A Gnotobiotic Mouse Model Demonstrates That Dietary Fiber Protects against Colorectal Tumorigenesis in a Microbiota- and Butyrate-Dependent Manner


ABSTRACT

Whether dietary fiber protects against colorectal cancer is controversial because of conflicting results from human epidemiologic studies. However, these studies and mouse models of colorectal cancer have not controlled the composition of gut microbiota, which ferment fiber into short-chain fatty acids such as butyrate. Butyrate is noteworthy because it has energetic and epigenetic functions in colonocytes and tumor-suppressive properties in colorectal cancer cell lines. We used gnotobiotic mouse models colonized with wild-type or mutant strains of a butyrate-producing bacterium to demonstrate that fiber does have a potent tumor-suppressive effect but in a microbiota- and butyrate-dependent manner. Furthermore, due to the Warburg effect, butyrate was metabolized less in tumors where it accumulated and functioned as a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor to stimulate histone acetylation and affect apoptosis and cell proliferation. To support the relevance of this mechanism in human cancer, we demonstrate that butyrate and histone-acetylation levels are elevated in colorectal adenocarcinomas compared with normal colonic tissues.

SIGNIFICANCE: These results, which link diet and microbiota to a tumor-suppressive metabolite, provide insight into conflicting epidemiologic findings and suggest that probiotic/prebiotic strategies can modulate an endogenous HDAC inhibitor for anticancer chemoprevention without the adverse effects associated with synthetic HDAC inhibitors used in chemotherapy.

INTRODUCTION

Whether dietary fiber protects against colorectal cancer is highly controversial because of conflicting results from human cohort-based epidemiologic studies (1–5). These studies have been complicated by the participants’ genetic heterogeneity, differences in the composition of their gut microbiota, and the utilization of different fiber sources (6–8). How dietary fiber might protect against colorectal cancer has also not been established, but two general mechanisms have been proposed that are not mutually exclusive. First, insoluble fiber bulks luminal contents and may speed colonic...
transit to minimize the exposure of the colonic epithelium to ingested carcinogens such as nitrosamines from charred meat. Second, bacteria in the lumen of the colon ferment soluble fiber into short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and other metabolites with potentially beneficial properties. Butyrate is an abundant (up to ≥10 mmol/L) SCFA that is transported into the colonic epithelium and localizes within two subcellular compartments (9, 10). It undergoes β-oxidation inside the mitochondria and accounts for ≥70% of the energy used by normal colonocytes (11), and it also functions as a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor inside the nucleus to epigenetically regulate gene expression (12). Butyrate is a plausible candidate for tumor suppression because it inhibits cell proliferation and induces cell differentiation or apoptosis when added to tumor-derived cell lines (9, 10). However, butyrate can also have contradictory effects (13, 14), and it is crucial that we move beyond “factor dump” experiments where relatively high doses of butyrate are added to colorectal cancer cell lines. It must be demonstrated that dietary fiber and gut microbiota can modulate butyrate levels in the colonic lumen, and that this, in turn, can inhibit colorectal tumorigenesis in vivo where the colonic crypt architecture is intact and functions in the presence of stromal cells.

RESULTS

Our experiments were designed to test the hypothesis that dietary fiber protects against colorectal cancer in a microbiota- and butyrate-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S1). To control the genetics and microbiota in our experiments, we maintained a colony of BALB/c inbred mice polycladically with four commensal bacteria (a subset of the altered Schaedler flora or ASF; ref. 15) plus or minus the butyrate-producing bacterium *B. fibrisolvens* (16) in gnotobiotic isolators (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). These mice were provided low- or high-fiber (6% fructo-oligosaccharide/inulin) diets that were otherwise identical and calorically matched (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S3). As expected, mice colonized with the ASF plus *B. fibrisolvens* that were also provided high-fiber (“prebiotic”) diets (herein referred to as the experimental group) had significantly higher luminal butyrate levels than the other three groups of mice (herein referred to as the control groups) based on LC/MS measurements (Supplementary Fig. S4). This difference was not observed for acetate or propionate, which are the other two major SCFAs (Supplementary Table S1). We treated these mice with azoxymethane (AOM) and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) to induce colorectal cancer and analyzed them 5 months later (17). Following one injection of AOM and two cycles of DSS, the experimental group had significantly fewer tumors than each control group. The experimental group had a mean of one tumor per mouse, whereas the control groups had three to four tumors per mouse (Fig. 1A). These results indicate that neither *B. fibrisolvens* nor high fiber had a protective effect on their own, whereas they did have a protective effect when combined.

