Integrative Genomic Characterization of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Identifies Frequent Somatic Drivers
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ABSTRACT

The survival of patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) has not changed significantly in several decades, leading clinicians and investigators to search for promising molecular targets. To this end, we conducted comprehensive genomic analysis of gene expression, copy number, methylation, and point mutations in OSCC. Integrated analysis revealed more somatic events than previously reported, identifying four major driver pathways (mitogenic signaling, Notch, cell cycle, and TP53) and two additional key genes (FAT1, CASP8). The Notch pathway was defective in 66% of patients, and in follow-up studies of mechanism, functional NOTCH1 signaling inhibited proliferation of OSCC cell lines. Frequent mutation of caspase-8 (CASP8) defines a new molecular subtype of OSCC with few copy number changes. Although genomic alterations are dominated by loss of tumor suppressor genes, 80% of patients harbored at least one genomic alteration in a targetable gene, suggesting that novel approaches to treatment may be possible for this debilitating subset of head and neck cancers.

SIGNIFICANCE: This is the first integrated genomic analysis of OSCC. Only through integrated multiplatform analysis was it possible to identify four key pathways. We also discovered a new disease subtype associated with CASP8 and HRAS mutation. Finally, many candidate targetable events were found and provide hope for future genomically driven therapeutic strategies.

See related commentary by Iglesias-Bartolome et al., p. 722.

INTRODUCTION

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) includes a diverse group of tumors from the upper aerodigestive tract (1). Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), a subset of the disease, has a 5-year survival rate of only about 50% (1). Limited genomic analysis to date has revealed few molecular alterations that might be exploited clinically as biomarkers for treatment selection or druggable targets. Until recently, TP53 was the only known recurrently mutated gene, with frequencies ranging from 60% to 80%. We and others recently showed NOTCH1 mutations in 15% of patients by whole-exome sequencing (2, 3), thereby opening new avenues of investigation. The Notch pathway in cancer was first recognized in hematopoietic malignancies as oncogenic (4), but in OSCC, NOTCH1 mutations are believed to be tumor suppressive (2, 3, 5).

Many copy number alterations have been observed in OSCC (1, 6–8). The retinoblastoma pathway is frequently altered through deletion of CDKN2A (p16) or amplification of cyclin D1 (CCND1; ref. 1), promoting proliferation. Amplification of the EGFR receptor (EGFR), also known to promote proliferation, has been reported in 10% to 30% of patients (1). An EGFR-targeting antibody (cetuximab) shows clinical benefit in combination with radiotherapy for patients with HNSCC (9) and is approved for clinical use alone or in combination with radiotherapy or chemotherapy, but no biomarkers are known to predict response to the treatment (10).

Despite the genomic alterations previously observed in OSCC, no therapeutically targetable genomic subtypes have been identified, and, therefore, surgery, radiation, and cisplatin still form the backbone of standard therapy for the disease. Here, we report a multiplatform, integrated genomic analysis that further elucidates signaling pathways exploited by the cancer and identifies several therapeutically targetable genomic subtypes. These findings offer new opportunities for preclinical investigation of OSCC with new molecularly targeted agents.

RESULTS

Copy Number Alterations

Genome-wide copy number alterations (CNA) as well as tumor purity and tumor ploidy were computed from high-quality single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays from 38 patients with OSCC (Supplementary Fig. S1A and Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Thirty-two percent (12/38) of the tumors were diploid, 32% (12/38) were triploid, and 37% (14/38) were tetraploid or had higher ploidy (Supplementary Table S2). We identified 11 regions of focal gain and 33 regions of focal loss (Supplementary Fig. S1B and Supplementary Table S3) as well as eight recurrent chromosomal arm gains and 10 arm losses (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We found an average of 16.3 focal and 7.3 arm-level CNAs per sample, and 74% (28/38) of tumors had at least 20 CNAs (Supplementary Table S4). The numbers of focal and arm-level CNAs were correlated (R = 0.772), suggesting a common
mechanism for their development. Together with the high level of polyploidy, those CNA findings suggest that genomic instability is a dominant feature of OSCC.

