Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Mutations Confer Dasatinib Hypersensitivity and SRC Dependence in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma
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Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) is an aggressive liver bile duct malignancy exhibiting frequent isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH1/IDH2) mutations. Through a high-throughput drug screen of a large panel of cancer cell lines, including 17 biliary tract cancers, we found that IDH mutant (IDHm) ICC cells demonstrate a striking response to the multikinase inhibitor dasatinib, with the highest sensitivity among 682 solid tumor cell lines. Using unbiased proteomics to capture the activated kinome and CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing to introduce dasatinib-resistant “gatekeeper” mutant kinases, we identified SRC as a critical dasatinib target in IDHm ICC. Importantly, dasatinib-treated IDHm xenografts exhibited pronounced apoptosis and tumor regression. Our results show that IDHm ICC cells have a unique dependency on SRC and suggest that dasatinib may have therapeutic benefit against IDHm ICC. Moreover, these proteomic and genome-editing strategies provide a systematic and broadly applicable approach to define targets of kinase inhibitors underlying drug responsiveness.

SIGNIFICANCE: IDH mutations define a distinct subtype of ICC, a malignancy that is largely refractory to current therapies. Our work demonstrates that IDHm ICC cells are hypersensitive to dasatinib and critically dependent on SRC activity for survival and proliferation, pointing to new therapeutic strategies against these cancers. Cancer Discov; 6(7); 727–39. ©2016 AACR.
INTRODUCTION

Biliary tract cancers (BTC) include a spectrum of invasive adenocarcinomas encompassing both cholangiocarcinoma arising in the intrahepatic, perihilar, or distal biliary tree, and carcinoma arising from the gallbladder (1). As a subset of BTCs, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) is the second most common type of primary liver tumor, and has been rising in incidence worldwide for the past three decades (2). The reported incidence of ICC in the United States has risen from 0.44 per 100,000 in 1973 to 1.18 in 2012 (3), although the actual rate is likely significantly higher, as recent molecular studies demonstrate that “carcinomas of unknown primary” are most commonly biliary in origin (4, 5). Despite the current standard chemotherapy with gemcitabine/cisplatin combination for patients with unresectable or metastatic BTCs, the median survival time remains less than 1 year (6), and there are no standard treatments for patients after progression on this regimen.

Recent work has provided a detailed view of the genetics of ICC (7–14), revealing that specific gain-of-function hotspot mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 (IDH1/IDH2) are among the most common genetic lesions in ICC (present in ~18%–37% of ICC cases in North America and Europe). These mutations occur within the isocitrate binding site of IDH1 (R132) or IDH2 (R172, R140) and cause altered enzymatic function, leading to the production of R(-)-2-hydroxylglutarate (2-HG), a proposed “oncometabolite” (15). 2-HG inhibits members of the family of α-ketoglutarate–dependent dioxygenase enzymes, many of which function as epigenetic modifiers, resulting in genome-wide changes in the landscape of DNA and histone methylation marks (16–18). Mutant IDH impairs differentiation of a number of cell lineages in a 2-HG–dependent manner. In the mouse liver, mutant IDH blocks adult liver progenitor cells from undergoing hepatocyte differentiation as an early event in ICC pathogenesis (19, 20). Accordingly, the IDH mutant (IDHm) subset of ICC has a distinct transcriptional signature compared to IDH wild-type (WT) tumors, characterized by enrichment of hepatic stem cell genes (19, 20).

There is a great deal of interest in the pharmacologic targeting of the mutant IDH enzyme and evidence of highly significant responses in patients with acute myeloid leukemia treated with an IDH2 inhibitor (21). In advanced ICC, the role for mutant IDH remains unclear. Recently reported results from the ongoing phase I study of AG-120, the first-in-class IDH1 inhibitor, have demonstrated the potential for IDH inhibition to promote transient stabilization of disease in a subset of patients with IDHm ICC (22); however, alternative or combinatorial strategies may be needed for durable remissions. Beyond inhibition of the mutant IDH enzyme, we hypothesized that the widespread changes in cell differentiation state, cell metabolism, and epigenetic control provoked by IDH mutations (15) may confer additional vulnerabilities that can be targeted (23). We utilized a large-scale high-throughput drug screen to uncover such synthetic lethal therapeutic interactions. Our results reveal that IDHm ICC represents a distinct subtype of ICC with a unique molecular signature and drug response profile. Most significantly, this approach revealed that IDHm cells were highly responsive to dasatinib, exhibiting the greatest sensitivity to this drug among all 682 solid tumor cell lines tested, which we demonstrated was due to a critical dependency on SRC signaling. Importantly, this potency corresponded to levels and duration of exposure that are readily attainable in the clinic, and, accordingly, dasatinib caused rapid and widespread cell death in IDHm patient-derived xenografts (PDX). Thus, we have identified a novel and dramatic therapeutic vulnerability conferred by mutant IDH in ICC that has immediate translational potential.

RESULTS

We assembled a collection of 17 BTC cell lines representing ICC, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ECC), and gallbladder cancer (GBC). Sequencing analysis identified two ICC cell lines with IDH1 mutations: RBE (R132S) and SNU-1079 (R132C). Neither cell line demonstrated specific sensitivity to the mutant IDH1 inhibitor AGI-5027, compared with IDH WT ICC cell lines under normal in vitro growth conditions, despite effective reduction in 2-HG levels (Supplementary Fig. S1A–B). In order to identify alternative therapeutic strategies in this ICC subset, we subjected our BTC cell line collection to a high-throughput drug screen with 122 FDA-approved drugs or other clinically relevant compounds (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Table S1). By quantifying the relative response to compounds targeting a wide range of pathways, this screen also allows us to generate a unique and functionally relevant drug-sensitivity profile for each BTC cell line.

