IN THE SPOTLIGHT

Maximizing the Benefits of Off-Target Kinase Inhibitor Activity

Monica Red Brewer and William Pao

Summary: Investigators report the identification of novel mutant-specific inhibition of EGF receptor (EGFR) T790M by *bis*-indole-based inhibitors of protein kinase C using a small-molecule cancer cell line-based screening platform. This study shows the power of high-throughput drug screening in cancer cell lines and provides new lead scaffolds for optimization against resistant EGFR mutants in lung cancer. *Cancer Discov*; 3(2); 138-40. ©2012 AACR.

See related article by Lee et al., p. 168 (8).

A major goal in drug discovery over the past several decades has been the development of selective and potent kinase inhibitors. The development of EGF receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) represents an excellent example. In 1994, chemists at Parke-Davis synthesized quinazoline 45, one of the first small molecules to show selectivity against the kinase activity of EGFR (1). Quinazoline-based drugs, such as gefitinib and erlotinib, were eventually approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the 2000s as first-generation EGFR TKIs (2). The drugs were originally developed as reversible ATPcompetitive agents against wild-type EGFR. However, in 2004, investigators identified gefitinib and erlotinib as most effective against forms of EGFR that are mutated within the kinase domain in certain lung cancers (3, 4). One hallmark of these mutant-selective TKIs is the capacity to outcompete ATP for binding in the nucleotide-binding pocket (5).

For all clinically approved kinase inhibitors thus far, a window of efficacy exists, after which patients' tumors progress. For gefitinib and erlotinib in patients with metastatic EGFRmutant lung cancer, this progression-free survival lasts about 1 year. Multiple mechanisms of acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs have been defined through the study of tissue obtained after progression. The most frequent mechanism in patients harboring a primary drug-sensitizing EGFR mutation [an exon 19 deletion or an exon 21 point mutation (L858R)] is the acquisition of a second-site T790M "gatekeeper" mutation. Analogous gatekeeper mutations are found in other mutant kinases (e.g., BCR-ABL, KIT, and FLT3) targeted by specific kinase inhibitors in various oncogene-driven cancers (2). This mutation renders first-generation TKIs ineffective owing to altered ATP affinity and/or steric hindrance in the nucleotide-binding pocket of the mutated kinase.

Authors' Affiliation: Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Nashville, Tennessee

Corresponding Author: William Pao, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 2220 Pierce Avenue, 777 Preston Research Nashville, TN 37232. Phone: 615-936-3831; Fax: 615-343-7602; E-mail: william.pao@vanderbilt.edu

doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0581

© 2012 American Association for Cancer Research.

After the T790M mutation was found, multiple groups showed that "second-generation" EGFR TKIs, such as neratinib (HKI-272) or afatinib (BIBW-2992), could overcome T790M-mediated resistance, at least in preclinical models. These irreversible ATP-competitive inhibitors, which form a covalent bond with Cys797 in EGFR, were also quinazoline based and, in fact, were still developed against wild-type EGFR. They were more potent than gefitinib/erlotinib against all forms of EGFR, including lung cancer-associated mutants, but still had differential selectivity for exon 19 deletions/L858R versus T790M. Therefore, in retrospect, it is not surprising that these drugs still select for T790M-mediated resistance *in vitro* and in patients (6).

More recently, efforts to circumvent resistance brought about by the T790M substitution in the hinge region of the nucleotide-binding pocket have led to the development of EGFR TKIs with a pyrimidine backbone, such as WZ-4002. WZ-4002 arose from a rational drug screen of common kinase inhibitor core scaffolds against EGFR T790M (7). Investigators intentionally selected for compounds containing an acrylamide group predicted to covalently bond with Cys797 to select for irreversible inhibitors (7). WZ-4002 is selective toward T790M-containing EGFRs *in vitro* and in animal models. However, its efficacy against T790M-driven tumors in patients is currently unknown (7). A phase I clinical trial of a similar compound, CO-1686, is under way.