Detailed analysis of the recurrent CNA events identifies genes and pathways important to the disease. We found five arm-level CNAs occurred in more than 50% of the tumors (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Included were gains of 8q (63%, 24/38) and 3q (58%, 22/38) and losses of 3p (76%, 29/38), 8p (53%, 20/38), and 18q (58%, 21/38). Forty-six percent (18/38) of the tumors showed both 3q gain and 3p loss, and 39% (15/38) showed both 8q gain and 8p loss. Focal deletions of FHIT and CSMD1 suggest them as candidate tumor suppressors (on 3p and 8p, respectively), as reported previously in HNSCC (7, 8). Loss of 8p correlated with reduced disease-free survival ($P = 0.047$) and increased extracapsular spread ($P = 0.021$), and it showed a trend toward reduced overall survival ($P = 0.067$). Candidate cancer drivers on 3q include TP63, PIK3CA, TERC, and PRKCI; 8q contains MYC. There were focal gains in regions containing CCND1, EGFR, MYC, and TP63, and focal deletions in regions containing the tumor suppressor CDKN2A. Interestingly, focal 9p deletions involving the CDKN2A locus sometimes occurred against a background of overall 9p copy number gain. Twenty-six percent of tumors showed 9p gains, nearly as common as the 32% (12/38) of tumors with losses of 9p. That observation strongly suggests that there is an oncogenic driver on 9p. One possible candidate is NFIB, as 3 of the tumors showed high-level amplification (>4 copy gains) of a region on 9p that includes the gene. High-level amplifications were strongly associated with high gene expression (Fig. 1A). Only CCND1 (22%, 8/38) and EGFR (16%, 6/38) showed high-level amplifications in more than 10% of samples. Other high-level amplifications that were seen in at least 5% of samples include TP63, MYC, and regions on 2q11.2, 5p, 9p23, 14q24.3, and 20p12.1.

Copy Number Alterations and Gene Expression

To identify genes under selection within amplified and deleted regions, we compared CNA with expression data. We tested the correlation between gene expression and relative copy number, which normalized copy number to the tumor ploidy, and absolute copy number, which normalized copy number to a normal ploidy of 2. A strong correlation between relative copy number and gene expression [false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01] was evident for 1,721 genes (Supplementary Table S5). Similar analysis for absolute copy number identified only 224 genes at the same significance threshold (Supplementary Table S5). Overall, relative copy number was more strongly correlated with gene expression (i.e., had lower $P$ values) than was absolute copy number (Supplementary Fig. S2B), which may suggest that polyploid tumors adjust their overall gene expression to compensate for ploidy. Protein expression was also found to be associated with relative copy number, even for low-level copy number gains (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

The distribution of relative copy number and gene expression for CCND1 is shown in Fig. 1B. Many genes in the focal amplicon on chromosome 11, which includes CCND1, were among the most strongly correlated (CCND1, $P = 5.66e-9$). Although CCND1 is usually described as the oncogenic driver...
in this amplicon (7, 8) many other genes were also highly expressed, and it is possible that other genes contribute to tumor development (Fig. 1C). Overall, 35 genes, including ORAOV1, IKBKB, EADD, and BIRC2, showed stronger correlations than did CCND1. Some of these may even be therapeutic targets; for example, knockdown of ORAOV1 (oral cancer overexpressed 1) was shown to reduce tumor growth in OSCC (11).