A broad range of responses to the different agents was observed across the cell line collection, with specific activity profiles for different BTC subtypes (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Table S1). Notably, the two IDHm ICC cell lines segregated together in unbiased clustering analysis and apart from all the other BTC cell lines in the screen (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. S2). Across the panel of drugs screened, the IDHm ICC lines were marked outliers in their response to the multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) dasatinib (Fig. 1C). Most strikingly, when compared with a larger panel of 684 solid tumor cell lines screened in parallel, the IDHm ICCs ranked first and second in sensitivity (Fig. 1D, x-axis; compare red dots and gray dots; Supplementary Table S2). The sensitivity of IDHm ICC was also among the top 8th percentile when compared to 201 hematopoietic cancer cell lines, with the small set of more responsive lines enriched for chronic myelogenous leukemias (CML) harboring BCR–ABL translocations, in keeping with the inhibition of ABL activity by dasatinib and the highly effective clinical use of this drug in the treatment of translocation-positive CML (Fig. 1D; Supplementary Table S2, refs. 24, 25). Both IDHm ICC lines also demonstrated outlier sensitivity to saracatinib, a structurally unrelated TKI with an overlapping target profile (26, 27), suggesting a common mechanism of action (Fig. 1D, y-axis; Supplementary Table S2). Thus, IDHm ICC cells show exceptional responsiveness to these inhibitors at a dose range suggesting potential clinical relevance.

We validated these findings in scaled-up proliferation assays across our set of ICC cell lines and included the immortalized human cholangiocyte line MMNK-1 as an additional reference. These studies confirmed that both RBE and SNU-1079 cells were highly sensitive to dasatinib (IC50 of 1 nmol/L and
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Figure 1. IDHm ICC cells are hypersensitive to dasatinib. A, schematic of the high-throughput drug screen protocol. 15 IDH WT BTC lines and 2 IDH1 mutant BTC lines were screened across 122 approved or advanced clinical compounds at nine different doses. Viability was quantified at 72 hours and the IC50 estimated for each compound and cell line. B, heat map illustrating the median-centered Ln(IC50) of 17 BTC cell lines screened across 122 clinically relevant compounds. Note that the two IDHm ICC lines segregate together in unbiased hierarchical clustering. C, relative sensitivity (y-axis natural log scale, 0 = median Ln(IC50) across all BTC tested) of two IDHm ICC lines to 122 individual drugs (ranked by average sensitivity of IDHm ICC, x-axis). Dasatinib demonstrates the greatest selective activity against IDHm ICC among drugs screened. D, sensitivity of 885 cancer cell lines to dasatinib (x-axis) and saracatinib (y-axis), each represented by an individual dot. IDHm ICC lines RBE (IDH1 R132S) and SNU-1079 (IDH1 R132C) are the two larger red dots. IDHm non-BTC cell lines HT1080 (IDH1 R132C) and COR-L105 (IDH1 R132C) are represented by purple dots. Drug response is presented as the natural logarithm of the IC50 in μmol/L.
7 nmol/L, respectively) compared to a panel of other human ICC cell lines (IC_{50} range, 29–562 nmol/L) and MMNK-1 cells (IC_{50} 776 nmol/L; Fig. 2A, first panel, and Supplementary Table S3). We also confirmed the increased sensitivity of RBE and SNU-1079 cells to saracatinib relative to the IDH WT lines (Fig. 2A). These responses did not reflect a general hypersensitivity to TKIs, because two other multi-TKIs with overlapping but distinct target profiles, bosutinib and ponatinib, were similarly potent against IDH WT and IDHm ICC cell lines (Fig. 2A). To extend these findings, we generated a set of novel low-passage human ICC cell lines from resected tumor specimens, which included an additional line harboring an endogenous IDH1 R132V mutation (designated ICC5). As with our established cell lines, ICC5 cells were highly and specifically responsive to dasatinib, with an IC_{50} of ~1 nmol/L versus 175 nmol/L and 87 nmol/L in the IDH WT ICC1 and ICC2 lines, respectively (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Table S3). Moreover, ICC5 showed sensitivity profiles that were comparable to our established IDHm ICC lines across each of the other three TKIs, strongly suggesting that dasatinib and saracatinib target a common conserved dependency in this ICC subset (Fig. 2B).

Importantly, dasatinib induced rapid cell death specifically in IDHm ICC cell lines, as assessed by crystal violet staining and cleaved caspase-3 activity assays at 24 hours (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B). This pronounced sensitivity to dasatinib was not a general feature of IDHm cancers across different tissues, because cell lines derived from IDHm chondrosarcoma or lung adenocarcinoma (HT-1080, SW-1353, and COR-L105) were all relatively resistant when compared to IDHm ICC cells (IC_{50} = 1,578 nmol/L, 120.8 nmol/L, and 43.5 nmol/L, respectively; Supplementary Fig. S3C). Along these lines, IDHm ICC did not show differential sensitivity to agents reported to be selectively toxic to IDHm cancer cells from other tissues, including the BCL2 inhibitor ABT-199 and the nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT) inhibitor FR866, which were observed to have enhanced activity against IDHm leukemia and glioma, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3D and S3E; refs. 28, 29). Thus, our data indicate that dasatinib has potential to specifically affect interactions with IDH mutations in ICC, and that IDHm status is associated with distinct vulnerabilities in different cancer types.

