Impaired Death Receptor Signaling in Leukemia Causes Antigen-Independent Resistance by Inducing CAR T-cell Dysfunction
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ABSTRACT

Primary resistance to CD19-directed chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy (CART19) occurs in 10% to 20% of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL); however, the mechanisms of this resistance remain elusive. Using a genome-wide loss-of-function screen, we identified that impaired death receptor signaling in ALL led to rapid progressive disease despite CART19 treatment. This was mediated by an inherent resistance to T-cell cytotoxicity that permitted antigen persistence and was subsequently magnified by the induction of CAR T-cell functional impairment. These findings were validated using samples from two CAR T-cell clinical trials in ALL, where we found that reduced expression of death receptor genes was associated with worse overall survival and reduced T-cell fitness. Our findings suggest that inherent dysregulation of death receptor signaling in ALL directly leads to CAR T-cell failure by impairing T-cell cytotoxicity and promoting progressive CAR T-cell dysfunction.

SIGNIFICANCE: Resistance to CART19 is a significant barrier to efficacy in the treatment of B-cell malignancies. This work demonstrates that impaired death receptor signaling in tumor cells causes failed CART19 cytotoxicity and drives CART19 dysfunction, identifying a novel mechanism of antigen-independent resistance to CAR therapy.

See related commentary by Green and Neelapu, p. 492.

INTRODUCTION

Engineered cellular immunotherapy is a novel platform in which autologous immune cells are collected from patients, genetically manipulated in vitro to redirect antigen specificity toward tumor-associated antigens, and then reinfused. T cells engineered to express chimeric antigen receptors targeting the B-cell surface protein CD19 (CART19) have generated substantial enthusiasm in the treatment of B-cell leukemias and lymphomas (1–5), and are now emerging as a standard approach for patients with relapsed and refractory disease. The broader efficacy of this therapy, however, is limited in a significant fraction of patients with B-cell malignancies by two forms of therapeutic failure: lack of disease response after cell infusion (primary resistance) and disease relapse after initial remission (acquired resistance). Several biological mechanisms have been identified that lead to acquired resistance by antigen loss (6–8), whereas the mechanisms responsible for primary resistance remain poorly understood.

Clinical experience has shown that up to 1 in 5 patients with CD19+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and approximately half of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma do not achieve remission after CART19 treatment (1–3), indicating that a sizable proportion of CD19+ malignancies are intrinsically resistant to this therapy. Failed antitumor responses are often accompanied by loss of infused CAR T cells, which has led to the prevailing assumption that these therapeutic failures occur due to a poorly characterized inherent T-cell defect (9, 10). Understanding the molecular basis of resistance will not only illuminate the biology underlying this form of CART19 failure, but also identify strategies to overcome these barriers as we further refine cell-based immunotherapies.

To approach the problem of primary resistance from an unbiased perspective, we performed a CRISPR-based genome-wide loss-of-function screen in the Nalm6 ALL cell line under selective pressure from CART19. We identified that impairments in leukemia and lymphoma death receptor signaling lead to primary CART19 resistance, which in turn mediates

RESULTS

Death Receptor Signaling Regulates Primary Resistance to CAR T-cell Immunotherapy

To identify the pathways that enable primary resistance to CART19, we performed an unbiased, genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9-based knockout (KO) screen (11) in the CD19+ human ALL cell line Nalm6 (Fig. 1A). Using the Brunello short guide RNA (sgRNA) library (12), we generated a pool of Nalm6 cells in which each cell had been edited for loss of function of a single gene, and combined these cells with CART19 for 24 hours to model primary resistance. In this short-term screening system, sgRNA sequencing demonstrated significant enrichment of guides targeting proapoptotic death receptor signaling molecules (FADD, BID, CASP8, and TNFRSF10B), and depletion of guides targeting antiapoptotic molecules (CFLAR, TRAF2, and BIRC2; Fig. 1B). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) confirmed a strong association between sequenced guides and apoptosis pathway signaling [Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway hsa04210], with a false discovery rate of $1.44 \times 10^{-8}$ (Fig. 1C). Notably, all sequenced guide targets associated with the apoptosis gene set belonged to the death receptor–driven apoptotic signaling pathway, suggesting that death receptor signaling is a key regulator of primary resistance to CART19 in ALL.

To confirm these observations and elucidate the functional significance of impaired tumor death receptor signaling, we focused on two of the most significantly enriched targets: FAS-associated protein with death domain (FADD), which serves as a membrane-proximal adaptor to all proapoptotic death receptors, and BH3 interacting-domain death agonist (BID), which is activated downstream of FADD and initiates mitochondrial activity that induces apoptosis (13). Nalm6 cells lacking either FADD or BID were generated using CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing. Wild-type (WT) Nalm6 cells were combined with varying percentages of FADDKO or BIDKO Nalm6 cells (80%, 40%, or 20% KO) and then culture with CART19. Cocultures with higher fractions of KO cells were more resistant to death in both short-term (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B) and long-term cultures (Fig. 1D). Long-term cocultures demonstrated a progressive enrichment of KO Nalm6 cells at the expense of WT Nalm6 cells over time (Fig. 1E and F). Notably, disruption of FADD or BID did not protect Nalm6 cells from chemotherapy-mediated killing (Supplementary Fig. S1C), indicating specific resistance to T cell–mediated cytotoxicity.

To validate the significance of death receptor signaling in CAR T-cell function, we combined WT Nalm6 cells with birinapant, a small-molecule antagonist of BIRC2, a suppressor of death receptor–driven apoptosis encoded by one of our most-depleted gRNAs (Fig. 1B). Although birinapant alone had no antileukemic activity, the combination of birinapant with CART19 led to synergistic killing (Fig. 1G), confirming the importance of this pathway in CART19 cytotoxic function. To further substantiate that death receptor signaling is essential for CAR T-cell cytotoxicity, we impaired death receptor ligand activity in T cells, beginning with disruption of the genes encoding FAS ligand (FASLG) or TRAIL in CART19 cells, and combined them with WT Nalm6 cells. Although the degree of functional impairment differed, loss of either FAS ligand or TRAIL significantly reduced CART19 cytotoxic activity (Supplementary Fig. S1D and S1E). To inhibit the activation of tumor necrosis factor receptor–driven apoptotic signaling, we combined CART19 and Nalm6 cocultures with a tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) inhibitory antibody, and found that this also significantly impaired CART19 cytotoxicity (Supplementary Fig. S1F).