CNA and microRNA expression data were compared in a similar manner, but the correlations were much weaker. No microRNAs were significant at an FDR < 0.01, and only 18 were significant at FDR < 0.1 (Supplementary Table S6). Included among the 18 were miR-30b and miR-30d on chromosome 8q, miR-31 on 9p, and miR-15b on 3q. Those observations suggested that microRNAs were not frequently regulated by copy number in OSCC.

mRNA and microRNA Expression

We evaluated global mRNA and microRNA expression patterns by hierarchical clustering. The most variably expressed mRNAs and microRNAs revealed two major clusters that correlated with the differentiation state of the tumor (P < 0.002; Fig. 2A). Expression cluster 1 contained seven of eight poorly differentiated tumors, whereas expression cluster 2 contained six of six well-differentiated tumors. The genes and microRNAs most differentially expressed between the two groups include squamous differentiation genes, as well as stromal and immune genes (Supplementary Table S7). Expression cluster 1 shows some similarity to the “mesenchymal” subtype defined by Walter and colleagues (12), and expression cluster 2 shows similarity to their “basal” subtype. Interestingly, expression cluster 1 tumors contained fewer point mutations than did expression cluster 2 tumors (median 53 vs. 81, P = 0.0073) and exhibited higher average ploidy (3.33 vs. 2.62, P = 0.033; Fig. 2B). All nonusers of tobacco were in expression cluster 1 (P < 0.007).

DNA Methylation

We identified 3,694 differentially methylated probes that yielded two primary clusters by unsupervised hierarchical clustering (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Table S8). Methylation cluster 1 showed a higher frequency of DNA methylation, and six samples in methylation cluster 1 exhibited an even higher frequency of methylation, perhaps indicating a CpG island methylation phenotype (CIMP) similar to that observed in colorectal carcinoma (13). As in colorectal carcinoma CIMP patients, the samples in methylation cluster 1 exhibited fewer copy number alterations (Fig. 2D); however, other CIMP markers, such as MLH1 methylation and increased numbers of mutations, were not observed in methylation cluster 1. Tobacco use was associated with the methylation clusters (P < 0.009); eight of nine tobacco nonusers were in methylation cluster 2 (Fig. 2C).

Notch Pathway

NOTCH1 was mutated at 9% in our cohort (Supplementary Tables S9–S11). To explore the mutation rate of NOTCH1 further, we sequenced it in a panel of 44 HNSCC cell lines that had previously been characterized for TP53 mutations and tumorigenicity (14, 15). Sixteen candidate alterations of NOTCH1 identified in those cell lines (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Table S12) included three homozygous frameshift mutations and two homozygous nonsense mutations. As expected, NOTCH1 protein was essentially absent in all three of the truncating mutant cell lines examined (Fig. 3B). The missense mutation found in HN31 (C478F) also occurred in a primary tumor of skin (5), suggesting that it is a functional mutation.

To study the role of Notch signaling in HNSCC, we used a retroviral construct to express the cleaved/activated form of NOTCH1 (ICN1) in four cell lines that harbor missense or truncating NOTCH1 mutations. The infections were conducted at low multiplicity of infection so that only a fraction of the population (20%-50%) expressed the activated NOTCH1 (Fig. 3C). Under those conditions, the relative fraction of ICN1-expressing cells decreased to less than 40% of its original value in 16 days (Fig. 3D). In sharp contrast, the percentage of GFP-positive cells following infection with control virus expressing only GFP remained essentially unchanged for the duration of the experiment. To test it in a more natural context, we expressed full-length wild-type NOTCH1 (NFL) in HNSCC cell lines. This also had a detrimental effect on cells, and the effect was further enhanced by activation of NOTCH1 through growth on recombinant NOTCH receptor ligand Jagged 1 (JAG1) (Supplementary Fig. S3). To examine the effect of NOTCH1 in vivo, mouse xenografts were conducted in an orthotopic tongue cancer model. HN31 cells expressing the empty vectors, ICN1, or NFL, were injected into mouse tongues. Both ICN1 and NFL caused a dramatic reduction in tumor size compared with the vector control (Fig. 3E). Similar results were obtained with the cell line UMSSC47 expressing NFL (Fig. 3E). These results lend functional support to the hypothesis, gleaned from mutation data, that the Notch pathway has a tumor-suppressive effect in OSCC.