In this issue of Cancer Discovery, Lee and colleagues (8) report the identification of a potential new class of EGFR T790M inhibitors. A variety of kinase inhibitors were first profiled for growth inhibitory activity against a panel of 705 human cancer cell lines derived from various solid tumor types with known mutated oncogenic drivers. Less than 4% of the tested cell lines exhibited strong sensitivity to the protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitor, Gö6976, an indolocarbazole alkaloid natural product derived from staurosporine. Unexpectedly, 2 EGFR-mutant lines displayed strong growth inhibition by Gö6976, which was independent of PKC inhibition. The compound displayed selectivity against EGFR-addicted cell lines harboring the T790M gatekeeper mutation (8). To ensure these results were due to direct inhibition of EGFR T790M, the authors conducted several orthogonal dose-response studies, including cell-based autophosphorylation, viability, and in vitro kinase assays.

138 | CANCER DISCOVERY FEBRUARY 2013

www.aacrjournals.org

Table 1. Selectivity of kinase inhibitors based on molecular target and disease

Drug	Original target(s)	Original indication	Additional target(s)	Additional indication	Selectivity score, S (3 µM) ¹⁰
Imatinib (STI571)	BCR-ABL	CML	KIT, PDGFR	GIST	0.0655
Dasatinib (BMS-354825)	BCR-ABL	CML	SRC, KIT	In trials	0.2828
Crizotinib (PF-02341066)	MET	In trials	ALK fusion, ROS1 fusion	ALK-positive lung cancer	Not reported
AP26113	ALK fusions	In trials	EGFR-T790M	In trials	Not reported
Midostaurin (PKC412)	PKC, FLT3, KIT	In trials	EGFR-T790M	T790M-positive lung cancer?	0.4655

Abbreviations: CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor.

Lee and colleagues (8) also investigated 2 other staurosporine-derived PKC inhibitors, CEP-701 and PKC412. Both agents are currently undergoing clinical development, albeit against a different target (FLT3, frequently activated in acute myeloid leukemia). Interestingly, Lee and colleagues (8) show that PKC412 is T790M selective, without much effect on either wild-type or mutant EGFRs, including L858R or an exon 19 deletion. Compared with other EGFR TKIs, PKC412 is not as potent as the T790M-specific drug WZ-4002 at inhibiting autophosphorylation of recombinant T790M or L858R/T790M EGFR kinases, whereas BIBW-2992 seems to have slightly reduced efficacy (8). Consistent with these data, treatment of T790M-driven tumors with PKC412 in in vivo preclinical models (xenografts and genetically engineered mice) leads to growth inhibition, but only transiently. Collectively, these studies provide new lead scaffolds for optimization against resistant EGFR mutants in lung cancer. Further structure-activity relationship studies, coupled with in silico or crystallographic analyses, could provide an avenue for inhibitor optimization in the hope of developing more selective and potent T790M-specific drug inhibitors.

Large-scale high-throughput studies have elucidated selectivity profiles of a variety of clinically approved and research-based TKIs. Such studies have illustrated just how difficult it is to develop kinase-selective ATP-competitive inhibitors for the more than 500 kinases, which share moderate-to-high conservation in their nucleotide-binding pockets (9). However, target promiscuity can also be advantageous, as some inhibitors may have desirable off-target potency, which can be exploited for therapeutic benefit (ref. 10; Table 1). For example, although imatinib was developed to target BCR-ABL in chronic myelogenous leukemia, its activity against KIT and PDGFRα has led to its use against mutated forms of these kinases in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Similarly, crizotinib was originally developed as a MET inhibitor, but its off-target activity against ALK led to its FDA approval as an ALK inhibitor in ALK fusionpositive lung cancer. Crizotinib may also be effective against ROS1 fusions in lung cancer. Dasatinib, approved for use as an ABL TKI, is being tested in other diseases for its capacity to inhibit SRC. In yet another example, AP26113, an ALK TKI in early clinical trials, was recently reported to inhibit EGFR T790M as well.