Next, we treated mice with five injections of AOM and three cycles of DSS, which increased the tumor incidence and multiplicity as expected (Fig. 1B). More importantly, the *B. fibrisolvens* and high-fiber diet still had a protective effect in combination (3 tumors/mouse), but not in isolation (8–11 tumors/mouse; Fig. 1B). The experimental group also had significantly smaller (Fig. 1C) and less advanced (Fig. 1D and E) tumors than any other treatment group (Fig. 1B). The tumors from the experimental and control groups had β-catenin gain-of-function mutations (Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5C) and were positive for nuclear β-catenin staining (Supplementary Fig. S6), which indicates that the mechanism of tumor initiation was due to aberrant Wnt signaling. These findings were expected on the basis of previous AOM studies and confirm that the mechanism of tumor initiation is similar to human colorectal cancer (17). For the 5 AOM/3 DSS dose regimen, we also identified squamous cell tumors derived from the surface ectoderm or glandular epithelium of the perianal region rather than the colonic mucosa (Supplementary Fig. S7A and S7B). In contrast to the colorectal tumors, the incidence, size, and histopathologic progression of the squamous tumors were not significantly different between the experimental and control groups (Supplementary Fig. S7A and S7B). Therefore, the squamous tumors, which are known to occur in the AOM/DSS model (17), served as an internal control. They demonstrate that mice from the different treatment groups received the same exposure to carcinogen, as expected, and that the tumor-suppressive effect of the fiber–microbiota–butyrate axis is specifically localized to the colonic mucosa and does not influence tumor formation outside of the lumen even at nearby sites. This dichotomy is compatible with the bioavailability of butyrate being primarily restricted to the colonic mucosa (9, 10).

To confirm that the tumor-suppressive mechanism for *B. fibrisolvens* and high fiber is due to butyrate production, we exploited a mutant *B. fibrisolvens* strain with a 0.8-kb deletion in the butyryl-CoA synthase operon (16) that produces ≤5-fold less butyrate when cultured (Supplementary Fig. S8A–S8C, ref. 19). To validate this deficiency in butyrate production, we cultured the mutant and wild-type *B. fibrisolvens* strains in media supplemented with fructo-oligosaccharide/inulin (the same fiber source provided to the mice) and observed 7-fold lower butyrate levels in the media from mutant cultures compared with wild-type cultures (Supplementary Fig. S8A–S8C). Next, we colonized BALB/c mice with ASF plus the mutant *B. fibrisolvens* strain and evaluated AOM/DSS-induced tumorigenesis. These microbiota combined with a high-fiber diet conferred an attenuated protective effect with significantly more tumors than mice colonized with the wild-type bacterium (Fig. 1B). The mutant *B. fibrisolvens* combined with a high-fiber diet also had a diminished protective effect on tumor size and progression (Fig. 1C–E). These results demonstrate that bacterial butyrate production is commensurate with the tumor-suppressive effect. As an additional control, we colonized BALB/c mice with ASF only (in the absence of wild-type or mutant *B. fibrisolvens*) and provided them a tributyrin-fortified diet, which increases colonic butyrate levels in a microbiota-independent manner (see Supplementary Fig. S3 for the formulation of this diet and the salient attributes of tributyrin and Supplementary Fig. S4 for luminal butyrate levels in these mice; ref. 20). Following the 5 AOM/3 DSS dose regimen, these mice had fewer (mean of 2) colorectal tumors than any other treatment group (Fig. 1B).
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These tumors were small and low grade, similar to the previous experimental group (Fig. 1C–E). Therefore, exogenous butyrate recapitulated the tumor-suppressive effect of wild-type B. fibrisolvens and high fiber. Taken together, these results demonstrate unequivocally that butyrate is a causative factor in the tumor-suppressive mechanism.