The potency of dasatinib in patients with BCR–ABL-driven CML relates to rapid induction of apoptosis, because this drug has a relatively short serum half-life (~4–6 hours; refs. 23, 24). Thus, we next tested whether brief exposure to physiologically attainable concentrations of dasatinib induces lasting effects on IDHm ICC cells. SNU-1079 and MMNK-1 control cells were exposed to 100 nmol/L dasatinib for 4 hours and then switched to drug-free media for 48 hours. Remarkably, this transient treatment induced profound lethality in IDHm ICC cells, with very few cells remaining after 48 hours (Supplementary Fig. S3F). We then extended our analysis of the correlation between IDH status and drug-sensitivity profiles by comparing cell lines derived from genetically engineered mouse models of ICC harboring IDH2 R172K and KRAS G12D mutations (SS49 cells) or KRAS G12D mutation and p53 deletion (425 and S37 cells; refs. 28, 29). The IDHm ICC SS49 cells were highly sensitive to dasatinib (IC_{50} = 3.6 nmol/L, vs. > 100 nmol/L in 425 and S37 cells) and, to a lesser extent, saracatinib, whereas the effects of bosutinib and ponatinib were comparable between genotypes, in line with the effects seen in human ICC cells (Fig. 2C).

SS49 cells form robust tumors upon subcutaneous implantation in SCID mice, enabling us to test the sensitivity of IDHm ICCs in vivo. Treatment with dasatinib (50 mg/kg daily) was initiated once tumors reached a volume of ~125 mm³. Notably, dasatinib–treated tumors demonstrated rapid and sustained remission through 2 weeks of treatment (Fig. 2D). Histologic analysis of tumors harvested after 2 days of dasatinib treatment revealed widespread necrotic tissue and activation of the apoptotic marker cleaved caspase-3, whereas vehicle-treated tumors were composed exclusively of viable ductal epithelium and stroma (Fig. 2E). These effects were progressive, because residual tumors harvested after 14 days of treatment exhibited increasing areas of hyalinized and necrotic tissue (Fig. 2E). Finally, we developed a human PDX model (SS110) from a resected ICC harboring an IDH1 R132C mutation. Tumor fragments were passaged subcutaneously in immunocompromised mice and never adapted to tissue culture conditions. Established SS110 tumors (passage 2) were allowed to reach ~900 mm³ and then treated with dasatinib for 7 days. Similar to our murine xenograft model, these PDX tumors responded by undergoing rapid and widespread necrosis (Fig. 2F). By contrast, dasatinib had only minimal effects on the growth of an IDH WT ICC PDX (Supplementary Fig. S3G and S3H). Thus, IDHm ICC cells demonstrate pronounced sensitivity to clinically relevant doses of dasatinib both in vitro and in vivo.

To gain insight into the mechanism underlying dasatinib sensitivity, we examined the impact of dasatinib on major oncogenic pathways in IDH WT and mutant ICC cells over a time course of treatment. A series of key signaling networks, including the MAPK pathway (pERK1/2), the JAK–STAT pathway (pSTAT3), and pro/antiapoptotic proteins (BCL2, MCL1, BIM, and PUMA), were all completely unaffected by cytotoxic concentrations of dasatinib over 6 hours of exposure (Fig. 3A; data not shown). By contrast, markers of mTORC1 activation (p-p70S6K and pS6) were potential inhibited between 1 and 6 hours of treatment exclusively in IDHm cells, suggesting a genotype specificity of pathways downstream of dasatinib-targeted kinases in ICC (Fig. 3A). Correspondingly, inhibition of mTOR with low concentrations of Torin 1 (5-25 nmol/L) effectively reduced p-p70S6K and pS6 levels and slowed cell proliferation of IDHm and IDH WT ICC cells (Supplementary Fig. S4A–B), indicating that mTOR signaling supports the growth of all ICCs, and suggesting that specific control of mTORC1 downstream of dasatinib-targeted kinases contributes to the dasatinib sensitivity of IDHm cells.

