in either WT or Tie2-Cre Dob2 KO mice orthotopically injected with E0771 breast cancer cells. Scale bar, 800 μm. H and I, Number of lung metastases (H) and primary tumor growth over time (I) are reported. WT (n = 22) and Dob2 KO mice (n = 18), pooled from three independent experiments. J, Representative H&E-stained microscopy images of the lung metastases in either WT or Tie2-Cre Dob2 KO PyMT transgenic mice. Scale bar, 800 μm. K, Quantification of lung metastasis numbers by H&E staining of PyMT-WT (n = 13) or PyMT-Dob2 KO (n = 12) mice. L, Tumor growth reported as tumor volume (mm³) evaluated over time. Data are presented as mean ± SE. *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ***, P ≤ 0.001 by Mann–Whitney test (B, C, E, F, H, K) and two-way repeated measures ANOVA (I, L).
exposed to either CSF1 or E0771 tumor cell supernatant but not in direct coculture with the tumor cells (Fig. 3E). The amounts of Dab2 mRNAs were significantly higher when CD11b+ cells were isolated from tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 3E). Accordingly, p96 and p67 DAB2 isoforms were increased during the CSF1-driven in vitro macrophage differentiation from BM precursors (Fig. 3F). Dab2 genetic ablation in CD11b+ cells did not alter macrophage differentiation and expansion (Supplementary Fig. 3E), indicating that Dab2 is not overtly involved in CSF1-dependent signal transduction. Moreover, Dab2 transcription was also induced by CSF1 in mature macrophages isolated from MN-MCA1 tumors (Fig. 3G).

Because in vitro cultures generate adherent macrophages, we addressed the interplay between cytokines and mechanical cues during in vitro differentiation by treating BM precursors under adherent or suspension culture conditions. Dab2 mRNA upregulation induced by CSF1 and tumor-derived soluble factors was impaired when cells were not anchored to a solid, stiff surface (i.e., plastic), indicating that chemical and mechanical stimuli must be integrated for a full induction of Dab2 gene in macrophages (Fig. 3H).

Integrin Recycling and ECM Remodeling Mediated by DAB2 Macrophages Promote Tumor Cell Invasion

Considering that DAB2+ macrophages were localized at the tumor-invasive front, we investigated their direct participation in tumor cell spreading. E0771 breast cancer cells cocultured with WT TAMs displayed an increased invasive ability within the surrounding matrix when compared with either E0771 cells alone or cocultured with Dab2-deficient TAMs (Fig. 4A).

In cancer cells, DAB2 can regulate the internalization and recycling of different membrane proteins, including integrins (26), which mediate cell–ECM component interactions. To verify whether DAB2 could be involved in integrin recycling in macrophages, we evaluated the surface expression of three integrin heterodimers, αβ1, α5β1, and αvβ6, which bind collagen, fibronectin, and laminin, respectively. We found that the lack of DAB2 in bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMDM) influenced α5, α6, β1, but not α1 integrin turnover, leading to their accumulation on the plasma membrane without affecting the levels of total protein and mRNA (Fig. 4B and C; Supplementary Fig. S4A). Because integrins are key regulators of diverse cell movement types (27), we examined the ability of DAB2+ macrophages to migrate in response to either soluble (chemotactic) or matrix-bound (haptotactic) cues. WT or Dab2 KO BMDMs exhibited a comparable directional movement toward chemokine gradients (Supplementary Fig. S4B), but were defective in moving through the 3-D Matrigel substrate when lacking Dab2 (Supplementary Fig. S4C), consistent with a defect in haptotaxis, but not chemotaxis. The altered integrin surface distribution in Dab2 KO BMDMs also impaired their ability to internalize ECM fragments (Fig. 4D), a process occurring during matrix invasion.
remodeling (28). Accordingly, the ability of E0771 cells to invade the previously macrophage-remodeled Matrigel was compromised (Supplementary Fig. S4D), highlighting that tumor cell invasion relies on matrix reorganization through a DAB2-dependent step in macrophages. Furthermore, a second harmonic generation (SHG) analysis of primary explants of MN-MCA1 tumors confirmed that the ECM presented an organized and dense structure in tumors grown in Dab2 KO mice compared with WT controls (Fig. 4E and F). Therefore, we conclude that Dab2 KO macrophages have an impaired endocytosis of ECM proteins due to an altered integrin turnover, which affects the macrophage tissue-remodeling properties.