Our previous analysis of cell-culture models suggested that the molecular mechanism of tumor suppression might involve metabolic differences between normal and cancerous colonocytes (21, 22). Unlike normal colonocytes, which use butyrate as their primary energy source (11), colorectal cancer cells primarily rely on glucose and undergo increased glycolysis with a concomitant decrease in mitochondrial oxidative metabolism because of the Warburg effect (23). Consequently, butyrate is not metabolized inside of mitochondria to the same extent and accumulates as an HDAC inhibitor in colorectal cancer cells (22). To test the validity of this model in vivo, we confirmed that tumors dissected from our gnotobiotic mice exhibited certain characteristics of the Warburg effect, such as increased expression of lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA; Fig. 2A and B) and other markers (Supplementary Fig. S9A–S9C). Furthermore, compared with normal colonic tissue, the tumors produced increased levels of lactate (Fig. 2C), which is a glycolytic end product that is catalyzed by LDHA and is known to be elevated in cancer cells undergoing the Warburg effect. On the basis of LC/MS measurements, butyrate levels were significantly higher inside of tumors than normal colonic tissue (Fig. 2D and E). To investigate the basis for this difference, we performed flux experiments that measured butyrate oxidation. Consistent with the Warburg effect and our previous analysis of cell-culture models, butyrate oxidation was significantly diminished in tumors compared with normal colonic tissues (Supplementary Fig. S10A–S10E). We also analyzed several monocarboxylate transporters (MCT) responsible for butyrate influx, but they did not show consistent expression differences between tumors and normal colonic tissues (Supplementary Fig. S10A–S10E). These findings suggest that butyrate accumulates in tumors because of diminished oxidation rather than increased uptake.

The butyrate concentration inside tumors from mice in the experimental treatment groups was calculated to be >100 μmol/L, which is consistent with its acting as an HDAC inhibitor based on its IC_{50} (24). Therefore, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) to analyze pan-histone 3 acetylation (H3ac) levels. H3ac levels were markedly higher in tumor cells than normal adjacent colonocytes (Fig. 2F). Because the tumor cells and normal colonocytes were present in close physical proximity on the same slide,
Figure 2. Colorectal tumors exhibit characteristics of the Warburg effect, accumulate butyrate, and have increased H3ac levels. A, representative Western blot analysis of LDHA in normal colonic tissue and a colorectal tumor. β-Actin serves as a loading control. B, quantification of LDHA levels normalized to β-actin in normal colonic tissues and tumors based on Western blot data. Each histogram shows the mean ± SE based on three biologic replicates (i.e., colonic tissue and tumors from four to five separate mice) with significant differences indicated (*, \( P < 0.05 \)). C, lactate levels in normal colonic tissue and colorectal tumors based on LC/MS measurements. Results are normalized to μg of protein, and each histogram shows the mean ± SE of four to five biologic replicates (i.e., colonic tissue and tumors from four to five separate mice) with significant differences indicated (*, \( P < 0.05 \), **, \( P < 0.01 \)). D, representative LC/MS chromatograms showing butyrate levels in normal colonic tissue and a colorectal tumor. E, butyrate levels in normal colonic tissues and tumors from mice in control and experimental treatment groups. Values are based on LC/MS measurements, and each histogram shows the mean ± SE of four to five biologic replicates (i.e., colonic tissue and tumors from four to five separate mice) with significant differences indicated (*, \( P < 0.05 \), **, \( P < 0.01 \)). F, representative IHC image showing H3ac staining intensity in tumor cells and adjacent normal colonic epithelial cells. G, Western blot analysis of H3ac and total H3 levels in tumors from mice of the different treatment groups. H, quantification of Western blot data with H3ac levels normalized to total H3 in tumors from mice of each treatment group. LFD, low-fiber diet; HFD, high-fiber diet. Each histogram shows the mean ± SE based on five biologic replicates for each treatment group with significant differences indicated (*, \( P < 0.05 \), **, \( P < 0.01 \), *** \( P < 0.001 \)). I, HDAC activity levels normalized to protein levels in normal colonic tissue and tumors from control and experimental treatment groups. Each histogram shows the mean ± SE for five independent experiments with significant differences indicated (*, \( P < 0.05 \), **, \( P < 0.01 \)).