We extended this observation in several distinct models, including an additional CAR model (CART22; Fig. 1H; Supplementary Fig. S1G and S1H), two additional CD19+ ALL models (Supplementary Fig. S1I and S1J), and a model of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Fig. 1I; Supplementary Fig. S1K and S1L). We also found that FADD and BID loss led to resistance against CAR T cells engineered with a CD19-targeted CAR bearing the CD28 costulatory domain (Fig. 1J). To evaluate the impact of impaired death receptor signaling on CART19 antitumor activity in vivo, we engrafted NOD/SCID/γc−/− (NSG) mice with WT, FADDKO, or BIDKO Nalm6 cells, and then delivered either control (nonengineered) T cells or a “stress” dose of CART19 (14). Despite the incomplete resistance to CART19-driven killing observed in our in vitro cytotoxicity assays, animals with KO leukemias demonstrated clear resistance to CART19 in vivo, with rapid disease progression after CART19 delivery (Supplementary Fig. S1M and S1N). Remarkably, CART19 treatment led to only a marginal improvement in median survival (4–5 days) in animals with KO leukemias (Fig. 1K and L). Together, these findings confirm that intact cancer cell death receptor signaling is broadly necessary for successful CAR T-cell cytotoxic function and that disruption of a single death receptor signaling gene in lymphoid cancer cells enables resistance to CAR T cells.

Impaired Tumor Death Receptor Signaling Drives Progressive CAR T-cell Dysfunction

T cells can kill target cells by ligation of surface death receptors to initiate the extrinsic apoptotic pathway or by secretion of cytolytic molecules (perforin and granzyme) that activate the mitochondrial-mediated intrinsic apoptotic pathway (13). We were intrigued by the observation that impairment of only extrinsic apoptosis enabled long-term tumor cell survival, suggesting a dominant-negative effect on T cells. To investigate this, we evaluated how loss of BID in Nalm6 cells affected broad CART19 functions. We conducted long-term coculture experiments and again demonstrated that BIDKO Nalm6 cells were resistant to CART19 whereas WT Nalm6 cells were eradicated (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Persistence of BIDKO Nalm6 cells was associated with impaired CART19 expansion (Fig. 2A), reduced perforin and granzyme production (Fig. 2B and C), and lower cytokine secretion over time (Supplementary Fig. S2B–S2D).
Figure 1. Death receptor signaling is a key mediator of resistance to CAR T-cell therapy. A, Schematic of genome-wide KO screen of Brunello library-edited Nalm6 ALL cells and CART19. B, Scatter plot of normalized MAGeCK beta scores representing enriched and depleted sgRNAs after Brunello screen. C, GSEA of the most-enriched and most-depleted sgRNAs identified after screen. D, Survival over time of WT, FADDko, and BIDko Nalm6 cells combined with CART19. E, GFP+ Nalm6 FADDko or FADDko cells were combined with GFP-negative WT Nalm6 cells (25% GFP+ KO with 75% GFP-negative WT cells) and cocultured with either control or CART19 cells. Proportion of GFP+ cells over time is shown. F, GFP+ WT Nalm6 cells were combined with either control or CART19 cells in the presence of birinapant or vehicle and Nalm6 survival was measured over time. H–J, Survival over time of (H) WT, FADDko, and BIDko Nalm6 cells combined with CART22; (I) OCI-Ly10 cells combined with CART19, and (J) Nalm6 cells combined with an alternative CD19-targeted CAR bearing the CD28 costimulatory domain. K and L, Survival of immunodeficient mice engrafted with (K) FADDko or (L) BIDko Nalm6 cells after treatment with control or CART19 cells. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001; ns, not significant.
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**Figures and Legends**

**A**
Fold change in T-cell count over days in coculture for Nalm6 WT, Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}, and Nalm6 CD19\textsuperscript{KO}.

**B**
Perforin levels over hours in coculture for Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**C**
Granzyme B levels over hours in coculture for Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**D**
Initial exposure: CART19 WT and CART19 BID\textsuperscript{KO} are sorted and reexposed to Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**E**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**F**
Concentration of IFN\textgamma, TNF\alpha, and MIP1\alpha over hours in coculture for Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**G**
Fold change in Nalm6 count over hours in coculture for Nalm6 WT and Nalm6 BID\textsuperscript{KO}.

**H**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for short (5 days) initial exposure.

**I**
Concentration of IFN\textgamma, TNF\alpha, and MIP1\alpha over hours in coculture for short (5 days) initial exposure.