To begin to understand the mechanisms behind this tumor-suppressive effect, cell-cycle analysis was conducted on cells expressing ICN1 (Fig. 3F). Persistent activation of NOTCH1 led to a significant arrest in the G1 phase (i.e., 67% at 3 days postinfection, Fig. 3F), accompanied by induction of p21 (CDKN1A; Fig. 3G). Cells expressing ICN1 had a rounded morphology and stopped proliferating (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Tumor cells infected with ICN1 also showed induction of β-galactosidase by day 5 postinfection (Fig. 3H and Supplementary Fig. S4B), suggesting they had become senescent, despite the absence of a flattened morphology typically associated with senescence. Although cleavage of caspase-3 and PARP could not be detected on Western blots in lysates from ICN1-infected cells at these early time points (data not shown), we cannot rule out the possibility that at least a fraction of the cells underwent apoptotic death, as approximately 15% of the ICN1-infected population had sub-G1 DNA content by cell-cycle analysis (Fig. 3F).

To further expand the role of Notch signaling in HNSCC, alterations in Notch pathway members were found in 66% (23/35) of the tumors (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Table S13). We identified gains in JAG1, JAG2, and NUMB and losses in MAML1 (Fig. 4B), all of which have been shown to modulate Notch signaling (16). JAG1 and JAG2 have the potential to either inhibit Notch signaling through cis-inhibition or to promote Notch signaling through activation of the receptor (16). As all other alterations to the Notch pathway indicate a tumor suppressor role for Notch in OSCC, amplifications were likely to inhibit the pathway. TP63 alterations (34%, 12/35) were based on expression specific to the deltaN isoform (ΔN-p63), because that isoform was shown to have oncogenic
functions in vitro (17), and its expression was higher than that of the transactivation (TA-p63) isoform in tumors (18). ΔN-p63 directly inhibits NOTCH1 expression and Notch signaling during squamous epithelial differentiation (19–21). TP63 was mutated in 9% (3/35) of the tumors (Fig. 4B) in ways likely to disrupt the tumor-suppressive functions of the TA-p63 isoform.

**FAT1**

FAT1 was mutated in 30% (12/40) of patients, and two thirds of those were inactivating nonsense, frame-shift, or splice-site. That is the highest frequency of FAT1 mutation yet observed in HNSCC (2, 3). FAT1 was also focally deleted, yielding a combined inactivation rate of 46% (16/35; Fig. 4A). FAT1 is a member of the cadherin family of proteins that play important roles in differentiation and control of cell growth. It is a very large transmembrane cadherin protein that regulates developmental programs in humans, mice, and flies (22–24) and may also regulate invasion and migration in squamous epithelium (23, 25).
Mitogenic Signaling Pathway

Alterations in genes important for mitogenic signaling were found to be altered in 63% (22/35) of the tumors (Fig. 4A). Included were activating mutations in HRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF and copy number gains in EGFR, PIK3CA, AKT1, RPS6KB1, and MYC (Fig. 4C). Elevated EGFR expression was previously shown in the majority of HNSCC (1), but the abundance of additional genomic alterations downstream of EGFR may explain the limited clinical responsiveness of HNSCCs to treatment with EGFR inhibitors alone (9, 26).

Cell-Cycle Pathway

Two of the most common genomic alterations in OSCC, amplification of CCND1 and loss of CDKN2A, should facilitate cell-cycle progression (Fig. 4D). Together, those events are found in 94% (33/35) of tumors. Cell-cycle alterations were a nearly universal feature of OSCCC.