**DAB2**: Macrophages Favor Tumor Cell Invasion through Integrin-ECM Interaction

To dissect further the role of DAB2 in integrin-mediated remodeling of ECM, we generated different CRISPR/Cas9 clones of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line by deleting either

---

**Figure 4.** DAB2 regulates tissue invasion and ECM remodeling by macrophages. A, Inverted invasion assay of E0771 tumor cells, either alone or co-cultivated with TAMs isolated from WT or Dab2 KO tumor-bearing mice. The area of invasion and the mean invasive distance of E0771 cells were evaluated by confocal microscopy (n = 3 independent experiments). B, Surface expression of integrins β1, α5, and α6 evaluated by flow cytometry on either WT or Dab2 KO BMDMs (left). Actin was used as loading control. Densitometric quantification of Western blot bands reported as fold change over WT samples (right). C, Expression of integrins β1, α5, and α6 evaluated by Western blot analysis and densitometry on either WT or Dab2 KO BMDMs (left). Actin was used as loading control. Densitometric quantification of Western blot bands reported as fold change over WT samples (right). D, Uptake of FITC-labelled ECM proteins by BMDMs is reported as percentage of FITC+ cells (%). E, Representative SHG images of collagen fibrils organization in MN-MCA1 WT and Dab2 KO tumors. Scale bar, 50 μm. F, Maximum projection intensity through 0–80 μm z-stack of SHG signal intensity and peak intensity within MN-MCA1 (WT n = 9 and Dab2 KO n = 8) tumors. Data are presented as mean ± SD. *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; and ***, P ≤ 0.001 by one-way ANOVA (A) and Student t test (B, D, F).
DAB2-Expressing TAMs Promote Cancer Cell Invasion

Tumor cell invasion was reduced only when expressing DAB2 (Supplementary Fig. S5C). Analogous to TAMs, RAW 264.7 cells support E0771 cell invasion (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B; Supplementary Table S1). Dab2 or the genes encoding the integrins α6 and β1 were absent, but was unaffected by integrin α6 modulation (Fig. 5A–C). Accordingly, RAW 264.7 clones with the genes encoding the β1 and α5 subunits deleted, but not the gene encoding α6, displayed a reduced ability to remodel the matrix, indicating that α5β1 but not α6β1 integrin dimers are required for macrophage-assisted ECM remodeling (Supplementary Fig. S5D). DAB2 expression was similar in all the integrin KO clones (Supplementary Fig. S5E), implying that the outside-in integrin signaling is not a direct regulator of DAB2 expression, at least for the analyzed integrins.

Changes in matrix composition and structure enable integrin-activated TAMs to transmit biochemical and mechanical signals to the interior of cells and fine-tune cell migration and invasion (29). Interestingly, RAW 264.7 WT controls, but not Dab2-deleted clones, displayed an increased invasive ability in the

**Figure 5.** DAB2+ macrophages favor tumor cell invasion by integrin and ECM interaction. A–C, Inverted in vitro invasion assays of RAW 264.7 CTRL or Itgb1 (A), Itga5 (B), and Itga6 KO (C) single-cell–derived clones cocultured with E0771 tumor cells in a Matrigel layer. The area of invasion and the mean invasive distance for E0771 were quantified by confocal microscopy (n = 2 independent experiments). D, Transwell invasion assay of RAW 264.7 CTRL and Dab2 KO single-cell–derived clones using a synthetic matrix (Puramatrix) supplemented with fibronectin (1 μg/mL), laminin (1 μg/mL), collagen I (1 μg/mL), collagen IV (10 μg/mL), or vitronectin (1 μg/mL). Cell invasion was evaluated measuring the absorbance at 595 nm of crystal violet stained cells (n = 2 independent experiments). E and F, Western blot analysis for YAP expression on FACS-sorted TAMs from E0771 and MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing WT, WT T cells B cells Mono PMN DC TAM

Dab2 or the genes encoding the integrins β1, α5, and α6 (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B; Supplementary Table S1). Analogous to TAMs, RAW 264.7 cells support E0771 cell invasion when expressing DAB2 (Supplementary Fig. S5C). Tumor cell invasion was reduced only when β1 and α5 were absent, but was unaffected by integrin α6 modulation (Fig. 5A–C). Accordingly, RAW 264.7 clones with the genes encoding the β1 and α5 subunits deleted, but not the gene encoding α6, displayed a reduced ability to remodel the matrix, indicating that α5β1 but not α6β1 integrin dimers are required for macrophage-assisted ECM remodeling (Supplementary Fig. S5D). DAB2 expression was similar in all the integrin KO clones (Supplementary Fig. S5E), implying that the outside-in integrin signaling is not a direct regulator of DAB2 expression, at least for the analyzed integrins.

Changes in matrix composition and structure enable integrins to transmit biochemical and mechanical signals to the interior of cells and fine-tune cell migration and invasion (29). Interestingly, RAW 264.7 WT controls, but not Dab2-deleted clones, displayed an increased invasive ability in the
presence of the ECM proteins that are known to interact with DAB2-regulated integrins (i.e., fibronectin, laminin, and collagen I and IV), but were unaltered when exposed to vitronectin, which binds αvβ3 integrin, a DAB2-independent dimer (Fig. 5D and data not shown). Moreover, the invasive ability of RAW 264.7 WT changed according to the ECM protein concentration, in line with studies on tissue-migrating muscle cells (30), but remained unmodified in Dab2-deleted clones (Supplementary Fig. S5F).