We subsequently wished to determine the direct target(s) underlying the effect of dasatinib in IDHm ICC cells among the >40 kinases that are known to be inhibited by this drug at the dose range used in our studies (26, 31). First, we used a multiplexed inhibitor bead (MIB) column strategy in order to generate a comprehensive and unbiased list of active kinases that are inhibited by dasatinib in IDHm ICC cells (32, 33). In this approach, activated kinases in cell lysates are identified through their preferential binding to a column containing 12 kinase inhibitors coupled to sepharose beads. This allows broad capture of active kinases in untreated cells, and
Figure 2. In vitro and in vivo hypersensitivity of IDHm ICC to dasatinib. A–C, proliferation curves of established human (A), novel human (B), and murine (C) IDHm (red) and WT (black) ICC lines and MMNK-1 cells treated with increasing doses of the TKIs dasatinib, saracatinib, bosutinib, and ponatinib. D and E, tumors arising from subcutaneously implanted murine IDHm ICC (SS49) cells were treated with either vehicle control or dasatinib 50 mg/kg daily by oral gavage. D, serial tumor size measurements. E, histologic analysis and immunostaining at the indicated time points revealed that dasatinib treatment causes widespread necrosis and activation of apoptotic markers. Top, hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain; bottom, immunohistochemistry for cleaved caspase-3; inset: quantification of % necrotic tumor for vehicle (n = 3) or dasatinib treatment (n = 7 at 2 days, n = 5 at 14 days). Scale bars, 50 μm. F, histologic analysis (H&E) of an IDH1 R132C ICC PDX treated with vehicle control or dasatinib 50 mg/kg daily by oral gavage for seven days. Right, quantification of the percentage of necrotic tumor for vehicle (n = 5) and dasatinib treatment (n = 5). Scale bars, 1 mm (low-power images) or 20 μm (high-power images). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001.
was quantified by MIB followed by MS. We identified six
for 1 hour, and the relative abundance of each active kinase
characterize on-target effects in the active kinome, IDHm or
quantification of the targets of dasatinib by failure of the MIB
kinases are identified by mass spectroscopy (MS; Fig. 3B). To
identify key targets among those identified by the MIB
method, we then turned to a genetic approach. The capacity
of dasatinib to inhibit its targets is in part due to the insertion
of the inhibitor in a hydrophobic pocket at the back of the
ATP binding site. A threonine residue that allows access to this
pocket has been designated as a “gatekeeper” (34) whose mutation
to a more bulky residue, such as isoleucine, leads to loss
of inhibitor binding without affecting normal kinase activity
(35). We therefore used CRISPR/Cas9 technology (36, 37) to
screen for gatekeeper mutations that could rescue IDHm ICC
cells from dasatinib-induced cytotoxicity. SNU-1709 cells were
transfected with Cas9, a guide RNA targeting the endogenous
targets of dasatinib by failure of the MIB column to capture kinases bound by dasatinib. After elution, kinases are identified by mass spectroscopy (MS; Fig. 3B). To characterize on-target effects in the active kinome, IDHm or WT ICC cells were treated with dasatinib or DMSO control for 1 hour, and the relative abundance of each active kinase was quantified by MIB followed by MS. We identified six kinases that were active at baseline and inhibited 75% or more in both IDHm ICC lines treated with dasatinib (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Table S4). All of these kinases—SRC, YES1, LYN, DDR1, ABL1, and ABL2—are known targets of dasatinib with IC₅₀ values < 1 nmol/L in cell-free assays (26).

To identify key targets among those identified by the MIB method, we then turned to a genetic approach. The capacity of dasatinib to inhibit its targets is in part due to the insertion of the inhibitor in a hydrophobic pocket at the back of the ATP binding site. A threonine residue that allows access to this pocket has been designated as a “gatekeeper” (34) whose mutation to a more bulky residue, such as isoleucine, leads to loss of inhibitor binding without affecting normal kinase activity (35). We therefore used CRISPR/Cas9 technology (36, 37) to screen for gatekeeper mutations that could rescue IDHm ICC cells from dasatinib-induced cytotoxicity. SNU-1709 cells were transfected with Cas9, a guide RNA targeting the endogenous
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**DISCUSSION**

ICC is a highly lethal malignancy with limited therapeutic options and no effective screening or prevention strategies. The discovery of frequent IDH mutations in ICC as well as in other cancers has led to great enthusiasm for both unraveling their unusual effects on cancer cell biology and interrogating the mutant enzymes as drug targets. The development of potent, specific, and nontoxic mutant IDH inhibitors and their effective deployment as single agents in IDHm leukemia represent important advances in oncology (21). Additionally, recent experimental studies have highlighted other potential synthetic lethal vulnerabilities in different IDHm cancers involving targeting the BET domain family of chromatin regulators, the BCL2 antiapoptotic protein, and the mitochondrial NADH salvage pathway enzyme NAMPT (28, 29, 38). Early preclinical work and clinical trials data indicate that mutant IDH inhibition may provide benefit in solid tumors, although the effects appear more modest than those seen in leukemia (22). Likewise, we did not observe outlier sensitivity in our human IDHm ICC cell lines to inhibitors of BCL2, NAMPT, or BET proteins (Supplementary Fig. S3D and S3E and data not shown), suggesting that such vulnerabilities may be both genotype- and cancer-specific.

Our studies were centered on utilizing an unbiased approach to identify FDA-approved or advanced clinical compounds that are effective against IDHm ICC. In addition to their therapeutic potential, these drugs can serve as functional probes to expose critical growth and survival pathways. However, the identification of the specific targets involved in the biological response to these compounds is often a significant challenge. In the case of promiscuous multi-kinase inhibitors, such as dasatinib, it can be particularly difficult to establish key targets mediating cytotoxicity when specific kinase driver mutations are absent. To address this, we used a comprehensive approach, using an MIB column strategy to generate an unbiased list of likely targets, combined with CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing to render the endogenous kinases resistant to dasatinib binding. These studies revealed that IDHm ICCs have a pronounced and unexpected dependency on SRC signaling for cell growth and survival. We show that IDHm ICCs are profound outliers in their responsiveness to dasatinib, and that SRC gatekeeper mutants confer almost complete protection of these cells. Notably, although it is recognized as the first known oncogene, there are surprisingly few examples of human cancers where endogenous SRC has been established to be critical for survival (39). These findings suggest a clinical path forward for the use of dasatinib or other SRC inhibitors in the treatment of IDHm ICC. Moreover, the methods used here provide a framework for the systematic identification of critical targets of kinase inhibitors in sensitive cancer cell lines.