Butyrate can induce histone acetylation and expression of proapoptotic genes such as FAS and cell-cycle regulators such as p21 and p27 to stimulate apoptosis and inhibit proliferation in colorectal cancer cell lines (10). Therefore, we performed quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays and qRT-PCR to evaluate promoter H3ac levels and mRNA levels of these genes. H3ac and mRNA levels were significantly higher in tumors from experimental mice than control mice, which, in turn, were significantly higher than normal colonic tissues (Fig. 3A–F). Furthermore, cleaved caspase-3 assays and Ki-67 assays demonstrated that the experimental tumors exhibited increased apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation (Fig. 3G and H and Supplementary Fig. S11). These results provide insight into gene targets and the cell biologic basis of the tumor-suppressive effect.

To provide support for the relevance of the proposed molecular mechanism in human tumor suppression, we analyzed human colorectal adenocarcinomas and adjacent
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macroposcopically normal mucosa (see Supplementary Table S2 for clinical information). We detected significantly elevated levels of butyrate (Fig. 4A and B) and H3ac (Fig. 4C and D) in the adenocarcinomas compared with the macroscopically normal mucosa samples. Although these clinical samples were obtained from two different medical centers, there was a high level of concordance between them (Fig. 4B).

DISCUSSION

The findings presented here strongly support the hypothesis that dietary fiber protects against colorectal cancer. Our data support a general mechanism that includes microbial fermentation of fiber rather than fiber exclusively speeding colonic transit to minimize the exposure of colonocytes to ingested carcinogens. Our data also support a molecular mechanism where microbial fermentation of fiber yields butyrate, which serves as the preferred energy source of normal colonocytes and supports homeostasis (11, 25), but accumulates in cancerous colonocytes due to the Warburg effect and functions as an HDAC inhibitor to inhibit cell proliferation and stimulate apoptosis (21, 22). These general and molecular mechanisms are depicted as a final working model in Fig. 4E.
Butyrate may have additional effects independent of HDAC inhibition in tumor suppression. For example, both fiber and butyrate can be metabolized by certain clades of bacteria, and this can influence the microbiome and host metabolism (26). Butyrate is also a ligand for certain G-protein–coupled receptors (GPR) and has anti-inflammatory effects (9, 10), which include the ability to induce the differentiation and expansion of immunosuppressive regulatory T cells (Treg; refs. 27–30). However, we did not observe a significant difference in the number of Tregs (Supplementary Fig. S12A–S12E) or the abundance of associated cytokines such as IL10, which is a GPR109A target gene in the colonic epithelium and is abundant (mmol/L levels) in the lumen of the colon. In normal colonocytes, butyrate undergoes oxidative metabolism in the mitochondria to promote homeostasis. In the cancerous colonocyte, due to the Warburg effect, butyrate is metabolized to a lesser extent and accumulates in the nucleus where it functions as an HDAC inhibitor to induce apoptosis and inhibit cell proliferation. The importance of the Warburg effect in this model draws upon previous work (22). In addition to these cell-autonomous effects within the cancer cell, some of the tumor suppression could be mediated by butyrate promoting Treg differentiation and having anti-inflammatory effects in the presence of a complex gut microbiota.
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**Figure 4.** A model depicting the mechanism of the fiber–microbiota–butyrate axis in tumor suppression and evidence to support the relevance of this model to human cancer prevention. **A–D,** relevance of the model to human cancer: **A,** butyrate levels in macroscopically normal colonic tissue and colorectal adenocarcinomas from humans based on LC/MS measurements. Each histogram shows the mean ± SE from 11 biologic replicates of each with significant differences indicated (*, P < 0.05). **B,** a scatter plot of the samples from **A.** The samples were obtained from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (white, left) and Colorado State University (black, right). **C,** Western blot analysis of H3ac and total H3 levels in macroscopically normal colonic tissue and colorectal adenocarcinomas from two pairs of human samples. **D,** quantification of Western blot data with H3ac levels normalized to total H3 in macroscopically normal colonic tissue and colorectal adenocarcinomas. Each histogram shows the mean ± SE from five biologic replicates of each with significant differences indicated (*, P < 0.05). **E,** final working model. Dietary fiber is fermented by microbiota into butyrate, which is an SCFA that is abundant (mmol/L levels) in the lumen of the colon. In normal colonocytes, butyrate undergoes oxidative metabolism in the mitochondria to promote homeostasis. In the cancerous colonocyte, due to the Warburg effect, butyrate is metabolized to a lesser extent and accumulates in the nucleus where it functions as an HDAC inhibitor to induce apoptosis and inhibit cell proliferation. The importance of the Warburg effect in this model draws upon previous work (22). In addition to these cell-autonomous effects within the cancer cell, some of the tumor suppression could be mediated by butyrate promoting Treg differentiation and having anti-inflammatory effects in the presence of a complex gut microbiota.
Our gnotobiotic mouse model was polyassociated with several species of bacteria and provided a homogeneous diet that included a single type of fiber. This reductionist approach was necessary to demonstrate that fiber protects against colorectal cancer and interrogate butyrate function. However, it does not reflect the complex microbiota that exist within the human gastrointestinal tract or our more varied diet that included a single type of fiber. Other bacterial metabolites undoubtedly participate in tumor suppression but were beyond the scope of our study. Nevertheless, it is tempting to speculate that many of the conclusions drawn from our mouse model are relevant to human health, as discussed below.