In response to mechanical inputs due to the high ECM stiffness, the mechanotransduction-related cotranscription factors YAP and TAZ regulate cell proliferation, survival and motility of cancer cells (31, 32), and activation of cancer-associated fibroblasts (33). Using a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to correlate Dab2 expression in macrophages with known molecular pathways, we found a significant relationship between DAB2- and YAP-related signature (31), in addition to the expected correlation with CSF1 signaling (Supplementary Fig. S5G). YAP was detectable in Dab2-expressing TAMs isolated from E0771, MN-MCA1, and PyMT tumors but also in MTMs that do not express Dab2, demonstrating that YAP expression is independent of DAB2 (Fig. 5E and F). Moreover, almost all the F4/80+/DAB2+ TAMs in the stromal area at the border of the tumor mass were also YAP+ (Fig. 5G; 95.7±2.3% of the total F4/80+/DAB2+ cells). To investigate whether Dab2 expression was regulated by mechanotransduction, we generated (Yap–Taz)−/−/Tie2-Cre+ (Yap–Taz KO) mice. DAB2 expression was negligible in TAMs sorted from these mice, suggesting that Dab2 transcriptional regulation is controlled by the YAP–TAZ complex in vivo (Fig. 5H). Yap–Taz KO mice challenged with MN-MCA1 cells phenocopied the Yap–Taz KO phenotype (increased GRZB and CD107a expression along with higher expression levels of activatory receptors) that was not observed in T lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig. S6 F-I); this landscape was not mirrored at the primary tumor, suggesting that different immune contexts are established at the two sites (Supplementary Fig. S2G and data not shown).

Indeed, in the absence of Dab2 checkpoint immunotherapy with anti–PD-1 in MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing mice did not alter primary tumor growth but successfully reduced lung metastases (Fig. 6F and G). These data suggest that DAB2 is not only required for the first steps of metastatic cascade at the primary tumors, but could exert a different role in promoting cancer cell growth and invasion at the metastatic site. Moreover, checkpoint inhibitors synergize with DAB2 absence in controlling metastatic disease.

**DAB2 Is Expressed in Metastatic Lung and Supports Lung Colonization**

So far, our results indicated that DAB2+ macrophages are essential for matrix remodeling and this process licenses tumor cell invasiveness. To explore whether Dab2 expression in the hematopoietic compartment affected myeloid cell ability to reach the metastatic site, we analyzed the myeloid infiltrate in the lungs of tumor-bearing WT and Dab2 KO mice. We found similar percentages of myeloid subpopulations (Supplementary Fig. S6A) Moreover, DAB2-expressing macrophages in MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing mice were localized inside the metastatic foci, with a peculiar distribution toward the borders (Supplementary Fig. S6B and S6C, left), whereas few DAB2-expressing macrophages were present in healthy areas of lungs (Supplementary Fig. S6C, right).

Notably, E0771 tumor cells, intravenously injected in either WT or Dab2 KO mice, gave rise to lung metastases, but their number, mean area, and burden were significantly higher in WT mice compared with Dab2 KO mice (Fig. 6A–C), suggesting DAB2 intervention also occurs in distal steps of metastasis development. Inflammatory monocytes can assist the extravasation of tumor cells from the blood to the lung (6). Because DAB2 was detectable at low levels in tumor-infiltrating macrophages (Fig. 3A), we evaluated their possible aid in endothelial transmigration of cancer cells. E0771 tumor cells extravasate in a similar manner through an endothelial layer in the presence of Ly6C+ monocytoids isolated from either WT or Dab2 KO–tumor-bearing mice, ruling out this step in DAB2-dependent metastatic progression (Supplementary Fig. S6D). Moreover, mCherry/Luc E0771 tumor cells, intravenously injected in either WT or Dab2 KO mice, seeded the lung in similar numbers within the first days of tumor challenge (Fig. 6D). Metastases started to be detectable, by imaging, 9 days after tumor injection in WT mice, whereas no significant luminescence was detectable in Dab2 KO mice throughout the observation time. After 28 days, when WT mice had to be euthanized, cancer cells increased from about 10⁴ to 10⁶ per lung, whereas they were barely detectable in Dab2 KO mice (Fig. 6D and E), suggesting that Dab2 absence could favor tumor cell clearance within the metastatic lung.

Interestingly, a different CD3+ T-cell frequency was observed at the metastatic site, mainly dependent on a reduced percentage of CD4+ T cells in Dab2 KO mice; CD8+ T- and NK-cell frequencies were unaffected (Supplementary Fig. S6E). Nevertheless, NK cells in Dab2 KO mice displayed an activated phenotype (increased GRZB and CD107a expression along with higher expression levels of activatory receptors) that was not observed in T lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig. S6 F-I); this landscape was not mirrored at the primary tumor, suggesting that different immune contexts are established at the two sites (Supplementary Fig. S2G and data not shown).