TP53 Pathway

TP53 mutations were observed in 60% (24/40) of the tumors (Fig. 4E). The majority of mutations were missense, but 13%
(12/40) of the tumors harbored a splice-site mutation. Splice-site mutations, which generally result in no protein expression (14), have been reported as only 2% of all TP53 mutations across tumor types (27). Overall, TP53 mutations were associated with more CNAs (P = 0.0051) but no difference in number of mutations. TP53 mutation is a common feature of OSCC that has shown potential for clinical use as a prognostic/predictive biomarker or target for therapy (28).

Caspase-8

Caspase-8 (CASP8) was mutated in 10% (4/40) of the tumors (Fig. 4A). CASP8-mutated patients harbored significantly fewer total CNAs (P = 0.0136; Fig. 5A). We validated this finding in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) HNSCC data. Indeed, CASP8 mutations were also strongly associated with fewer focal CNAs in the TCGA cohort (P < 0.0001; Fig. 5B). The only other TCGA tumor site with frequent CASP8 mutations thus far is colorectal carcinoma (13), and, remarkably, we observed a similar association in these tumors (P < 0.0001; Fig. 5C).

In addition, CASP8 mutations were strongly correlated with HRAS mutations in our cohort (P = 0.0016; Fig. 5D). Three of the four tumors with CASP8 mutations had an HRAS mutation, and three of the four HRAS-mutant tumors had a CASP8 mutation. That association was also validated in the TCGA HNSCC cohort (Fig. 5D), in which seven of
eight HRAS-mutant tumors also had a CASP8 mutation. In colorectal carcinoma neither HRAS, which was almost never mutated, nor KRAS or NRAS correlated with CASP8; however, BRAF mutations did correlate (13). Five of 21 BRAF-mutant colorectal carcinoma tumors also had a CASP8 mutation ($P = 0.0009$; Fig. 5D). The data suggest a functional relationship between some types of RAS–RAF pathway mutations and CASP8 mutations in certain tumors.

To begin to understand the functional consequences and relevance of CASP8 mutations, this gene was sequenced in a panel of HNSCC cell lines. Seven candidate mutations were identified (Fig. 5E). All mutations were heterozygous. CASP8-mutant cell lines were found to be more aggressive in the orthotopic tongue cancer model. They were more tumorigenic (Fig. 5F) and generated larger (Fig. 5G) and more lethal tumors (Fig. 5H).

The spectrum of CASP8 mutations in tumors and cell lines (nonsense, splice-site, and frame-shift) suggests that they are likely to be loss-of-function. Expression of a CASP8 shRNA in the HN4 cell line, which reduced CASP8 protein level (Supplementary Fig. S5A), resulted in larger tumors in the orthotopic tongue cancer model (Supplementary Fig. S5B). These data are consistent with CASP8 mutations causing a loss-of-function and more aggressive tumors.

**Targetable Events**

We next sought to identify targetable genomic alterations. We found 76 targetable genes with at least one sample containing a copy gain with increased expression or activating mutation (Supplementary Table S14). Forty-five of those genes are therapeutic targets of an agent already approved for use in...
cancer (Fig. 6). In total, 80% (28/35) of the tumors exhibited one targetable genomic alteration and 54% (19/35) exhibited two or more. Some of the most interesting candidates were TNK2 (17%, 6/35), PTEN/PIK3CA (14%, 5/35), and SRC-family kinases (SRC, LYN, YES1, 29%, 10/35). Candidates with therapeutics already in clinical trials included aurora family kinases (AURKA/C, 14%, 5/35) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling members (PIK3CA, AKT1/2/3, 34%, 12/35). Those genes may represent novel avenues for therapy of OSCC.

**DISCUSSION**

We have conducted the first comprehensive integrated genomic analysis of OSCC, with a principal goal of identifying cancer drivers, developing molecular classifications for improved prognosis and treatment, and defining new subtypes. For the first time, we find evidence for oncogenic alterations in the majority of OSCC tumors, and targetable alterations in 80% of tumors. This finding is important with respect to the development of novel approaches to therapy because it suggests that therapy directed toward genomic alterations may not depend on synthetic lethal approaches directed toward tumor suppressor genes, as previously suggested (2). In addition, the majority of tumors showed alterations in multiple targetable genes that are candidates for combination therapy, which is likely to be more successful than single-agent therapy. Although integrated genomic analysis should identify the most likely candidate oncogenic events, they are still candidate events until validated in functional studies. It is hoped that some of these events will prove useful in the treatment of patients with OSCC, but it is likely that many of these events will not.