**J**
Fold change in Nalm6 count over hours in coculture for short (5 days) initial exposure.

**K**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for long (15 days) initial exposure.

**L**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for long (15 days) initial exposure.

**M**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for short (5 days) initial exposure.

**N**
Fold change in T-cell count over hours in coculture for long (15 days) initial exposure.
We hypothesized that the prolonged exposure to Nalm6 cells that results from resistance to cytotoxicity was responsible for the observed CAR T-cell functional impairment. We investigated the kinetics of T-cell dysfunction by sorting CART19 cells after short (5 days) or long (15 days) exposure to either WT or BIDKO Nalm6 cells, and evaluated their ability to initiate effector functions upon reexposure to WT Nalm6 cells (Fig. 2D). CART19 cells isolated after short initial exposures to either WT or BIDKO Nalm6 cells demonstrated similar expansion, cytokine production, and antitumor cytotoxicity upon reexposure (Fig. 2E–G; Supplementary Fig. S2E–S2H). In contrast, long initial exposures resulted in divergent functional abilities: CART19 cells initially cultured with BIDKO Nalm6 cells expanded poorly, produced less IFNγ, TNFα, and MIP1α, and were unable to kill WT Nalm6 cells upon reexposure (Fig. 2H–J; Supplementary Fig. S2I–S2L). Notably, similar defects were induced when CART19 cells were continuously reexposed to WT Nalm6 cells (Fig. 2K and L; Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3D), suggesting that dysfunction was not a result of impaired tumor death receptor signaling itself, but rather a consequence of the resultant antigen persistence. To further explore the role of persistent antigen exposure in a tumor-agnostic system, we combined WT Nalm6 cells with TRAILKO or PRF1KO CART19 cells. Loss of either molecule significantly impaired cytotoxic function (Supplementary Fig. S4A), consistent with our previous findings that CART T cells can use multiple modalities to kill target cells (Supplementary Fig. S1D–S1E). Failure to eliminate target leukemia in initial cultures significantly impaired CART19 expansion (Supplementary Fig. S4B). CART19 cells were similarly sorted after short-term and long-term cultures (Supplementary Fig. S4C), and we again observed progressive loss of expansion potential over time (Fig. 2M and N). Effector cytokine production was also preserved after short initial cultures, and impaired after prolonged cultures for both TRAILKO and PRF1KO CART19 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4D and S4E). Collectively, these data demonstrate that impaired tumor death receptor signaling leads to the acquisition of CART19 dysfunction as a result of antigen persistence.

Dysfunctional CAR T Cells Demonstrate Molecular Features of Exhaustion

To identify the molecular programs responsible for CAR-driven T-cell dysfunction, we performed phenotypic, transcriptomic, and epigenomic interrogation of CART19 cells exposed to WT and BIDKO Nalm6 cells. Persistent exposure to antigen and prolonged activation of the endogenous T-cell antigen receptor (TCR) is the central driver of T-cell exhaustion in human and murine models of chronic viral infection and cancer (15–21), and thus we first evaluated expression of the exhaustion-related immunosuppressive surface proteins PD-1 and TIM3 and the exhaustion-related transcription factor Tbet. We observed an initial increase in expression of both surface markers on CART19 cells exposed to BIDKO Nalm6 cells or continuously exposed to WT Nalm6 cells (Fig. 3A and B; Supplementary Fig. SSA–SSD) and persistent elevations in Tbet expression (Supplementary Fig. S5E and S5F). Intriguingly, unlike the pattern seen in classic T-cell exhaustion, PD-1 levels returned to normal during the course of continuous antigen exposure (Fig. 3A and B). We next analyzed gene-expression profiles of CART19 cells at rest or after 15 days of exposure to WT or BIDKO Nalm6 cells. These three groups clustered independently by principal component analysis (PCA; Fig. 3C), confirming divergent transcriptional activity. We identified 1,285 differentially expressed genes in CART19 cells exposed to WT or BIDKO Nalm6 cells; BIDKO-exposed CART19 cells had a gene signature enriched in cell-cycle genes and metabolic regulators, accompanied by decreased expression of immunostimulatory genes (Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B). More specifically, CART19 cells exposed to BIDKO Nalm6 cells demonstrated increased expression of known dysfunction-associated genes (EGR2, CTLLA4, IRF4, BTLA, TOX2, and NRG4A2; refs. 22–26) and decreased expression of T-cell–activating genes, including TCR signaling molecules (SLAMF6, LCK, JAK1, and SOS1), stimulatory surface receptors (IL7R and ICOS), and transcriptional activators (STAT1, ID2, and NFATC3; Fig. 3D). Together, these data reflect that exposure to apoptosis-resistant tumors drives a dysfunctional transcriptional program in CART19.

Given that CART19 dysfunction developed over time, we next interrogated CART19 chromatin accessibility to determine if persistent antigen exposure results in epigenetic imprinting associated with dysfunction. Concurrently with RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), we profiled CART19 cells using an assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq). We observed significant chromatin remodeling in CART19 cells after exposure to BIDKO Nalm6 cells, with more modest changes in CART19 cells exposed to WT Nalm6 cells (Fig. 3E). Comparison of global promoter site accessibility revealed increased accessibility of immune activation genes and decreased accessibility to dysfunctional transcriptional genes.
**Figure 3.** Persistent exposure to Nalm6 cells drives molecular programs of dysfunction in CART19. **A** and **B**, Cell-surface expression of (A) PD-1 and (B) TIM3 over time on CART19 cells combined with WT or BID\(^{KO}\) Nalm6 cells either once at the beginning of culture or repeatedly. **C**, PCA of gene-expression profiles of CART19 cells at rest or after 15 days of coculture with WT or BID\(^{KO}\) Nalm6 cells. **D**, Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in CART19 cells exposed to either WT or BID\(^{KO}\) Nalm6 cells for 15 days. Transcripts with log-fold change >0.5 and FDR <0.05 are shown in red. **E**, Heat map of differentially accessible chromatin sites in CART19 cells at rest or after 15 days of coculture with WT or BID\(^{KO}\) Nalm6 cells. **F**, Exemplary ATAC-seq tracks indicating increased chromatin accessibility (highlighted in gray) in T cell-inhibitory transcription factors (noted in red) or decreased chromatin accessibility in proinflammatory transcription factor KLF2 (noted in green). *, \(P < 0.05\); **, \(P < 0.01\); ****, \(P < 0.0001\); ns, not significant. MFI, median fluorescence intensity.
Death Receptor–Impaired Tumors Cause CAR T-cell Failure

CAR T-cell expansion and persistence are functional surrogates that are highly associated with clinical response (28, 29). Based on our preclinical observations, we hypothesized that exposure to death receptor–impaired leukemia may correlate with these markers of T-cell dysfunction in patients. Indeed, pharmacokinetic analysis revealed reduced tisagenlecleucel expansion and shorter persistence in patients with low death receptor signature scores as compared with patients with high scores (Fig. 4D and E). To account for varying leukemic infiltration in patient bone marrow, we adjusted gene set scores for the extent of leukemic blast involvement (see Methods). These adjusted death receptor signature scores were also highly associated with clinical outcome, tisagenlecleucel expansion and persistence, and overall patient survival (Supplementary Fig. S7C–S7F). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified that the association between death receptor signature score and clinical response was mediated by the association between death receptor signature score and T-cell expansion, mirroring our in vitro observations of impaired T-cell expansion upon exposure to death receptor–impaired Nalm6 cells.