**DAB2+ Macrophage Presence Correlates with Worse Prognosis in Patients with Cancer**

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, with variability in stromal composition and TAM infiltration according to histology [invasive ductal and lobular carcinoma (IDC and ILC, respectively)] and molecular subtypes (luminal, HER2-enriched, and triple-negative; refs. 2, 34, 35). We assessed the potential prognostic role of DAB2 expression in a cohort of 32 patients with pure, early-stage ILC tumors who underwent surgery. The number of DAB2+ cells with macrophage morphology was evaluated in both peritumoral and intratumoral areas (Supplementary Fig. S7A). High DAB2 levels were associated with significantly worse 10-year disease-free survival (DFS) for both peritumoral and intratumoral areas (Fig. 7A). High levels of DAB2 also associated with the presence of lymph node metastases and high tumor cell proliferation index (Ki-67) either in peritumoral and intratumoral areas (36) and with vascular...
invasion in intratumoral area (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Fig. S7B and S7C). Thus, DAB2+ macrophages at the tumor site represent a potential prognostic marker for tumor progression and metastasis formation in patients with primary resected ILC. We then explored the distribution of DAB2+ macrophages in another series of luminal ILC cases as defined by current guidelines (37). We detected a significantly increased number of DAB2+ macrophages, both peritumoral and intratumoral, in the luminal B patients compared with luminal A (Supplementary Table S3; Fig. 7B). Moreover, focusing only on the luminal patients in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) dataset, DAB2 mRNA expression had a similar negative correlation with overall survival also in luminal B IDCs (Supplementary Fig. S7D). We further evaluated the clinical relevance of a two-gene signature of DAB2 in association with some genes highlighted by the scRNA-seq of mouse samples (Fig. 1B and C) and described as protumoral (2, 21, 38). Few ILC luminal B cases are present in TCGA cohort; therefore, we focused only on

Figure 6. DAB2 expression in MAMs regulates lung colonization. A–C, Quantification of lung micrometastasis number (A), mean area (B), and burden (C) at day 20 following E0771 intravenous injection in either WT (n = 9) or Dab2 KO (n = 12) mice. D, Absolute numbers of mCherry+ E0771 cells per lung in WT and Dab2 KO mice 1, 2, 3, or 28 days after tumor challenge (n = 3 mice/group). E, Metastasis formation in WT and Dab2 KO mice following mCherry/Luc E0771-cell intravenous injection, evaluated by bioluminescence imaging. F and G, Primary tumor growth (F) and lung metastases evaluation (G) on MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing WT (ISO n = 25, Anti–PD-1 n = 24) or Dab2 KO (ISO n = 18, anti–PD-1 n = 19) mice after immunotherapy with anti–PD-1 or its isotopic control. A–D, F, and G. Data are presented as mean ± SD. *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ***, P ≤ 0.001 by Student t test.
patients with IDC. As shown in Fig. 7C–E, high MMP12, CCL7, or CCL8 in association with high DAB2 expression strongly correlated with a poor clinical outcome, whereas single genes were not able to discriminate patients’ survival (MMP12 \( P = 0.76 \), CCL7 \( P = 0.45 \), CCL8 \( P = 0.45 \)). These data highlight the presence of shared expression modules across mouse and human TMEs. Finally, we assessed the prognostic value of DAB2 expression in other cancers. A significant inverse correlation in survival was observed between high DAB2 mRNA expression and poor prognosis in liver and gastric cancer from TCGA dataset (Supplementary Fig. S7E). Therefore, we extended our analyses to a series of tumor samples from 59 patients affected by early-stage gastric cancer who had undergone R0 resection (Supplementary Table S4). Also, in this patient group, DAB2+ macrophages presented a heterogeneous expression among different cases. Patients with high DAB2 expression showed a reduction in 3-year cancer-specific survival (CSS) and overall survival (OS), either borderline or significant, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S7F and S7G).