As human populations have shifted away from traditional, high-fiber diets toward processed foods containing less complex carbohydrates and more refined sugars, colorectal cancer incidence has increased markedly. Yet, the link between fiber and colorectal cancer prevention has been tenuous because of conflicting results from cohort-based epidemiologic studies. By rigorously controlling genetics, the composition of gut microbiota, and other dietary factors such as fat that may mask a beneficial fiber effect, we can conclude from this study that fiber does, in fact, protect against colorectal tumorigenesis. An important aspect of this work is the central role of gut microbiota and the fermentation product butyrate. Consequently, we propose that cohort-based epidemiologic studies should be revisited and integrated with microbiome studies. We predict that if microbiome differences of participants were taken into account, it would be possible to discriminate between individuals who respond to the anticancer chemoprotective effect of fiber and those who do not respond. This would resolve some of the conflicting results from previous human studies and possibly confirm butyrate as an important molecule in human chemoprotection.

This idea is supported by several microbiome studies that have reported fewer butyrate-producing bacteria in human colorectal cancer cases than in controls, even without diet being taken into consideration (32–36). Metagenomic sequencing projects have made a number of observations regarding the microbiome and the incidence of certain cancers. However, these studies are correlative so it is difficult or impossible to know whether the microbiome differences are a cause or a consequence of the disease state. In contrast, relatively few studies have investigated the function of microbiota in gnotobiotic mouse models of cancer (37, 38).

Furthermore, most or all of these studies have focused on bacteria that promote oncogenesis by causing inflammation or genotoxicity (37, 38). In contrast, butyrate-producing bacteria confer a tumor-suppressive effect, which arguably has greater translational potential for chemoprevention via probiotics or prebiotics.

The molecular mechanism described here involves butyrate functioning as an HDAC inhibitor, which is noteworthy because synthetic HDAC inhibitors are being used as anticancer chemotherapeutic agents, with some having already received FDA approval and others being evaluated in phase III clinical trials (4). The translational implication of our model is attractive because diet (prebiotics: fiber) and possibly microbiota supplementation (probiotics: butyrate-producing bacteria) modulate the levels of an endogenous HDAC inhibitor (butyrate) for the purpose of chemoprevention. This approach has several advantages compared with the systemic delivery of synthetic HDAC inhibitors for chemotherapy (4). First, it should be easier to modulate the epigenome and transcriptome profiles of cells at an early stage of tumorigenesis; later-stage tumor cells are more likely to have accumulated mutations or epimutations that make them refractory to HDAC inhibition. Second, because the bioavailability of butyrate is primarily restricted to the colon, it will not have adverse effects in other tissues. Third, unlike synthetic HDAC inhibitors, butyrate is a naturally occurring fatty acid readily metabolized by normal cells, so it does not have adverse effects even in the colon. The ability of butyrate to specifically target tumor cells in the colon is due to the Warburg effect (22). Because cancerous colonocytes rely on glucose as their primary energy source, butyrate is not metabolized in the mitochondria to the same extent and is able to accumulate as a tumor-suppressive metabolite (analogous to the oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate) in the nucleus where it functions as an HDAC inhibitor to stimulate histone acetylation, induce apoptosis, and inhibit cell proliferation.