Many of the targetable alterations identified in this study showed high gene expression in combination with small increases in copy number. These alterations may be clinically relevant as the downstream signaling changes could impact response to conventional or targeted therapies. Recent reports indicate that multiple genes from large regions of copy number change can have a cumulative impact on the growth of tumors (29, 30). Our analysis clarifies the genomic landscape of OSCC and paves the way for subsequent studies to address the significance of these recurring alterations.

A mitogenic signaling pathway that includes EGFR, HRAS, and PI3K was altered in more than 60% of the tumors. EGFR inhibitors have shown modest success in HNSCC (9), but the identification of numerous genomic alterations downstream of EGFR suggests that inhibition of this pathway through other targets should be pursued. PI3K signaling is the most obvious oncogenic target in the pathway that has not yet been robustly pursued for clinical treatment of HNSCC. Inhibitors of this pathway are currently in various stages of clinical development.

---

**Figure 6.** Targetable genomic alterations. Genes and frequencies are shown on the left. Approved therapeutics targeting each listed gene are shown on the right. Sample names are along the top. Only copy number events that also demonstrated high expression are shown.
The genomic landscape of OSCC is dominated by loss of tumor suppressor genes, most frequently CDKN2A and TP53, which are each lost in the majority of tumors. A surprising new candidate tumor suppressor is FAT1, which was the third most frequently inactivated gene. Like NOTCH1, FAT1 is a large transmembrane protein involved in cell-cell signaling that is cleaved at the membrane by a furin-like convertase and by γ-secretase (23, 31–33). FAT1 has been shown to control migration and invasion in OSCC (25), and recent work has linked FAT1 to Wnt signaling in glioblastoma and possibly other tumor types (24). In that study, inactivation of FAT1 was shown to promote nuclear localization of β-catenin, activation of Wnt pathway genes, and proliferation. While the Wnt pathway is important in many epithelial tumor types, disruption of this pathway has not generally been associated with OSCC (1, 34). Additional alterations in the Wnt pathway were not frequently identified in our analysis, so it is still unclear whether FAT1 functions as a tumor suppressor in OSCC by regulating the Wnt pathway. The Wnt and Notch pathways have been shown to coordinately regulate differentiation in flies and control proliferation in mouse intestines. However, in the intestines, NOTCH1 acts as an oncogene, and Wnt signaling was shown to interact with activation of NOTCH1 to promote proliferation. It is interesting to speculate that perhaps FAT1 is involved in developmental signaling with NOTCH1 to promote proliferation. It is interesting to speculate that perhaps FAT1 is a tumor suppressor in OSCC, the signaling networks may be different from those in other tissues.

Notch signaling is an even more frequently disrupted tumor suppressor than previously observed. The Notch pathway is disrupted in 66% of cases, the key genes being NOTCH1 and TP63. We do not observe any correlation or mutual exclusivity between Notch and TP63 defects, even though the two genes are known to interact functionally. We have identified alterations in four additional genes that regulate Notch signaling, highlighting the importance of Notch in OSCC carcinogenesis. In functional experiments, growth inhibition was seen with activated NOTCH1 in all cell lines tested, including some with wild-type NOTCH1 sequence (data not shown; ref. 35). These data emphasize the key role of Notch signaling in OSCC. Although Notch regulates differentiation, Notch pathway alterations were not associated with the differentiation state of the tumor, and Notch disruption did not completely prevent squamous differentiation (data not shown). It is likely that Notch signaling regulates many aspects of tumor physiology and response to treatment. It will be important to identify robust biomarkers for Notch pathway function that can be analyzed in future clinical trials.