Understanding the basis of the specific dasatinib hypersensitivity of IDHm ICC remains an important outstanding question. This effect does not appear to be associated with differences in overall SRC activity, because levels of SRC expression and activity did not correlate with dasatinib sensitivity or IDH status. In particular, SRC kinase activity was comparable in IDH WT and mutant cell lines (data not shown), and IDH WT and mutant ICCs in The Cancer Genome Atlas database show similar SRC mRNA levels (data not shown). Nevertheless, there are marked distinctions in the downstream pathways controlled by SRC between IDHm and WT ICC (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S3C). It is noteworthy that all IDHm ICC models evaluated, including both human and murine cells harboring either IDH1 or IDH2 mutations, demonstrated a similar level of sensitivity to dasatinib. Yet, expression of mutant IDH1 alone is not sufficient to confer this response, as IDHm cells from other solid tumors (lung cancer and chondrosarcoma) had 5- to 100-fold higher IC50 values than IDHm ICC (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Moreover, ectopic expression of mutant IDH only marginally increased the sensitivity of WT ICC cells (Supplementary Fig. S6A–C). Thus, IDH mutations confer dasatinib hypersensitivity when present during ICC pathogenesis, but not when expressed exogenously in established tumors, which may reflect the unique selective pressures induced by these mutations or the 2-HG oncometabolite during tumorigenesis. Accordingly, ICC tumors harboring endogenous IDH mutations define a distinct subtype of ICC, with a characteristic transcriptional and epigenetic profile (18, 20).
Figure 4. SRC is a critical dasatinib target in IDHm ICC. A, schematic for the introduction of “gatekeeper” mutants into the endogenous loci encoding dasatinib targets in IDHm ICC cells. Plasmids containing Cas9 and a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting each individual kinase were cotransfected with a donor oligonucleotide encoding the gatekeeper mutation for each kinase. The gatekeeper mutation prevents the endogenously expressed kinase from binding to and being inhibited by dasatinib, thus allowing dasatinib targets to remain active. Cells were then plated at confluence and treated with dasatinib 50 nmol/L for 30 days in a 6-well plate. B, crystal violet staining of viable cells treated as in A, demonstrating that introduction of the SRC T341I gatekeeper mutation rescues SNU-1079 cells from dasatinib-induced cytotoxicity. C, crystal violet stain of SNU-1079 parental cells and cells harboring an endogenous SRC T341I mutation following treatment with increasing doses of dasatinib or DMSO control (0 nmol/L) for 24 hours. D, caspase-3/7 activity of HuCCT1 cells, SNU-1079 parental cells, and SNU-1079 SRC T341I cells treated with dasatinib 100 nmol/L for 24 hours relative to DMSO control. **, P < 0.01. E, dasatinib treatment (50 nmol/L) for 2 hours causes loss of pSRC (Tyr416) in parental SNU-1079 cells but not in SNU-1079 SRC T341I cells, as shown by immunoblot of insoluble fractions. F, proliferation curves of RBE parental (black) or SRC T341I (red) treated with increasing doses of dasatinib, saracatinib, bosutinib, and ponatinib. G, immunoblot of lysates from RBE parental and SRC T341I cells showing that the SRC gatekeeper mutation rescues p-p70 S6 kinase (Thr389) and pS6 (Ser235/236) levels in dasatinib-treated cells.
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Rigorous preclinical and clinical studies will be required to determine the optimal strategy to exploit SRC inhibition in patients with IDHm ICC. As there is currently no standard second-line therapy, we have initiated a clinical trial to investigate the safety and efficacy of dasatinib in patients with IDHm ICC who have progressed on chemotherapy. In addition, dasatinib could be used as an “anchor” for exploring combinatorial strategies in IDHm ICC. The possible synergy of dasatinib with mutant IDH inhibitors, for instance, remains an active area of investigation. Unfortunately, our preliminary studies suggest that this combination may be antagonistic in some tumors, which implies a potential role for 2-HG or mutant IDH enzymatic activity in maintaining dasatinib hypersensitivity (data not shown). Thus, an unbiased approach utilizing high-throughput combination drug screens may be needed to identify agents that synergize with dasatinib to further inhibit growth or enhance cell death in IDHm ICC.

Beyond targeting SRC in IDHm ICC, our approach provides a proof of principle for the use of high-throughput drug screening to identify novel drug efficacies in molecular subsets of BTC. In this regard, recent genetic studies have revealed a remarkable genetic heterogeneity in these diseases, with numerous recurrent mutations in epigenetic modifiers, receptor tyrosine kinases, and tumor suppressor pathways (7–14). Here, we provide a database of the relative sensitivities of 17 BTC cell lines to 122 approved or advanced clinical compounds as a resource (Supplementary Table S1), which may be used as a basis for additional translational studies linking genomic biomarkers to drug sensitivities.