**Discussion**

Among tumor-infiltrating immune cells critical for tumor progression and metastatic spreading (39), TAMs can support tumor cell invasion and intravasation at the primary site as well as extravasation, seeding, and tumor cell growth at the metastatic niche (6, 40–42). Macrophages represent a heterogeneous cell population that consists in a continuum of different states that cannot be completely recapitulated in the bipolar M1–M2 classification. The scRNA-seq of tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells unveiled that Dab2 deficiency can reprogram the tumor microenvironment toward a more proinflammatory status in accordance with previous studies (13, 43). However, we could not uncover any difference in M1–M2 polarization among WT and KO mice in either TAMs isolated from tumor mass or BMDMs exposed in vitro to polarizing signals (data not shown), which prompted us to contemplate alternative explanations for the prometastatic activity of DAB2. Indeed, we defined a novel Dab2-dependent, macrophage-intrinsic pathway that controls cancer dissemination. Dab2 genetic ablation in macrophages significantly affected lung metastasis formation in sarcoma and breast cancer models without any overt variation in primary tumor growth or dysregulation of antitumor immunity. DAB2 is thus a TAM marker associated with macrophage prometastatic activity. Dab2 upregulation was dependent on CSF1, a major determinant of mononuclear phagocyte biology (25), which has already been linked to increased tumor progression and metastases in PyMT mice (8). Notably, CSF1-induced Dab2 upregulation in BM precursors was
optimal when cells were maintained under adherence to the plastic surface as compared with stimulation in cell suspension. CSF1 is thus necessary but not sufficient for full gene induction. Another significant and in part unexpected finding is that DAB2 deficiency ablates haptotaxis along tissue matrix, but not chemotaxis. The mechanistic foundation of this dichotomy relies on the physical interaction between cells and ECM proteins as prerequisite for ECM remodeling and local migration. DAB2+ macrophages invade 3-D matrices with movements dependent on ECM protein concentration and, likely, matrix stiffness. Dab2-deficient cells presented a reduced integrin recycling and a consequent higher accumulation of integrins α5, α6, and β1 on their surface compared with WT cells. By genetic deletion of single integrin chains, we proved the prominent intervention of surface compared with WT cells. By genetic deletion of single macrophage markers (i.e., CD68, CD169) and application to with the simultaneous detection of DAB2 and other known marker for disease stratification will require further validation that DAB2 protein expression in macrophages might be a bio-

gastric and hepatocellular carcinoma. The proof of concept in all patients with breast cancer, as instead highlighted for subpopulations of breast cancer cells (defined as “trailblazer”) more aggressive variants might render the tumor cells less dependent on external aids. Indeed, epigenetically distinct tumors (34). We speculate that less aggressive tumors rely on cancer-extrinsic mechanisms (DAB2+ macrophages) to invade nearby tissues, whereas the epigenetic rewiring occurring in more aggressive variants might render the tumor cells less dependent on external aids. Indeed, epigenetically distinct subpopulations of breast cancer cells (defined as “trailblazer”) able to guide migration of passenger cancer cells were characterized by a seven-gene signature including DAB2, which correlated with poor patient outcome in the triple-negative breast cancer subtype (46). This might also explain why the DAB2 gene is predictive of overall patient survival in luminal B immunophenotype (both ILC and IDC histotypes), but not in all patients with breast cancer, as instead highlighted for gastric and hepatocellular carcinoma. The proof of concept that DAB2 protein expression in macrophages might be a biomarker for disease stratification will require further validation with the simultaneous detection of DAB2 and other known macrophage markers (i.e., CD68, CD169) and application to different molecular subtypes of breast cancer (luminal, triple negative, and HER2+), as well as in tumors with different histologies. The identification of TAM markers is essential for a more refined stratification of patients with breast cancer, as recently reported for SIGLEC1 and CCL8 expression (2). Notably, the predictive role of DAB2 as a prognostic marker for poor survival in breast cancer is amplified by the association with CCL7, a metastasis-promoting cytokine (38), CCL8, which was recently pointed out as a marker discriminating TAMs in invasive breast cancer tissue specimens (2), and MMP12, a macrophage metalloelastase involved in tissue remodeling and metastasis promotion (21). In the last few years, several macrophage-targeting drugs have been developed for depletion of (i.e., CCR2 or CSF1R antagonists), reprogramming of (i.e., PI3Kγ and HDAC inhibitors), or interference with (i.e., arginase 1) effector pathways in macrophages, and clinical trials are ongoing (9). However, all these approaches present drawbacks due to nonspecific side effects on normal macrophages. More importantly, the current cancer drug discovery route is biased to ignore the impact of new molecules and treatments for metastasis interference. Results from clinical trials in past years have not invigorated the development of antimetastatic drugs by the pharmaceutical industry (47). We have shown here that DAB2 might offer a fully new avenue for anti-

metastatic therapy, wholly disjoined from the primary tumor growth. Indeed, DAB2-targeted treatments might interfere with the metastatic process at different steps, operating after primary tumor resection, to cure hidden or dormant metastases also in association with immunotherapy. To fully exploit this therapeutic combination, however, a deeper understanding of the mechanisms responsible for the efficacy of anti-

PD-1 therapy in controlling hematogenous metastases in Dab2-deficient mice, which include a characterization of NK-cell involvement, will be necessary.

METHODS

Animal Studies

Eight-week-old C57BL/6 WT mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories Inc. Tie2-Cre (Tek-Cre) and Dab2lox/lox mice were originally provided to P.J. Murray (St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN) from J.A. Cooper (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA) and were cross-bred to generate Dab2 KO mice. LySM-Cre mice were a gift from P. Scapini (University of Verona, Verona, Italy). MMTV-PyMT mice on the C57BL/6 background were kindly provided by M.P. Colombo (Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy). MMTV-PyMT, Dab2lox/lox, Tie2-Cre+ mice were generated in house by crossing three strains and maintained by intercross. Yaplox/lox/Tazlox/lox mice were kindly provided by S. Piccolo (University of Padua, Padua, Italy) and were cross-bred with Tie2-Cre mice to generate Yap−/Taz-KO mice. OT-1 TCR-transgenic mice (C57BL/6-Tg[Terc2]/100Mjy/) and CD45.1+ congenic mice (B6.SJL-PtcrapcPebb/BoyJ) were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility of the University of Verona. Animal experiments were performed according to national (protocol number 12722 approved by the Ministerial Decree Number 14/2012-B of January 18, 2012 and protocol number BR15/08 approved by the Ministerial Decree Number 925/2015-PR of August 28, 2015) and European laws and regulations. All animal experiments were approved by Verona University Ethical Committee and conducted according to the guidelines of Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association (FELASA). All animal experiments were in accordance with the Amsterdam Protocol on animal protection and welfare: mice were monitored daily and euthanized when displaying excessive discomfort.
Cell Cultures