To validate the role of death receptor gene expression as a predictive marker of response and survival after tisagenlecleucel, we used the gene set and score cutoff developed using this pediatric sample set and applied it to an independent set of pretreatment bone marrow samples from adult patients enrolled on a phase I clinical trial at the University of Pennsylvania (NCT02030847; ref. 30). Consistent with our previous results, death receptor signature scores were significantly lower in nonresponders as compared with patients achieving CR (Fig. 4F) and was again associated with overall survival (Fig. 4G, P = 0.0079) in this second cohort.

scRNA Sequencing Reveals Dynamic Development of CAR T-cell Dysfunction in Clinical Samples

Based on our in vitro and in vivo observations that CAR T-cell dysfunction can be acquired over time during persistent exposure to leukemic cells and ultimately leads to therapeutic failure, we pursued a granular assessment of how CAR T-cell dysfunction phenotypes evolved in patients. Using stored tissue from the initial phase I trial of CD19 CAR T cells in pediatric ALL (NCT014626495), we analyzed T cells from the originally manufactured infusion product and from patient peripheral blood at time of peak CAR T-cell expansion from a nonresponder and complete responder using single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq). We focused our analysis on exhaustion-defining genes (ref. 15; Supplementary Table S3). T cells in the infusion products (Fig. 5A) from the responder and nonresponder demonstrated nearly identical expression of exhaustion-associated genes (Fig. 5B and C). However, 10 days after infusion, T cells collected from the peripheral blood of these two patients (Fig. 5D) acquired considerably different phenotypes: T cells from the patient who went on to have a complete response maintained the preinfusion transcriptional profile, whereas T cells from the patient who went on to demonstrate no response expressed much higher levels of exhaustion-associated genes (Fig. 5E and F). This pattern was also seen when analyzed specifically in CAR+ T cells (Fig. 5G–L). These findings demonstrate acquisition of a dysfunctional CAR T-cell transcriptional...
Figure 4. Death receptor gene expression correlates with outcomes after tisagenlecleucel. A, Heat map of RNA expression in pretreatment leukemia-infiltrated bone marrow collected from patients treated with tisagenlecleucel (CR, n = 18; NR, n = 8). B, Integrated gene set expression score of death receptor–associated proapoptotic genes ("death receptor signature") in CRs and NRs from pediatric patients with ALL. High and low scores were defined using ROC analysis. C, Overall survival of all pediatric patients analyzed. D and E, Pharmacokinetic analysis of tisagenlecleucel in peripheral blood over the first (D) 90 days and (E) 1,000 days after infusion, as measured by qPCR of CAR transcripts in patients with high (n = 20) or low (n = 6) death receptor signature scores. F and G, Death receptor signature scores in CRs and NRs from adult patients with ALL. High and low scores were again defined by ROC analysis. PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.
program in a nonresponding patient not seen in a patient who experienced durable CR.

DISCUSSION

Until now, primary resistance to CART19 was presumed to be a result of intrinsic T-cell functional impairments present at the time of product infusion (9, 10). Our data offer a novel model in which tumor-intrinsic biology contributes to observed therapeutic failure, wherein T cells instead develop dysfunction as a result of persistent exposure to cancer cells that are resistant to T cell–mediated killing (Fig. 6).

Through an unbiased, genome-wide loss-of-function screen, we identified the critical importance of death receptor signaling in tumor cells on CAR T-cell cytotoxic function. We further validated the role of death receptor signaling in additional ALL and non-Hodgkin lymphoma cell lines, using two different B cell–directed CARs, and in both 4-1BB and CD28 costimulated CARs. This mechanism appears to rely on two phases: an initial resistance to death receptor–driven killing, followed by an antigen-driven, progressive impairment in CAR T-cell function. Together, this leads to CAR T-cell failure that perpetuates disease progression.

To explain the observed functional impairments, we conducted RNA-seq and ATAC-seq and found significant transcriptional and epigenetic reprogramming induced by persistent antigen exposure, suggesting that persistent CAR engagement has a broad impact on cellular activity and identity. Interestingly, although similar in many ways, these dysfunctional CAR T cells did not recapitulate the epigenetic hallmarks of classic T-cell exhaustion. We speculate that these distinctions may arise from differences in signaling that result from 4-1BB costimulation, and further work is needed to test this hypothesis and determine its functional relevance.

Primary resistance to CD19 CAR therapy is also a significant problem in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (2, 31). In this study, we used models of ALL for mechanistic studies and correlated our findings using clinical studies of CAR T cells in ALL. A recent study identified the role of the extrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway in regulating the antitumor T-cell response after checkpoint blockade in solid tumors (32), suggesting that this pathway may be a central regulator of antitumor responses to distinct modalities of immunotherapy.

A possible implication of our observations is that the use of healthy donor (i.e., allogeneic donor or “universal” donor)
T cells as a substrate for CAR T-cell manufacturing may face the same barriers as autologous products. Understanding how intrinsic and acquired T-cell dysfunction cooperate to cause therapeutic failure will be critical to the design of the next generation of cellular therapies.