**METHODS**

**Mice**

BALB/c mice were maintained in isolators (Class Biologically Clean) and bred in house at the National Gnotobiotic Rodent Resource Center (NGRRC) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (Chapel Hill, NC). All mouse experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) review board at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and were performed in accordance with federal guidelines.

**Gnotobiotic Mouse Husbandry**

Food, water, and all other materials, including cages and bedding, were autoclaved and imported into the isolators using aseptic technique following standard procedures. Each diet was from Test Diet. The low-fiber diet (SSRZ; cat. no. 1813680) contained 2% cellulose, whereas the high-fiber diet (SSVL; cat. no. 1813901) contained 2% cellulose plus 6% fructo-oligosaccharide/inulin (Sigma-Aldrich; #F8052 and 12255). The tributyrin diet (5AVC; cat. no. 1814961) contained 2% cellulose plus 6% tributyrin (Sigma-Aldrich; #F222305). The initial colonization of germ-free BALB/c mice with specific bacteria (which were the only imported materials not autoclaved except filter-sterilized AOM/DSS) was performed by oral gavage following standard procedures.

**Bacteria**

Bacteria were cultured in BBL Schaedler broth containing vitamin K (cat. no. 221541) that was supplemented with 5% FBS in an anaerobic chamber (TheraForma) filled with an anaerobic mixture (Airgas; #750333 consisting of 5% CO₂ and 10% H₂ balanced with N₂) at 37°C. For experiments measuring butyrate production in culture, the Schaedler broth was supplemented with 0.5% or 5% fructo-oligosaccharide/inulin (the same additive that was used for the high-fiber mouse diet). The following bacteria were cultured: ASFS60 (Lactobacillus acidophilus), ASF 361 (Lactobacillus salivarius), ASF457 (Flexistipes phylum), and ASFS19 (Bacteroides distasonis), which were obtained from Taconic, and Butyrobacter fibrisolvens (type I, ATCC 19171; type II, ATCC 51255), which was obtained from the ATCC.
AOM/DSS

AOM (Sigma-Aldrich; #A5486) was dissolved in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg/mL and stored as aliquots at −80°C. Aliquots were subsequently thawed and diluted in sterile saline (0.9% NaCl) at a concentration of 1.25 mg/mL. This final AOM solution was then filter sterilized in a tissue-culture hood, imported into gnotobiotic isolators, and delivered by i.p. injection at a final concentration of 10 mg/kg body weight. AOM injections were performed on a weekly basis for a total of up to 5 injections. Five days after the final AOM treatment, the mice were provided drinking water containing 2.5% DSS (ICN; MW 36–50 kDa) for 5 days. The DSS-containing water was then replaced with normal drinking water for 16 days. This cycle was repeated one or two more times, resulting in either two or three DSS treatments. The DSS was prepared by dissolving it in autoclaved water followed by filter sterilization in a tissue-culture hood and importation into gnotobiotic isolators.

Western Blot Analyses

Western blot analyses were performed following standard procedures, and antibodies that were used included pan-acetyl H3 (Millipore; #06-599), total H3 (Millipore; #05-928), LDHA (Cell Signaling Technology; #3822), α-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich; #T6793), phospho-AKT (Ser473; Cell Signaling Technology; #4060), total AKT (Cell Signaling Technology; #9765), and phospho-PDH (Ser293 of E1α isoform; Calbiochem; #AP1062).

Histopathology and IHC

Swiss-rolled colons and other tissues (e.g., lymph nodes and liver) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde or 10% formalin and processed for the production of 5-μm paraffin sections. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or processed for IHC using standard procedures. Antibodies included pan-acetyl H3 (Millipore; #06-599), total H3 (Millipore; #05-928), LDHA (Cell Signaling Technology; #3822), cleaved caspase-3 (Asp175; Cell Signaling Technology; #9661), and Ki-67 (Abcam; #15580). IHC quantification was performed using ImageJ to count the number of normal colonic epithelial cells or tumor cells that were positive for cleaved caspase-3 and hematoxylin in randomly selected crypts. The cleaved caspase-3–positive cells were identified by their distinctive brown cytoplasmic staining. The number of cleaved caspase-3 cells counted per crypt was divided by the total number of hematoxylin-stained cells counted in the exact same crypt. At least 10 randomly selected normal crypts or tumorigenic crypts were quantified per animal times 5 animals per treatment group (i.e., biologic replicates). A similar approach was done for Ki-67 and H3ac except the H3ac results were normalized to total H3.