Murine E0771 breast cancer cells derived from C57BL/6 mice (CH3 BioSystems, #9A001) were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Euroclone). Murine MN-MCA1 fibrosarcoma cell line (gift from A. Sica, Istituto Humanitas, Milan, Italy), RAW264.7 macrophage cell line (ATCC TIB-71), and SVEC4-10 endothelial cell line (gift from A. Viola, Istituto di Ricerca Pediatrica, Padua, Italy; originally obtained from ATCC CRL-2181) were cultured in DMEM (Euroclone). All media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Superior, Merck), 20 μM L-β-Mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM L-HEPES, 150 U/mL streptomycin, 200 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (all from Euroclone). Cell lines were thawed from primary stocks maintained under liquid nitrogen and cultured for a maximum of 1 month (E0771, RAW264.7, and SVEC4-10) or for few passages (MN-MCA1), during which time all experiments were performed. The cultures were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂-humidified atmosphere and regularly tested for Mycoplasma using MycoAlert LookOut Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit (Sigma-Aldrich).

Patients with Breast Cancer

To explore the prognosis (in terms of DFS) according to DAB2 expression, tumor samples with clinical annotations from a series of 32 patients affected by pure invasive lobular carcinoma (Supplementary Table S2) and surgically treated at the University Hospital of Verona, were collected. In addition, with the aim to evaluate the distribution of DAB2 according to tumor cell proliferation (by the IHC assessment of Ki-67 antigen), a series of luminal ILC was collected (n = 30; Supplementary Table S3). A database for individual data and information was appropriately fulfilled. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Prot. CESC n° 24163, May 20, 2014).

Patients with Gastric Cancer

The potential prognostic role of DAB2 in gastric cancer was evaluated in terms of CSS and OS. Data and samples from 59 patients affected by gastric cancer who underwent surgery at the University Hospital of Verona (Verona, Italy) were collected (Supplementary Table S4). Tumor samples were available in tissue microarrays with tumor cores of each considered case and obtained from the inner part of the tumors. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Prot. CESC n° 19147 November 28, 2011).

Spontaneous and Experimental Metastases Assays

For spontaneous metastasis formation, breast cancer (E0771) and fibrosarcoma (MN-MCA1) cell lines were orthotopically injected into the mammary fat pad (5 x 10⁶ cells/mouse) and into the left quadriceps of mice (10⁶ cells/mouse) respectively. Tumor growth was monitored every 2 days using a digital caliper. The greatest longitudinal diameter (length) and the greatest transverse diameter (width) were determined and tumor volume was calculated by the modified ellipsoidal formula: tumor volume = 1/2 (length x width²). In the case of E0771-injected mice, tumors were resected at 800 mm³ of volume, to favor distal dissection. For experimental metastasis formation, eight-week-old WT or Dab2 KO females were injected with 4 x 10⁶ E0771 cells into the tail vein. Mice were euthanized 20 days after tumor cell injection and lungs were analyzed for metastasis formation. 10° E0771-mCherry/Luc cells were intravenously injected in WT or Dab2 KO mice to follow metastasis seeding and growth at the metastatic site using in vivo bioluminescence imaging every week (IVIS Spectrum optical imaging). For spontaneous tumorigenesis and metastasis studies, MMTV-PyMT female mice carrying the specific oncogenes were examined weekly for mammary tumors to define tumor incidence. Thirteen weeks after the appearance of the first tumor, mice were euthanized and lungs were analyzed for metastasis formation.

Anti–PD-1 Immunotherapy

The effect of anti–PD-1 immunotherapy was investigated in C57BL/6j mice, WT or Dab2 KO, after an orthotopic challenge with 10⁹ MN-MCA1 cells. Tumor-bearing mice with established tumor masses were treated using 4 iterative intraperitoneal administrations of anti–PD-1 mAb (clone RMP1-14; InVivoAb) or isotype Ab (clone 2A3) every 2 days. The complete treatment consists of 1 mg of Ab. MN-MCA1-bearing mice were euthanized 25 days after tumor challenge for metastases evaluation.

CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Editing

For each DNA target coding sequence (Dab2, Itgα1, Itgα5, Itgα6), three sgRNAs with the highest scores on target and lowest number of off-targets were chosen using the MIT CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) and cloned in pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) vector (Addgene), which also encoded a reporter gene (GFP) and Cas9 protein. Control digestion and Sanger sequencing were performed to evaluate the success of cloning. Plasmid transfection in RAW264.7 cells was performed with K2 reagent (Biontex). After two days, cells were collected, enriched for GFP using a FACs Aria II Flow Cytometer Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences), and cultured as single cell–derived clones. Clones were screened by protein (flow cytometry and Western blot analyses) and genetic (Sanger sequencing) analysis.

scRNA-seq

Tumors pooled from 3 PyMT-WT and 3 PyMT-Dab2 KO mice were digested as reported above and myeloid cells were FACs-sorted as CD45⁺ lineage (CD3, CD19, NK1.1) negative cells. Sorted cell suspension (10,000 cells, about 650 cells/µL) was loaded on a GemCode Single Cell Instrument (10x Genomics Chromium System) to generate single-cell GEMs (Gel bead-in-EMulsion). scRNA-seq libraries were prepared using GemCode Single Cell 3′ Gel Bead and Library Kit v2 (10x Genomics). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina NexSeq500 with 26 bases for Read1 and 98 bases for Read2. Demultiplexing and alignment to the Mouse mm10 genome were done with 10x Cell Ranger v3.0.2. Output for the two samples were then merged using Cell Ranger Aggr, where WT reads were downsampled to account for its slightly higher read count compared with Dab2 KO. The resulting combined gene x cell UMI count dataset was used for downstream analysis utilizing the functions of the Seurat v3 R package (see Supplementary Information). Raw sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE152674.

Invasion Assays

In vitro invasion assays were performed using Matrigel and 8-µm pore transwells (both from Corning Inc.). BMDMs or RAW264.7 cells were resuspended in 2% FBS growth medium and seeded on top of the polymerized Matrigel, whereas 20% FBS growth medium was added in the well as cell chemoattractant. For BMDMs, CSF1 (100 ng/mL) was added both in the underneath well and on top of the Matrigel. For each DNA target coding sequence (Dab2, Itgα1, Itgα5, Itgα6), three sgRNAs with the highest scores on target and lowest number of off-targets were chosen using the MIT CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) and cloned in pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) vector (Addgene), which also encoded a reporter gene (GFP) and Cas9 protein. Control digestion and Sanger sequencing were performed to evaluate the success of cloning. Plasmid transfection in RAW264.7 cells was performed with K2 reagent (Biontex). After two days, cells were collected, enriched for GFP using a FACs Aria II Flow Cytometer Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences), and cultured as single cell–derived clones. Clones were screened by protein (flow cytometry and Western blot analyses) and genetic (Sanger sequencing) analysis.

scRNA-seq

Tumors pooled from 3 PyMT-WT and 3 PyMT-Dab2 KO mice were digested as reported above and myeloid cells were FACs-sorted as CD45⁺ lineage (CD3, CD19, NK1.1) negative cells. Sorted cell suspension (10,000 cells, about 650 cells/µL) was loaded on a GemCode Single Cell Instrument (10x Genomics Chromium System) to generate single-cell GEMs (Gel bead-in-EMulsion). scRNA-seq libraries were prepared using GemCode Single Cell 3′ Gel Bead and Library Kit v2 (10x Genomics). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina NexSeq500 with 26 bases for Read1 and 98 bases for Read2. Demultiplexing and alignment to the Mouse mm10 genome were done with 10x Cell Ranger v3.0.2. Output for the two samples were then merged using Cell Ranger Aggr, where WT reads were downsampled to account for its slightly higher read count compared with Dab2 KO. The resulting combined gene x cell UMI count dataset was used for downstream analysis utilizing the functions of the Seurat v3 R package (see Supplementary Information). Raw sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE152674.

Invasion Assays

In vitro invasion assays were performed using Matrigel and 8-µm pore transwells (both from Corning Inc.). BMDMs or RAW264.7 cells were resuspended in 2% FBS growth medium and seeded on top of the polymerized Matrigel, whereas 20% FBS growth medium was added in the well as cell chemoattractant. For BMDMs, CSF1 (100 ng/mL) was added both in the underneath well and on top of the Matrigel. For each DNA target coding sequence (Dab2, Itgα1, Itgα5, Itgα6), three sgRNAs with the highest scores on target and lowest number of off-targets were chosen using the MIT CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) and cloned in pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) vector (Addgene), which also encoded a reporter gene (GFP) and Cas9 protein. Control digestion and Sanger sequencing were performed to evaluate the success of cloning. Plasmid transfection in RAW264.7 cells was performed with K2 reagent (Biontex). After two days, cells were collected, enriched for GFP using a FACs Aria II Flow Cytometer Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences), and cultured as single cell–derived clones. Clones were screened by protein (flow cytometry and Western blot analyses) and genetic (Sanger sequencing) analysis.