**METHODS**

**Brunello Guide Library Screens**

The Brunello sgRNA KO plasmid library was designed and produced as previously described (11, 12). For generation of the lentiviral KO library, four 15-cm dishes of HEK293T cells (ATCC ACS-4500) were seeded at 40% confluence the day before transfection in D10 media (DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum). One hour prior to transfection, media were removed, and 13 mL of pre-warmed reduced serum OptiMEM media was added to each flask. For each dish, 20 μg of plasmid library, 10 μg of pVSVg, and 15 μg of pSPAX2 (Addgene) were diluted in 2 mL OptiMEM, and after a 5-minute incubation was added to the diluted DNA mixture. The complete mixture was incubated for 20 minutes before being added to cells. After 6 hours, the media were changed to 15 mL D10. Media containing lentiviral particles were removed after 48 hours and stored in 1 mL aliquots at −80°C. To find optimal virus volumes to achieve a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.2 to 0.3, each viral production was generated as previously described (11, 12). For generation of the lentiviral KO library, four 15-cm dishes of HEK293T cells (ATCC ACS-4500) were seeded at 40% confluence the day before transfection in D10 media (DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum). One hour prior to transfection, media were removed, and 13 mL of pre-warmed reduced serum OptiMEM media was added to each flask. For each dish, 20 μg of plasmid library, 10 μg of pVSVg, and 15 μg of pSPAX2 (Addgene) were diluted in 2 mL OptiMEM. Lipofectamine 2000 (100 μL, Invitrogen) was diluted in 2 mL OptiMEM, and after a 5-minute incubation was added to the diluted DNA mixture. The complete mixture was incubated for 20 minutes before being added to cells. After 6 hours, the media were changed to 15 mL D10. Media containing lentiviral particles were removed after 48 hours and stored in 1 mL aliquots at −80°C. To find optimal virus volumes to achieve a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.2 to 0.3, each viral production was individually titrated. Briefly, 2 × 10^6 cells were plated into each well of a 12-well plate, and varying volumes of virus (between 0 and 160 μL) were added. Cells were counted, and each well was split into two plates and diluted 1:10; one plate underwent selection with puromycin (1 μg/mL). After 48 hours, each well was counted, and viability was assessed to determine percent transduction (calculated as cell count from the replicate with puromycin divided by cell count from the replicate without puromycin). The virus volume yielding an MOI closest to 0.2 was chosen for large-scale screening. For long-term depletion screening, 2 × 10^5 Nalm6 cells (edited as described above) were combined with 5 × 10^5 CART19 cells or control T cells [effector:target (E:T) ratio 1:4] and cocultured in standard culture media; 5 × 10^5 control Nalm6 cells were frozen for genomic DNA analysis. Each condition was established in duplicate, and cocultures were monitored by flow cytometry over time and maintained at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL. Cultures were monitored until Nalm6 cells recurred in selection cultures, at which time dead cells were removed using a dead cell removal magnetic bead kit (Miltenyi), and living cells were frozen for genomic DNA analysis. Short-term screening cocultures were established in the same manner; however, dead cell removal and live-cell collection were performed after 24 hours of coculture.

**Genomic DNA Extraction and Guide Sequencing**

From each screening culture, 3 × 10^7 to 5 × 10^7 were flash-frozen as dry cell pellets. At time of DNA extraction, 6 mL of NK lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris, 50 mmol/L EDTA, 1% SDS, pH 8) and 30 μL of 20 mg/mL Proteinase K were added to the frozen cell sample and incubated at 55°C overnight. The following day, 30 μL of 10 mg/mL RNase A, diluted in NK lysis buffer to 10 mg/mL and then stored at 4°C, was added to the lysed sample, which was then inverted 25 times and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Samples were cooled on ice before the addition of 2 mL of prechilled 7.5 M ammonium acetate to precipitate proteins. The samples were vortexed at high speed for 20 seconds and then centrifuged at ≥4,000 × g for 10 minutes. After the spin, a tight pellet was visible in each tube, and the supernatant was carefully decanted into a new 15-mL tube. Six milliliters 100% isopropanol was added to the tube, inverted 4,000 × g for 10 minutes. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was visible as a small white pellet in each tube. The supernatant was discarded, and 6 mL of freshly prepared 70% ethanol was added to the tube, inverted 10 times, and centrifuged at ≥4,000 × g for 1 minute.
The supernatant was discarded by pouring; the tube was briefly spun, and remaining ethanol was removed using a pipette. After air drying for 10 to 30 minutes, 500 μL of 1x TE buffer was added, the tube was incubated at 65°C for 1 hour and at room temperature overnight to fully resuspend the DNA. The next day, the gDNA samples were vortexed briefly, and gDNA concentration was measured.

To measure the distribution of sgRNA within each screen arm, we used Illumina next-generation sequencing applied to an amplicon generated from a single targeted PCR of the integrated sgRNA cassette (11). Briefly, we used all collected gDNA (1,000x coverage) divided into 100 μL PCR reactions with 5 μg of DNA per reaction. We used Takara ExTaq DNA Polymerase and the default mix protocol with the following PCR program: [95°C 2 minutes (98° 10 seconds, 60° 30 seconds, 72° 30 seconds)x 24, 72° 5 minutes]. PCR products were gel-purified using the QiaQuick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). The purified pooled library was then sequenced on a HiSeq4000 with ~5% PhiX added to the sequencing lane. Quality assessment was done by qubit (for concentration), bioAnalyzer (for size distribution), and Kapa Library Quantification (for clusterable molarity).