Sample Acquisition

After each mouse was sacrificed, colons were removed starting at a point immediately distal to the junction between the cecum and the ascending colon and ending at the anus. The entire colon was splayed open, and luminal contents were removed from the proximal third of the colon for LC/MS experiments. Fecal pellets were not used as proxy for luminal contents. After luminal contents were removed, colons were rinsed twice in PBS. Macroscopically normal colonic tissue and tumors were dissected for LC/MS experiments and other experiments (e.g., Western blot analyses, HDAC activity assays, ChIP, qRT-PCR, and flux experiments). Tumor dissections were performed to minimize the amount of normal adjacent tissue.

LC/MS

For detection of butyrate, samples were treated with 13C or 14C-labeled butyrate (Isotec; #292656) at a final concentration of 10 mmol/L (as an internal control to assess recovery efficiency and as a standard to calculate endogenous butyrate levels) and then homogenized in 0.1% ammonium hydroxide. Macromolecules were removed by centrifugation of lysates through 3-kDa spin-filters (Pall Corporation; cat. no. #OD003C33). Flow throughs were then analyzed for exogenous (13C) and endogenous (14C) butyrate by high-performance liquid chromatography separation with subsequent detection by an Agilent 6520 AccurateMass Q-TOF mass spectrometer operating in negative mode. Peak areas were calculated using MassHunter Workstation software. Chromatographic peaks were integrated for samples and areas were compared with peak area for standards (10 mmol/L) for each compound. For detection of other SCFAs, the procedure was the same except the appropriate 13C-labeled acetate and propionate were used as standards.

ChIP Assays

Tissues were pulverized in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle and then cross-linked in prewarmed 0.4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes at 37°C. The cross-linking reaction was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 mol/L and then sonicated with four 10-second pulses at 30% of maximum power. IP dilution buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mmol/L EDTA, 16.7 mmol/L Tris at pH 8.1, 167 mmol/L NaCl, and protease inhibitors) was added, and 5% of the volume was removed and used as input while the remainder was incubated overnight at 4°C with the appropriate antibody: pan-acetyl H3 (Millipore; #06-599), total H3 (Millipore; #05-928), or rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as a negative control. Protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were added and incubated for at least 2 hours at 4°C, and then washed and eluted according to standard procedures.

qPCR was performed using Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 7300 instrument under default conditions.
cycling conditions (95°C 15 seconds followed by 60°C 1 minute for 45°C cycles). Dissociation curves and agarose gels demonstrated a single PCR product in each case without primer dimers. Relative enrichment was determined from a standard curve of serial dilutions of input samples.

**Flux Experiments**

Approximately 1 x 10^6 cells were incubated inside Exetainer breath storage tubes (Labco Limited) in 1 mL of PBS containing 0.5 mmol/L MgSO_4_, 1.2 mmol/L Na_2HPO_4_, 0.5 mmol/L, and 2.5 mmol/L glucose for a total of 37°C. Reactions were stopped with sodium azide treatment. Butyrate oxidation was assessed by analyzing isotopic CO_2 derived from butyrate to nonisotopic CO_2 derived from glucose using a 20/20 gas isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Europa Scientific) at Metabolic Solutions. Dissolved CO_2 in solution was liberated into the tube headspace by the addition of 100 μL of saturated citric acid. The ratio of 13C to 12C (mass 45 to 44) was measured directly from the sample headspace. All samples were compared with an internal reference gas (5% CO_2, balance 75% N_2, and 20% O_2) that had been calibrated against the International standard PeeDeeBelmnite (PDB). The results were expressed as % 13C = 13CO_2/(13CO_2 + 12CO_2) and normalized to normal color. The analytic precision of the instrument is 0.0001 atom % 13C.