To examine the issue of kinase inhibitor target discrimination in more detail, investigators have assigned values for the selectivity of a panel of inhibitors using a "selectivity score" (10). In this system, quantitative comparisons between inhibitors and their interaction patterns have yielded a numerical ranking (10). For instance, staurosporine has the highest selectivity score of 0.87, derived from the fact that it binds 253 kinases with a K_d <3 μ M, whereas the HER2 TKI, lapatinib, has the lowest score at 0.01, because it binds only 3 kinases with a $K_d > 3 \mu M$ (10). Currently, the "optimum" selectivity score for a clinically effective agent is unknown. A highly selective inhibitor may have a very narrow indication and either fewer or more side effects, depending upon the target. A less selective inhibitor may gain broader use across a variety of targets, but with potential trade-offs involving potency, toxicity, and drug resistance. Future studies should help delineate the "sweet spot" of kinase inhibition.

In summary, Lee and colleagues (8) have shown the use of broad-based cell line screens to identify potential off-label uses for available inhibitors. Their studies also point out that reexamining the chemical backbones of small-molecule inhibitors may provide a new avenue for reducing the off-target effects of irreversible inhibitors to wild-type proteins. It is hoped that such studies will lead to novel treatments for patients with resistance to the current generation of EGFR TKIS.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

W. Pao has commercial research grants from AstraZeneca, Clovis, Symphogen, and Enzon; has ownership interest (including patents) in Molecular MD; and is a consultant/advisory board member of Bristol-Myers Squibb, Clovis, and Symphony Evolution.

Published online February 11, 2013.

REFERENCES

- Fry DW, Kraker AJ, McMichael A, Ambroso LA, Nelson JM, Leopold WR, et al. A specific inhibitor of the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase. Science 1994;265:1093–5.
- Pao W, Chmielecki J. Rational, biologically based treatment of EGFR-mutant non-small-cell lung cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2010;10: 760-74.

VIEWS

- 3. Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004;350:2129–39.
- Pao W, Miller V, Zakowski M, Doherty J, Politi K, Sarkaria I, et al. EGF receptor gene mutations are common in lung cancers from "never smokers" and are associated with sensitivity of tumors to gefitinib and erlotinib. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:13306–11.
- Carey KD, Garton AJ, Romero MS, Kahler J, Thomson S, Ross S, et al. Kinetic analysis of epidermal growth factor receptor somatic mutant proteins shows increased sensitivity to the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, erlotinib. Cancer Res 2006;66:8163–71.
- 6. Kim Y, Ko J, Cui Z, Abolhoda A, Ahn JS, Ou SH, et al. The EGFR T790M mutation in acquired resistance to an irreversible

- second-generation EGFR inhibitor. Mol Cancer Ther 2012;11: 784-91
- Zhou W, Ercan D, Chen L, Yun CH, Li D, Capelletti M, et al. Novel mutant-selective EGFR kinase inhibitors against EGFR T790M. Nature 2009;462:1070-4.
- Lee H-J, Schaefer G, Heffron TP, Shao L, Ye X, Sideris S, et al. Noncovalent wild-type-sparing inhibitors of EGFR T790M. Cancer Discov 2013;3:168–81.
- Davis MI, Hunt JP, Herrgard S, Ciceri P, Wodicka LM, Pallares G, et al. Comprehensive analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. Nat Biotechnol 2011;29:1046–51.
- 10. Karaman MW, Herrgard S, Treiber DK, Gallant P, Atteridge CE, Campbell BT, et al. A quantitative analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. Nat Biotechnol 2008;26:127–32.



CANCER DISCOVERY

Maximizing the Benefits of Off-Target Kinase Inhibitor Activity

Monica Red Brewer and William Pao

Cancer Discovery 2013;3:138-140.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:

http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/3/2/138

Cited articles This article cites 10 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:

http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/3/2/138.full#ref-list-1

E-mail alerts Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at

Subscriptions pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link

http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/3/2/138.

Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC)

Rightslink site.