**Genome-Wide Screening Data Analysis**

To count the number of reads associated with each sgRNA in each Fastq file, we first extracted the sgRNA targeting sequencing using a regular expression containing the three nucleotides flanking each side of the sgRNA 20 bp target. sgRNA spacer sequences were then aligned to a preindexed Brunello library (Addgene) using a short-read aligner “bowtie” using parameters (-v 0 -m 1). Data analysis was performed using custom R scripts. Using the guide sequencing data, we analyzed enrichment and depletion of guide RNAs with the Model-based Analysis of Genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 Knockout (MAGeCK) technology Core. For each target gene, six sgRNA sequences were designed to target early exon sequences, and in vitro expressed using software integrated into Benchling (http://Benchling.com). For each target gene, six sgRNA sequences were designed to target early exon sequences, and in vitro transcribed using the GeneArt Precision gRNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) for screening. Cells were electroporated using the Lonza 4D-Nucleofector Core/X Unit. Lymphoid cancer cells were electroporated using the SF Cell Line 4-D Nucleofector Kit, and primary T cells were electroporated using the P3 Primary Cell 4D Kit (Lonza). For Cas9 and sgRNA delivery, the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex was first formed by incubating 10 μg of TrueCut Cas9 Protein V2 (Lonza) with 5 μg of sgRNA for 10 to 30 minutes at room temperature. Cells were spun down at 300 x g for 10 minutes and resuspended at a concentration of 3 x 10^6 to 5 x 10^6 cells/100 μL in the specified buffer. The RNP complex, 100 μL of resuspended cells, and 4 μL of 100 μM/L IDT Electroporation Enhancer (IDT) were combined and electroporated. Pulse codes EO-115 and CV-104 were used for primary T cells and lymphoid cancer cells, respectively. After electroporation, the cells were incubated in standard media at a 5 x 10^6 cells/mL at 30°C for 48 hours, then cultured at 37°C for the duration of experimental procedures. Tracking of Indels by DEcomposition (TIDE) analysis was used to detect KO efficiency at the genomic level (37). Genomic DNA from electroporated cells was isolated using Qiagen (DiNasy Blood and Tissue Kit), and 200 to 300 ng was PCR amplified using Accuprime Pfx SuperMix and 10 μmol/L forward/reverse primers flanking the region of interest. Primers were designed such that the amplicon was at a target size ~1 kb. PCR products were gel-purified and sequenced, and trace files were analyzed using Desktop Genetics software (tide�esigen.com) to determine KO efficiency. R2 χ2 values were calculated, reflecting goodness of fit after nonnegative linear modeling by TIDE software (37). See Supplementary Table S4 for sgRNA sequences and sequencing primer sequences.

**General Cell Culture**

Unless otherwise specified, cells were grown and cultured at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells/mL of standard culture media (RPMI1640 + 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% HEPES, 1% nonessential amino acids) at 37°C in 5% ambient CO2.

**Lentiviral Vector Production and Transduction of CAR-Engineered Human T Cells**

Replication-defective, third-generation lentiviral vectors were produced using HEK293T cells (ATCC ACS-4500). Approximately 8 x 10^6 cells were plated in T150 culture vessels in standard culture media and incubated overnight at 37°C. Eighteen to 24 hours later, cells were transfected using a combination of Lipofectamine 2000 (96 μL, Invitrogen), pMDG1.7 (μg), pRSV.rev (18 μg), pMDLg/p.RRE (18 μg) packaging plasmids and 15 μg of expression plasmid (either CD19 or CD22-targeted CAR). Lipofectamine and plasmid DNA was diluted in 4 mL Opti-MEM media prior to transfer into lentiviral production flasks. At both 24 and 48 hours following transfection, culture media were isolated and concentrated using high-speed ultracentrifugation (25,000 x g for 2.5 hours). Human T cells were procured through the University of Pennsylvania Human Immunology Core. CD4+ and CD8+ cells were combined at a 1:1 ratio and activated using CD3/CD28 stimulatory beads (Thermo-Fisher) at a ratio of 3 beads/cell and incubated at 37°C overnight. The following day, CAR lentiviral vectors were added to stimulatory cultures at an MOI between 4 and 6. Beads were removed on day 6 of stimulation, and cells were counted daily until growth kinetics and cell size demonstrated they had rested from stimulation. All experiments used a CAR encoding the CTL019 chimeric antigen receptor, composed of the FMC63 scFv, 4-1BB, and CD3ζ costimulatory domains, unless otherwise noted.

**Bioluminescence-Based Cell Survival Assays**

Cell lines (Nalm6, REH, RS4;11, and OCI-Ly10) were engineered to express click beetle green, and cell survival was measured using bioluminescence quantification. D-luciferin potassium salt (PerkinElmer) was added to cell cultures (final concentration 15 μg/mL) and incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes. Bioluminescence signal was detected using a BioTek Synergy H4 imager and signal was analyzed using BioTek Gen5 software. Percent specific lysis was calculated using a control of target cells without effectors. For cultures including birinapant, cytotoxicity assays were established as described with the addition of either 500 or 1,000 nmol/L birinapant.

**Long-Term Coculture Assays**

CAR T cells were combined with target leukemia cells at an E:T ratio of 1:4, and cocultures were evaluated for absolute count of T cells and leukemia cells by flow cytometry using CountBright absolute counting beads (Thermo-Fisher). Cultures were maintained at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 total cells/mL, and CAR+ T cells were sorted on days 5 and 15 as described. T cells were then recombined with target leukemia cells at an E:T ratio of 1:8 for reexposure studies, and effector functions were measured as described. For continuous exposure cocultures, cells were counted every day, and cultures were re-fed with leukemia cells to maintain a constant and equivalent number of leukemia cells across cocultures.

**Flow Cytometry**

Cells were resuspended in FACS staining buffer (PBS 3% fetal bovine serum) using the following antibodies: CD3 (clone OKT3, eBioscience), CD4 (clone OKT4, eBioscience), CD8 (clone SK1, BD), PD-1 (clone J105, eBioscience), TIM3 (clones F38-2E2, BioLegend), and Tbet (clone 4B10, eBioscience). CD19 CAR was detected using an anti-idiotype antibody provided by Novartis Pharmaceuticals.
changes in overall tumor or T-cell counts reflect changes in absolute cell counts, which were determined using CountBright absolute counting beads (Thermo-Fisher). Cell viability was established using Live/Dead Aqua Fixable Staining Kit (Thermo-Fisher), and data were acquired on an LSRII Fortessa Cytometer (BD). All data analyses were performed using FlowJo 9.0 software (FlowJo, LLC).