METHODS

Cell Lines

Cell lines were obtained from the following sources: DSMZ (EGI-1), Riken Bioresource Center (HuCCT1, G-415, RBE, SSP-25, TFK-1, TGBCl14TKB, YSCCC, TKKK, HuH-28), Korean Cell Line Bank (SNU-245, SNU-308, SNU-478, SNU-869, SNU-1079, SNU-1196), ATCC (HT-1080, SW-1353), and ECACC (COR-L105). CC-LP-1 and CC-SW-1 were kind gifts from Dr. P.J. Bosma (Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), SG231 from Dr. A.J. Demetris (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA), MNNK-1 from Dr. J. Luyendyk (University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS), and HKGZ-CC from Drs. X.Y. Guan and S. Ma (The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, P.R. China). All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR) DNA profiling for cell line bank from which they were obtained. Otherwise, CC-LP-1, CC-SW-1, SG231, HKGZ-CC, MNNK-1, ICC1, ICC2, and ICC5 were authenticated by STR DNA profiling through ATCC between December 2015 and March 2016. Additional information regarding culture conditions for all BTC cell lines is described in Supplementary Table S5. Information about cell lines used in the large cell line screen of dasatinib and saracatinib can be found in the High-Throughput Drug Screen section. Cell lines were grown at 37°C unless otherwise indicated. Cells were grown in RPMI or DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin, and maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO2. Cell lines were propagated in these two media in order to minimize the potential effect of varying the media on sensitivity to therapeutic compounds in our assay, and to facilitate high-throughput screening. To exclude cross-contaminated or synonymous lines, a panel of 92 SNPs was profiled for each cell line (Sequenom) and a pairwise comparison score was calculated. In addition, STR analysis (AmpFISTR Identifier; Applied Biosystems) was performed and matched to an existing STR profile generated by the providing repository. More information on the cell lines screened, including their SNP and STR profiles, is available on the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer project website (41). All drugs were sourced from Selleck Chemicals or provided by the laboratory of Nathanael Gray (Harvard Medical School) after stringent quality control. This screen did not include AGI-5027 or other mutant IDH inhibitors. Briefly, cells were seeded at variable density to ensure optimal proliferation during the assay. Drugs were added to the cells the day after seeding in a 9-dose series with doses 2-fold apart covering a range of 256-fold. Concentrations were chosen as possible based on known in cell targeting to minimize off-target effects. Viability was determined using either Syto60 or resazurin after 3 days of drug exposure as previously described (34). Figures 1B–C displaying drug response across cell lines were prepared using GENEd (42).

Proliferation Assays

Cells were plated in 96-well plates (1,000 cells/well) in culture medium. The following day, increasing doses of either AGI-5027

122) for murine lines or RPMI supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine (Gibco, #2530-081), 1% MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (Gibco, #11140-050), 1% Sodium Pyruvate (Gibco, #11360-070), 0.5% penicillin/streptomycin, 10 μg/mL gentamicin (Gibco, #15710-064), and 0.2 Units/mL human recombinant insulin (Gibco, #12585-014) for human lines and seeded on plates coated with rat tail collagen (BD Biosciences). Cells were passaged by trypsinization, adapted to RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (human lines) and transferred to uncoated tissue-culture plates prior to proliferation assays. All studies were done on cells cultivated for fewer than ten passages.

Mice and Xenograft Experiments

Mice were housed in pathogen-free animal facilities. All experiments were conducted under protocol 2005N000148 approved by the Subcommittee on Research Animal Care at Massachusetts General Hospital. For murine SS49 xenografts, 1 × 106 cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of 6- to 8-week-old female CB17/Ilcr/Prkdcscid/Iscrc mice (561; Charles River). When tumors reached ∼125 mm3, mice were treated with either vehicle control or dasatinib 50 mg/kg daily by oral gavage. Tumor size was measured daily with a digital caliper. To develop an IDHm human PDX, we obtained tissue from a fresh resection specimen from a patient with an IDH1 R132C mutant ICC tumor, per our IRB-approved protocol (DFCI, #13-162). The tissue was rinsed in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution and cut into 0.3–0.5-mm3 pieces with sterile razor blades. These tumor pieces were implanted subcutaneously into 6- to 8-week-old female NSG mice (NOD.Cg-FrkdscidIl2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ, 00557, The Jackson Laboratory). Upon reaching ∼900 mm3, mice were randomized to either vehicle control or dasatinib 50 mg/kg daily by oral gavage for 7 days prior to harvest.

High-Throughput Drug Screen

High-throughput drug screening and sensitivity modeling (curve fitting and IC50 estimation) was performed essentially as described previously (40). All cell lines were sourced from commercial vendors except as indicated. Cells were grown in RPMI or DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 5% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin, and maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere at 5% CO2. Cell lines were propagated in these two media in order to minimize the potential effect of varying the media on sensitivity to therapeutic compounds in our assay, and to facilitate high-throughput screening. To exclude cross-contaminated or synonymous lines, a panel of 92 SNPs was profiled for each cell line (Sequenom) and a pairwise comparison score was calculated. In addition, STR analysis (AmpFISTR Identifier; Applied Biosystems) was performed and matched to an existing STR profile generated by the providing repository. More information on the cell lines screened, including their SNP and STR profiles, is available on the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer project website (41). All drugs were sourced from Selleck Chemicals or provided by the laboratory of Nathanael Gray (Harvard Medical School) after stringent quality control. This screen did not include AGI-5027 or other mutant IDH inhibitors. Briefly, cells were seeded at variable density to ensure optimal proliferation during the assay. Drugs were added to the cells the day after seeding in a 9-dose series with doses 2-fold apart covering a range of 256-fold. Concentrations were chosen as possible based on known in cell targeting to minimize off-target effects. Viability was determined using either Syto60 or resazurin after 3 days of drug exposure as previously described (34). Figures 1B–C displaying drug response across the ICC lines were prepared using GENEd (42).
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(ML309, a gift from Agios Pharmaceuticals; ref. 43), dasatinib (S1021; Selleck Chemicals), saracatinib (S1086; Selleck Chemicals), bosutinib (S1014; Selleck Chemicals), ponatinib (S1490; Selleck Chemicals), Torin 1 (S2827; Selleck Chemicals), FK866 (S2799; Selleck Chemicals) or DMSO control (BP231-100; Fisher Scientific) were added, and the cells were allowed to grow until DMSO-treated wells reached confluency (5–7 days). To quantitate viable cells, MTT (M-6494; Thermofisher Scientific) was added to the culture media at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. Formazan crystals were solubilized with 100 μL/well of DMSO and absorbance was read at 490 nm and normalized to DMSO control. MTT proliferation assays were performed in duplicate, and data are represented as mean ± SEM among three independent experiments unless otherwise indicated in the figure legend.