There was a strong correlation between CASP8 mutations and HRAS mutations. HRAS-mutant tumors were generally a subset of the CASP8-mutant tumors, suggesting that CASP8 mutation is permissive for HRAS mutation. Consistent with that hypothesis, it has been shown that oncogenic HRAS sensitizes fibroblasts to TRAIL-induced apoptosis and CASP8 cleavage (36). For reasons not known, CASP8-mutant tumors also showed fewer CNAs. These data suggest that CASP8 mutations may prevent global CNAs in OSCC and other tumor types. However, this finding is contrary to the increased chromosomal instability found in a mouse model of CASP8 loss in B-cell lymphoma (37), suggesting that tissue or species-specific factors may influence the role of CASP8 in genomic stability. Interestingly, alterations to other members of the extrinsic apoptosis pathway (FADD, BIRC2, BIRC3) were not associated with fewer CNAs and may suggest that this phenotype is related to a caspase-independent function of CASP8. If inactivation of CASP8 is shown to prevent genomic instability in HNSCC, then it may indicate a novel avenue for therapeutic intervention; caspase inhibition might sensitize tumors with wild-type CASP8 to chemotherapeutics that induce genomic alterations. In addition, as the majority of HNSCC tumors have frequent CNAs, the inverse correlation with CASP8 mutation may provide an important clue for unraveling the mechanism(s) that give rise to genomic instability in OSCC.

Overall, the genome of OSCC contains many alterations, including frequent oncogenic drivers and novel candidate therapeutic targets. It will be important to incorporate genomic analysis into prospective clinical trials, as these findings will become useful only by extending them to well annotated and clinically relevant cohorts. Although the sample size described here is not large (N = 40), only the most robust findings were reported, and we expect that the majority of these results will be validated in larger cohorts. It is exciting to have identified alterations that can be used clinically as biomarkers for treatment selection or targets for new molecularly based treatments. It may soon be possible to make individualized biologically based treatment decisions that can improve the survival and/or quality of life for patients suffering from OSCC.

METHODS

Tissue Processing

Fresh-frozen surgically resected nonrecurrent tumor and matched nonmalignant adjacent tissue were obtained from consenting patients treated for HNSCC at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, TX), under an Institutional Review Board-approved protocol. Frozen tissue was embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound and cryosections from the top and middle of specimens were stained with hematoxylin and eosin before being evaluated by a pathologist for the presence of more than 60% tumor nuclei content or absence of tumor (i.e., normal). Samples that passed this criterion were completely sectioned and washed once in PBS before isolating genomic DNA using an ArchivePure DNA purification kit (5Prime).

Genomic Analysis Summary

Exome DNA was captured with Nimblegen reagents (Nimblegen) and sequenced on SOLiD or Illumina machines. SNP analysis was conducted on SNP6.0 arrays (Affymetrix) and the data were analyzed with Partek (v6.6, Partek Inc.), ASCAT (v1.0; ref. 38), GISTIC (v2.0.12; ref. 39), Circos (v0.53; ref. 40), and R software. DNA methylation was determined by using the HumanMethylation450 arrays (Illumina) and analyzed in R. Total RNA was isolated with TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and hybridized to Human exon 1.0ST arrays (Affymetrix) and Human microRNA microarrays re112.0 (Agilent). DNA data analysis was conducted in R. Additional details of the genomic analysis and integrated analysis are described in the Supplementary Methods.

Sequencing NOTCH1 and CASP8 in Cell Lines

PCR primers were designed for amplification of each exon of NOTCH1. The PCR primers were designed to include sequencing primers, and the amplified exons were sequenced by Sanger chemistry on an ABI3730 instrument as previously described (41). DNA sequencing reads were processed using SNPdetector (42).
HNSCC Cell Lines

All HNSCC cell lines used in this study were collected from the original source of the cell line or American Type Culture Collection and were short tandem repeat (STR) profiled for authentication. The assembly, characterization, and STR profiling of these cell lines is described in detail in Zhao and colleagues (15).