Fetal human colonocytes (FHC) and HCT116 cells were obtained from the ATCC and split into Seahorse 24-well cell culture plates seeded at 2 x 10^5 cells per well. The next day, the normal growth media for each cell was replaced with fatty acid oxidation media, and 2DG (final concentration of 5 mmol/L) was injected into each well. Six more OCR measurements were then made and 2DG (final concentration of 5 mmol/L) was injected into each well. Six more OCR measurements were then made. OCR was then suppressed by injecting 10% sodium azide into each well. Contribution of butyrate oxidation to OCR was determined after injection of 2DG, which inhibited glucose oxidation, and before sodium azide treatment.

**Flow Cytometry**

Colon cancer cells were isolated by incubating splayed-open colons in PBS containing 5 mmol/L EDTA at 37°C. After a 30-minute incubation while being rotated, the colon tissue was transferred to a new tube for the isolation of lamina propria cells, and the epithelial cells were pelleted and washed. Lamina propria cells were isolated by incubating the colon tissue minus the delaminated epithelial cells in prewarmed 0.5 mg/mL collagenase type IV (Worthington; #LS004186) in 1x PBS with DNase. Samples were rotated at 37°C for 20 minutes, filtered through a 40-μm cell strainer and repeated twice more. After predigest and digest steps, collected cells were immediately washed in PBS containing 2% FBS and kept at 4°C to maintain viability. The colon epithelial cells and lamina propria cells were then combined. These cells as well as single-cell suspensions from spleens were stained for flow cytometric analysis using a cocktail of phycoerythrin (PE)-Texas Red anti-mouse CD11c (clone N418) from Molecular Probes, peridinin-chlorophyll–protein complex (PerCP) anti-mouse CD45R (B6.200C5), and PE anti-mouse CD68 (H12F9), PE-Cy7 anti-mouse Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), eF450 anti-mouse CD11c (clone N418), and APC-eFluor 780 anti-mouse CD45/Leukoctye common antigen (30-F1), all from eBioscience. A separate set of reactions was used to detect Tregs, using a kit from eBioscience (#88B-8118-40). Stained samples were read on a CyAn cytometer (Dako Cytomation), and the listmode files were analyzed with the Summit Software package from Beckman-Coulter.

**Luminex-Based Cytokine Profiling**

To measure cytokine and chemokine levels, serum and colonic sections were isolated from experimental and control mice. Blood was centrifuged at 2,500 rpm at 4°C for 5 minutes, and the separated serum was collected. Thirty milligrams of colonic tissue was homogenized in 0.5 mL of PBS buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20 with protease inhibitors. Tissue homogenates were then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet debris, and the supernatant was used for cytokine/chemokine detection. The levels of cytokines and chemokines were detected in the serum using a Milliplex Mouse Cytokine/Checmoke Immunoassay (Millipore) per the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay included reagents to measure the following: Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFNγ, IL1α, IL1β, IL2, IL3, IL4, IL5, IL6, IL7, IL9, IL10, IL12p40, IL12p70, IL13, IL15, IL17, IP-10, LIF, LIX, MCP-1, M-CSF, MIG, MIP-1β, MIP-1α, MIP-2, RANTES, TNFα, and VEGF. The Milliplex protocol was altered for the colonic tissue, substituting the provided matrix solution with the buffer used for colonic homogenization. All samples were analyzed in triplicate with detection and analysis performed on a on a Bio-Plex 200 detection system (Bio-Rad).

**Human Clinical Samples**

Following Institutional Review Board (IRB) review and approval, normal and cancerous colonic tissue was obtained as frozen samples and paraffin-embedded sections from the Tissue Procurement Facility at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and Poudre Valley Hospital (Fort Collins, CO) in collaboration with Colorado State University (Fort Collins, CO). Each specimen was distributed with a unique identification number (no patient identifying information was distributed) in accordance with patient privacy and confidentiality guidelines.

**Statistical Analysis**

Supplementary Table S3 lists the statistical test used for each figure. In experiments where a hypothesis was tested by comparing two groups of samples, a t-test was used to determine significant differences. For more than two groups of samples, ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons. When the data did not follow a normal distribution, a Kruskal–Wallis test was used. For more than two groups of samples, a two-tailed test was used to determine significant differences. For more than two groups of samples, a two-tailed test was used to determine significant differences. For more than two groups of samples, a two-tailed test was used to determine significant differences.
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