scRNA-seq

Tumors pooled from 3 PyMT-WT and 3 PyMT-Dab2 KO mice were digested as reported above and myeloid cells were FACs-sorted as CD45⁺ lineage (CD3, CD19, NK1.1) negative cells. Sorted cell suspension (10,000 cells, about 650 cells/µL) was loaded on a GemCode Single Cell Instrument (10x Genomics Chromium System) to generate single-cell GEMs (Gel bead-in-EMulsion). scRNA-seq libraries were prepared using GemCode Single Cell 3′ Gel Bead and Library Kit v2 (10x Genomics). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina NexSeq500 with 26 bases for Read1 and 98 bases for Read2. Demultiplexing and alignment to the Mouse mm10 genome were done with 10x Cell Ranger v3.0.2. Output for the two samples were then merged using Cell Ranger Aggr, where WT reads were downsampled to account for its slightly higher read count compared with Dab2 KO. The resulting combined gene x cell UMI count dataset was used for downstream analysis utilizing the functions of the Seurat v3 R package (see Supplementary Information). Raw sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE152674.
amounts of fibronectin, laminin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), vitronectin, collagen I (Corning Inc.), and collagen IV (BD Biosciences), coated on 8-μm pore transwells. Invading ability was assessed by crystal violet elution after 72 hours.

**Inverted Invasion Assay**

Macrophage ability to remodel the ECM and to guide invasion of cancer cells was tested by in vitro inverted invasion assays. Breast cancer cells (E0771) and TAMs or RAW264.7 cells were labeled with CellVue (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and PKH dyes (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, and mixed with Matrigel (Corning Inc.) on chambered cell culture slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After the polymerization, a layer of Matrigel mixed with 5% Collagen Type I–FITC conjugate was added on the top. Once polymerized, Matrigel was covered with complete medium, creating a chemotactic gradient. After 72 hours, 6 random fields for each condition were imaged at fixed intervals (10 μm) starting at the fluorescent layer and in a direction toward the chemotactic gradient using the z-stack setting of Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope. To evaluate tumor cell invasion ability, distance travelled and invaded areas were quantified using ImageJ software.

**SHG Imaging**

To evaluate possible differences in ECM organization within tumors derived from WT, Dab2 KO, and Yap–Taz KO mice, we took advantage of SHG to image fibrillar, cross-linked collagen in tissues. SHG signal was acquired through an 80-μm z-stack in fixed tumor samples, using a 25× NA 1.05 water-immersion objective (Olympus XLPLN25XWMP2) on a custom-built microscope, described in detail elsewhere (48). A 800-nm laser wavelength/395 nm ± 25 nm emission wavelength was used to collect SHG signal from collagen I fibers. Images were then analyzed for signal intensity of each single z-step (8-μm steps) or maximum projection intensity of z-stack, using ImageJ software.

**In Vitro Dab2 Induction**

CD11b + cells were immunomagnetically sorted from tumor-free and MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing mice and cultured for 24 hours in the presence of serum-free growth media supplemented with CSF1 (100 ng/mL) or E0771 supernatant. Alternatively, cells were grown in coculture with previously irradiated (8,000 rad) E0771 cells. To assess whether CSF1 has a direct effect on Dab2 expression, TAMs isolated from MN-MCA1 tumor–bearing mice were cultured with CSF1 (100 ng/mL) for 24 hours. To understand if Dab2 expression is modulated by adhesion, CD11b + cells were isolated from tumor-free mice and cultured for 6 hours in adhesion on a plastic surface or in suspension. In both conditions, CSF1 or E0771 supernatant were added to the serum-free growth media. Samples were harvested for RNA isolation. Dab2 expression was analyzed by real-time PCR and normalized on unstimulated cells.

**Statistical Analyses**

Student t test (parametric groups) and Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test (nonparametric groups) were used to determine statistical significance of differences between two treatment groups, and ANOVA test was used in the case of multiple comparisons. Growth curves were analyzed with repeated measures two-way ANOVA using the SAS system. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis (log-rank) method. Values were considered significant at P ≤ 0.05. Values are reported as mean ± SE or SD. All analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism (version 8.4.2).

**Statistical Analyses for Patients**

Descriptive statistics was adopted. Follow-up was analyzed and reported according to Schuster and colleagues (49). DAB2 expression data were obtained from the IHC staining on patient biopsies. The ROC analysis was applied to the DAB2 continuous score to dichotomize the obtained values according to DFS for patients with ILC. To correlate DAB2 expression with clinicopathologic data, Pearson χ² test or Fisher exact test were used, depending on sample size. The presence of lymph node metastases, tumor cell proliferation (Ki-67 expression), and vascular invasion (VI) inside the primary breast tumor were considered as characteristics of interest. DFS, CSS, and OS curves were elaborated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and significance was calculated with the log-rank test. P values were considered significant when ≤0.05. The SPSS 18.0, R 2.6.1, and MedCalc 14.2.1 statistical programs were used for all analyses.

For complete experimental details and reagents, please see Supplementary Methods.
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