Western Blot Analysis

Protein lysates from the indicated cells were collected in radioimmunoassay sample buffer and quantified with the DC Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Thirty micrograms of protein was separated on 7.5% Mini-Protein TGX precast gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories) or 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% milk and probed with primary antibodies to NOTCH1 (C-20, sc6014, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), p21WAF1 (O964, Calbiochem), Caspase-8 (551243, BD Pharmingen), or β-actin (sc81178, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and secondary antibodies to rabbit or mouse immunoglobulin G linked to horseradish peroxidase (HRP; #7074 and #7076, respectively, Cell Signaling Technology), p21WAF1 (OP64, Calbiochem), Caspase-8 (551243, BD Pharmingen), or β-actin (sc81178, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and secondary antibodies to rabbit or mouse immunoglobulin G linked to horseradish peroxidase (HRP; #7074 and #7076, respectively, Cell Signaling Technologies) in 2.5% milk. HRP was detected with ECL Detection reagents (Amerham, GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Horse Race and FACS

The intracellular domain of human NOTCH1 (ICN1, a1760-2555) was cloned into the MigR1 (murine stem cell virus–based) retroviral vector that coexpresses GFP as described previously. Retroviral supernatants were prepared as described previously (43). HNSCC cell lines were infected with retroviral supernatant in the presence of polybrene. GFP fractions were measured by flow cytometry (LSRFortessa, BD Biosciences) and analyzed with Flowjo Software (TreeStar). Relative cell fraction was generated by normalizing the %GFP positive on each day to the %GFP positive on day 4.

Senescence Assay

Cells were infected with ICN-GFP or MigR1 GFP for 3 days and replated at 25,000 cells per well into 6-well plates for an additional 3 days before fixation in 2% formaldehyde/0.2% glutaraldehyde and staining with a Senescence β-galactosidase Kit from Cell Signaling.

Cell Cycle Analysis

HN31 cells were infected with either ICN1-GFP or MigR1-GFP to achieve more than 90% infection, and cells were analyzed for cell cycle distribution by propidium iodide staining 3 days postinfection. Cells were trypsinized, washed in PBS, fixed at room temperature by addition of cold 70% ethanol, and stored at 4°C before adding propidium iodide/RNase and analyzing on an iCyt Eclipse Flow Cytometer.

Orthotopic Nude Mouse Model of Oral Cavity Cancer

All animal experimentation was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. Our orthotopic nude mouse model of oral cavity cancer has been previously validated and described in the literature (44). Male athymic nude mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute. UM-SCC-47 and HN31 parental cells or cells stably expressing Notch intracellular domain (ICN), full-length Notch (NFL), or MigR1 vector control (vector) were harvested from subconfluent culture by trypsinization and washed with PBS. For CASP8 experiments, HN4 parental cells or cells stably expressing CASP8shp30 (clone V2LHS, 112730), CASP8shp31 (clone V2LHS, 112731), or GIPZ vector control (vector) were harvested from subconfluent culture by trypsinization and washed with PBS. Groups of eight to ten mice were injected into the tongues with (5 × 10^5) cells suspended in 30 μl of PBS as described previously (44). Mice were examined twice a week for 10 weeks where tumor size and weight loss were assessed and recorded. Tongue tumors were measured with microlimiters, and tumor volume calculated as (A)(B^2)/6, where A is the longest dimension of the tumor and B is the dimension of the tumor perpendicular to A. To compare the tumor growth between cell lines, the mean tumor volume of each cell line was plotted for each day calculated. Mice were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation when they lost more than 20% of their preinjection body weight. Primary tumors were resected, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Overall survival was determined by Kaplan–Meier plots and compared using log-rank statistics.
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