**Cytokine and Cytolytic Molecule Quantification**

Human cytokine quantification was performed using a custom 31-plex Luminex panel (EMD Millipore) containing the following analytes: EGF, FGF2, Eotaxin, sIL2Ra, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFNε2, IFNγ, IL10, IL12P40, IL17, IL1, IL1β, HGF, IL8, IGF, IL22, IL2, IL4, IL5, IL6, IL7, CXCL8/IL8, CXCL10/IP10, CCL2/MCP1, CCL3/MIP1α, CCL4/MIP1β, RANTES, TNFα, and VEGF. Cell culture supernatants were flash-frozen on dry ice and thawed at the time of cytokine analysis. Assays were established per manufacturer recommendations. Data were acquired on a FlexMAP 3D quantification instrument, and analysis was done using xpONENT software. Data quality was determined by ensuring the standard curve for each analyte had a 5 R² value > 0.95 with or without minor fitting using xpONENT software. To pass assay technical quality control, the results for two controls in the kit were required to be within the 95% confidence interval provided by the vendor for >25 of the tested analytes. We established in vitro quantification of granulocyte B (antibody sets from R&D Systems) and perform (antibody sets from MABTECH), using ELISA substrate ADHP from Cayman Chemical, assay plates from E&K Scientific, and substrate plates from Greiner Bio-One. All ELISA reagents were prepared according to the protocols for DuoSet ELISA except for color reagent B, which was supplemented with ADHP at 100 μmol/L. Instead of coating the capture antibody to the wells of the ELISA plate, it was coated on the surfaces of macrospheres, which enabled the measurement of both analytes using 100 μL of cell supernatant (collected as described above). Assays were set up using bead-strips in assay plates based on an assay map following the protocol for antibody sets. At the end of the assay, one substrate plate per bead strip for each analyte was analyzed using xpONENT software. Data quality was determined by ensuring the standard curve for each analyte had a 5 R² value > 0.95 with or without minor fitting using xpONENT software. To pass assay technical quality control, the results for two controls in the kit were required to be within the 95% confidence interval provided by the vendor for >25 of the tested analytes. We established in vitro quantification of granulocyte B (antibody sets from R&D Systems) and perform (antibody sets from MABTECH), using ELISA substrate ADHP from Cayman Chemical, assay plates from E&K Scientific, and substrate plates from Greiner Bio-One. All ELISA reagents were prepared according to the protocols for DuoSet ELISA except for color reagent B, which was supplemented with ADHP at 100 μmol/L. Instead of coating the capture antibody to the wells of the ELISA plate, it was coated on the surfaces of macrospheres, which enabled the measurement of both analytes using 100 μL of cell supernatant (collected as described above). Assays were set up using bead-strips in assay plates based on an assay map following the protocol for antibody sets. At the end of the assay, one substrate plate per bead strip for each analyte was prepared by adding 100 μL/well of substrate solution (1:1 of color reagent A and ADHP). Each bead-strip was placed in one column of the substrate plate according to the assay map. Color development was for 10 to 30 minutes. Plates were read on a FLUO STAR OMEGA fluorescence imaging system.

**Xenograft Mouse Models**

Six- to 10-week-old NOD/SCIDγ−/− (NSG) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory and maintained in pathogen-free conditions. Animals were injected via tail vein with 1 x 10⁶ WT, BIDKO, or FADDKO Nalm6 cells in 0.2 mL sterile PBS. On day 7 after tumor delivery, 5 x 10⁴ T cells (control or CAR+) were injected via tail vein in 0.2 mL sterile PBS. Animals were monitored for signs of disease progression and overt toxicity, such as xenograft growth versus host disease, as evidenced by >10% loss in body weight, loss of fur, diarrhea, conjunctivitis, and disease-related hind limb paralysis. Disease burdens were monitored over time using the Xenogen IVIS bioluminescence imaging system.

**RNA-seq and Analysis**

RNA-seq libraries were made following the previously established SMARTseq2 protocol (38). Briefly, total RNA was extracted using Qiazol (Qagen) from 300 CART19 cells and recovered by RNA Clean and Concentrator spin columns (Zymo) followed by incubation with Oligo-dT. The transcription reaction was carried out on 100 pg of cDNA for 1 minute at 55°C. Libraries were uniquely barcoded (39) and amplified for 14 cycles. Fragment size distribution was verified, and paired-end sequencing (2 x 75 bp reads) was carried out on an Illumina NextSeq 500.

Raw reads were mapped to the GRCh37/hg19 genome assembly using the RNA-seq aligner STAR (version 2.5.4b; ref. 40). The algorithm was given known gene models provided by GENCODE (release_27_hg19, www.gencodegenes.org) to achieve higher mapping accuracy. Quantification was also performed by STAR using the -quantMode GeneCounts flag. Raw counts were normalized (TMM normalization implemented in edgeR, followed by the voom transformation). Lastly, the Bioconductor package limma was used to assess differential expression using linear models. Genes with differential expression at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and a log-fold change (LFC) > 0.5 between replicates were considered for further analysis. Visualization was performed using the R package ggplot2.

**ATAC-seq**

Omni ATAC-seq libraries were made as previously described (41). Briefly, nuclei were isolated from 50,000 sorted CART19 cells, followed by the transposition reaction using Tn5 transposase (Illumina) for 30 minutes at 37°C with 1000bp mixing. Purification of transposed DNA was completed with DNA Clean and Concentrator (Zymo), and fragments were barcoded with ATAC-seq indices (39). Final libraries were double size selected using AMPure beads prior to sequencing. Paired-end sequencing (2 x 75 bp reads) was carried out on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform. Adapters were trimmed using cutadapt (version 0.1.5; https://cutadcut.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html), and raw reads were aligned to the GRCh37/hg19 genome using bowtie (42) with the following flags: --chunkmbs 2000 --sam --best --strata -m1 -X 2000. MACS2 (43) was used for peak calling with an FDR cutoff of 0.05. Downstream analysis and visualization was done using HOMER (44) and deepTools2 (45).

**Apoptotic Pathway Gene Set Development**

Using the KEGG “Apoptosis” pathway (hsa04210), we established two distinct gene set signatures. We determined to which pathway each gene belonged, either extrinsic (death receptor–dependent) or intrinsic (death receptor–independent) apoptosis. Genes that did not fall into either pathway (i.e., nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cell–associated, nerve-growth factor–associated; ref. 13) were excluded. Genes were then classified for activity, either proapoptotic or antiapoptotic, and proapoptotic genes were included in gene sets.