**Constructs and Viral Infection**

Human IDH1R132C, IDH2R172K, and pMSCV-blast constructs were described previously (20). The following lentiviral plasmids were used: Human shSRC #1 (TRCN0000195339) target sequence: 5′-CATCATCTGAGGAGGACACAAATTC-3′; shSRC #2 (TRCN0000199186) target sequence: 5′-CCCTCTGAGTGCCTCAGAACAAGTACG-3′; shSRC #3 (TRCN000038150) target sequence: 5′-GACAGACCTGTCCTCTTCAAGAATT-3′. pLKO.1 shRNA with target sequence 5′-GCAAAGCTGACCCTAAGTT-3′ was used as a negative control. Viral particles containing the above-mentioned plasmids were synthesized using either lentiviral (pCMV-dR8.91) or retroviral (pCL-ECO) packaging plasmids containing the above-mentioned plasmids were synthesized using either lentiviral (pCMV-dR8.91) or retroviral (pCL-ECO) packaging plasmids and the cells were sequenced to identify and account for any cell-type–specific polymorphisms. To do so, the genomic sequence flanking the intended genome-editing alteration was amplified using Phusion Hot Start Flex DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S7. The resulting PCR amplicons were cloned using the Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen), transformed into E. coli XL-1 blue competent cells, and amplicons were cloned using the Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen), transformed into E. coli XL-1 blue competent cells, and the desired change. Oligonucleotides corresponding to the spacer between the desired gatekeeper mutation, as well as nonsynonymous changes to prevent recleavage of the corrected allele (Supplementary Table S7). Transfection conditions for both RBE and SNU-1079 cells were first optimized with the Cell Line Optimization Nucleofector Kit for Nucleofector Device (Lonza) and a Nucleofector 2b Device (Lonza) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Using the Cell Line Nucleofector Kit 1 (NVCA-1005; Lonza) and program A-020, 1.5 μg of Cas9 expression plasmid that encoded either WT SpCas9 (JDS246; ref. 47) or SpCas9-VQR (MSP469; ref. 46), 500 ng of donor oligonucleotide (Supplementary Table S7) were transfected for each individual kinase into 1 × 10⁶ RBE or 2.5 × 10⁶ SNU-1079 cells. Following transfection, the cells were split into three separate wells on 6-well plates. Genome editing was allowed to proceed for

**Caspase-3/7 Activity**

Cells were plated at confluency (10,000 cells/well) and allowed to adhere for 24 hours in 96-well plates. The following day, the cells were treated with dasatinib 100 nm/mL. After incubation with dasatinib for 24 hours, caspase-3/7 activity was assessed using a Caspase-Glo 3/7 Assay (G8090; Promega) as per the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Data are represented as mean ± SD between technical triplicates (Fig. 2C) or duplicates (Fig. 4D).

**Crystal Violet Staining**

Cells were plated at confluency in 24-well plates (100,000 cells/well) and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day, the cells were treated with DMSO or dasatinib 100 nm/mL. Twenty-four hours later, the media were aspirated and cells were washed with PBS, prior to fixation with ice-cold methanol for 20 minutes. The cells were then stained with 0.5% crystal violet in 25% methanol for 20 minutes at room temperature. Next, crystal violet stain was aspirated and cells were rinsed in tap water until excess crystal violet stain was removed (20 minutes).

**CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing**

Plasmids used in this study can be found in Supplementary Table S6, and the sequences of oligonucleotides can be found in Supplementary Table S7. Target kinase loci in RBE and SNU-1079 cells were sequenced to identify and account for any cell-type–specific polymorphisms. To do so, the genomic sequence flanking the intended genome-editing alteration was amplified using Phusion Hot Start Flex DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S7. The resulting PCR amplicons were cloned using the Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen), transformed into E. coli XL-1 blue competent cells, and Twenty-four hours later, cells were added to 2.5 μg/mL puromycin for at least 2 days, and the pooled populations were used for various experiments. The desired change. Oligonucleotides corresponding to the spacer between the desired gatekeeper mutation, as well as nonsynonymous changes to prevent recleavage of the corrected allele (Supplementary Table S7). Transfection conditions for both RBE and SNU-1079 cells were first optimized with the Cell Line Optimization Nucleofector Kit for Nucleofector Device (Lonza) and a Nucleofector 2b Device (Lonza) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Using the Cell Line Nucleofector Kit 1 (NVCA-1005; Lonza) and program A-020, 1.5 μg of Cas9 expression plasmid that encoded either WT SpCas9 (JDS246; ref. 47) or SpCas9-VQR (MSP469; ref. 46), 500 ng of donor oligonucleotide (Supplementary Table S7) were transfected for each individual kinase into 1 × 10⁶ RBE or 2.5 × 10⁶ SNU-1079 cells. Following transfection, the cells were split into three separate wells on 6-well plates. Genome editing was allowed to proceed for

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Cell extracts were prepared in 1× RIPA buffer (150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 50 mmol/L Tris, 0.5% DOC) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete; Roche Applied Science) and phosphatase inhibitors (Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (A-020, 1.5 μg/mL polybrene (Millipore, #TR-1003-G). Twenty-four hours later, cells were selected in 2.5 μg/mL puromycin for at least 2 days, and the pooled populations were used for various experiments. The desired change. Oligonucleotides corresponding to the spacer between the desired gatekeeper mutation, as well as nonsynonymous changes to prevent recleavage of the corrected allele (Supplementary Table S7). Transfection conditions for both RBE and SNU-1079 cells were first optimized with the Cell Line Optimization Nucleofector Kit for Nucleofector Device (Lonza) and a Nucleofector 2b Device (Lonza) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Using the Cell Line Nucleofector Kit 1 (NVCA-1005; Lonza) and program A-020, 1.5 μg of Cas9 expression plasmid that encoded either WT SpCas9 (JDS246; ref. 47) or SpCas9-VQR (MSP469; ref. 46), 500 ng of donor oligonucleotide (Supplementary Table S7) were transfected for each individual kinase into 1 × 10⁶ RBE or 2.5 × 10⁶ SNU-1079 cells. Following transfection, the cells were split into three separate wells on 6-well plates. Genome editing was allowed to proceed for

**Multiplex Inhibitor Bead Column**

Kine chromatography and mass spectrometry were performed as described previously (33). Briefly, compounds were commercially obtained or synthesized directly, and then affixed to sepharose using 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide–catalyzed peptide coupling chemistry. Cell lysates were then diluted in binding buffer with 1 mol/L NaCl, and affinity purification was performed with gravity chromatography. The bound kinases were stringently washed and then eluted with hot SDS before extraction and tryptic digest. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS) was performed on a Velos Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific) with in-line high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an EASY-spray column (Thermo Scientific). Label-free quantification was performed with Skyline (44), and statistical analysis with Ms Stats (45).
3 days, prior to treating the cells with dasatinib 50 nmol/L for 30 days to select for dasatinib-resistant cells. Crystal violet staining of one of the three wells is shown in Fig. 4B, and the second and third wells were used for confirmation of the appropriate SRC mutation and subsequent assays. Successful insertion of gatekeeper mutations in the endogenous ABL1, ABL2, DDR1, LYN, and YES1 loci was confirmed, as no viable colonies survived dasatinib selection. Control transfections without the donor oligonucleotide containing the gatekeeper mutation were performed in parallel and did not yield any resistant colonies for any of the kinases attempted (data not shown).

**Histology and Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples were fixed overnight in 4% buffered formaldehyde, and then embedded in paraffin and sectioned (5-μm thickness) by the DF/HCC Research Pathology Core. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed using standard methods. For immunohistochemistry, unstained slides were baked at 55°C overnight, deparaffinized in xylene (2 treatments, 6 minutes each), rehydrated sequentially in ethanol (5 minutes in 100%, 3 minutes in 95%, 3 minutes in 75%, and 3 minutes in 40%), and washed for 5 minutes in 0.3% Triton X-100/PBS (PBST) and 3 minutes in water. For antigen unmasking, specimens were cooked in a 2100 Antigen Retriever (Aptum Biologics Ltd.) in 1X Antigen Unmasking Solution, Citric Acid Based (H-3300; Vector Laboratories), rinsed 3 times with PBST, incubated for 10 minutes with 1% H2O2 at room temperature to block endogenous peroxidase activity, washed 3 times with PBST, and blocked with 5% goat serum in PBST for 1 hour. Anti–cleaved caspase-3 (#9661; Cell Signaling Technology) was diluted in blocking solution at a ratio of 1:300 and incubated with the tissue sections at 4°C overnight. Specimens were then washed 3 times for 3 minutes each in PBST and incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories) in blocking solution for 1 hour at room temperature. Then, specimens were washed 3 times in PBST and treated with ABC reagent (Vectastain ABC Kit, #PK-6100) for 30 minutes, followed by three washes for 3 minutes each. Finally, slides were stained for peroxidase for 3 minutes with the DAB substrate kit (SK-4100; Vector Laboratories), washed with water, and counterstained with hematoxylin. Stained slides were photographed with an Olympus DP72 microscope. Quantification of the percentage of necrosis in tumor slides was performed by a pathologist (Y. Kato) who was blinded to the origin of the tissue. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

**2-HG Measurements**

Cells were seeded in 10-cm plates and grown to ~70% confluency. Media were then refreshed 3 hours prior to harvest. To extract intracellular metabolites, cells were briefly washed with ice-cold 0.9% sodium chloride, immediately fixed with chilled acetone/methanol/water (40/40/20), and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were then scraped, transferred into 1.5-mL eppendorf tubes, and vortexed. A series of 3 freeze/thaw cycles on dry ice were performed. The cellular lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 ×g at 4°C for 15 minutes. Clarified lysate was then directly analyzed by HPLC-MS as described previously (48).

**Statistical Analysis**

For studies following the high-throughput screen, GI50 (defined as the concentration required to inhibit cell proliferation to 50% of untreated control) determinations were made with GraphPad Prism software. A two-tailed Student t test was used to assess significance for Caspase-3/7 activity assays, histologic analysis of the percentage of tumor necrosis and the effect of SRC knockdown on proliferation. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze significance for the effect of dasatinib on SS49 xenograft growth. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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