**Evaluation of Clinical Specimens**

Bone marrow (BM) specimens were collected from patients enrolled in three multicenter clinical trials (NCT02435849/ELIANA, NCT02228096/ENSIGN, and NCT02010847). The study protocols and their amendments were approved by the Institutional Review Board at each participating institution and were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization of Good Clinical Practice guidelines including written informed consent. All relevant ethical regulations were complied with in this study, and informed consent obtained at time of study enrollment allowed for RNA-seq analysis on all patient samples evaluated. For purposes of this analysis, patients were categorized as achieving complete remission (CRs) or nonresponders (NRs). CRs were defined as patients who achieved either complete remission or complete remission with incomplete hematologic recovery within 3 months and stayed in complete remission for at least 1 year after CAR infusion (1) for the pivotal trials, and as achieving morphologic remission within 3 months after CAR infusion for adult patients. The cellular kinetics of tisagenlecleucel were determined as previously described (28). Briefly, measurement of the synthetic CAR transgene by quantitative...
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PCR. The cellular kinetic parameter of expansion was derived from peripheral blood samples and estimated by noncompartamental methods using Phoenix (Pharsight, Version 6.4). Graphical analysis of transgene persiste was performed and categorized by high and low scores. BM blast percentage quantification for each pediatric patient was assessed by the Minimal Residual Disease (MRD) assay. Briefly, BM aspirates were collected in sodium heparin vacutainer tubes, maintained at room temperature, and tested within 5 days after collection. Immunophenotyping was performed starting with approximately 2 × 10⁶ total white blood cells (WBC). WBC gate was adjusted to include WBCs and exclude red blood cells, dead/dying cells, and debris. The number of viable WBCs identified by 7-AAD was used for calculating the MRD as a percentage of total WBCs. A 4-tube, 8-color flow cytometry assay was performed using the BD FACS Canto II instrument to determine B-ALL MRD and leukemia-associated immunophenotypes.

RNA-seq and Bioinformatics Analysis on Clinical Trial Samples

Total RNA was extracted from BM cells stored in PAXgene tubes according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). Integrity was checked on the Agilent TapeStation (RIN), followed by preparation for sequencing using the TruSeq RNA v2 prep (Illumina). High-throughput sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform to a target depth of 50 million paired-end reads per sample. Fastq files were processed for data quality control, read mapping, transcript assembly, and transcript abundance estimation. A number of quality control metrics were assessed including data quality and guanine and cytosine content on per base and sequence levels, sequence length distribution and duplication levels, and insert size distribution. Finally, HTSeq was used to count the number of reads mapping to each gene (46). Data normalization for differential expression analysis was carried out with the edgeR R package (47). The logCPM value of each gene was z-normalized and gene set scores were calculated as the mean of the normalized gene expression for each gene in a given gene set. In order to account for the variability of the marrow blast count, the analysis was repeated, and the gene set score was normalized to regress out the blast percentage. To identify a threshold gene set score with high positive and negative predictive value of clinical outcomes in our pediatric sample set, we performed ROC analysis on the cohort of gene set scores.

scRNA-seq and Data Analysis

One nonresponding and one responding patient from a previous clinical trial (NCT01626495) were selected for single-cell analysis. Frozen vials of CAR T-cell infusion product and peripheral blood were gently thawed and counted, and dead cells were removed (Dead Cells Removal Kit, Millenyi, #130-090-101). Resulting cell samples had viabilities of 92% to 98% and were processed using the 10X Genomics Chromium Single-Cell V(D)J Reagent Kits (PN-1000006, PN-1000020, and PN-120262) to generate single-cell emulsions for barcoding, reverse transcription, and cDNA amplification. Immediately following these steps, 10X barcode-fragmented reads were pooled and analyzed for standard Illumina adaptors to generate a barcode single-cell RNA library. Sequencing libraries were quantified by qPCR before sequencing on the Illumina platform using the HiSeq 4000 instrument.

For each sample, raw sequencing reads from all sequence runs were mapped to the human reference genome (GRCh38) using Cell Ranger V2.1.1 (10X Genomics). Gene-expression profiles were further processed using Seurat package v3 (48). To remove doublets and broken cells, any cells with fewer than 500 expressed genes and with unusually high (above 3 median absolute deviations) UMI counts or unusually high percentages of mitochondrial gene transcripts were discarded from downstream analysis. Clustering was performed using the standard Seurat package pipeline. Gene-expression profiles were transformed using the SCTransform function, followed by PCA for dimensionality reduction, and the number of significant PCs was determined using an elbow plot. Next, cells were clustered using the “FindClusters” function with 0.6 resolution and visualized using the uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) algorithm. To compare T cells from infusion products and peak expansion samples between patients, cells from both samples were first merged, clustered, and visualized using the UMAP algorithm. Then, T cells, defined as CD3ε and CD79A α were isolated and clustered. For CAR T-cell comparison, CAR T cells, defined as CD3ε, CAR, and CD79A cells were isolated and used for cluster analysis. To map the number of exhaustion genes expressed, we first identified cells that expressed any of the exhaustion-associated genes, and then further identified cells that expressed combinations of 2, 3, or ≥4 of these marker genes. Finally, cells were color-coded based on the number of genes expressed.

Statistical Analysis

All in vitro data presented are representative of at least three independent experiments, except for the short-term KO screens (performed once each with four biological replicates), RNA-seq, and ATAC-seq (performed once each with three biological triplicates). In vivo animal studies were performed twice. All comparisons between two groups were performed using either a two-tailed unpaired Student t test or Mann–Whitney test, depending on normality of distribution. Comparisons between more than two groups were performed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. All results are presented as mean ± SEM. Survival data were analyzed using the log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test.

Data Availability

Guide library sequencing, RNA-seq, and ATAC sequencing data are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus using the accession number GSE130663 for all data sets. RNA-seq and ATAC-seq expression data are included in Supplementary Table 1. Other data generated from this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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