Repotrectinib (TPX-0005) Is a Next-Generation ROS1/TRK/ALK Inhibitor That Potently Inhibits ROS1/TRK/ALK Solvent-Front Mutations
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ABSTRACT

The use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) with activity against ALK, ROS1, or TRKA–C can result in significant clinical benefit in patients with diverse tumors harboring ALK, ROS1, or NTRK1–3 rearrangements; however, resistance invariably develops. The emergence of on-target kinase domain mutations represents a major mechanism of acquired resistance. Solvent-front substitutions such as ALK G1202R, ROS1 G2032R, ROS1 G2033N, TRKA G595R, and TRKC G623R are among the most recalcitrant of these mechanisms. Repotrectinib (TPX-0005) is a rationally designed, low-molecular-weight, macrocyclic TKI that is selective and highly potent against ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK. Importantly, repotrectinib exhibits activity against a variety of solvent-front substitutions in vitro and in vivo. As clinical proof of concept, in an ongoing first-in-human phase I/II trial, repotrectinib achieved confirmed responses in patients with ROS1 or NTRK3 fusion-positive cancers who had relapsed on earlier-generation TKIs due to ROS1 or TRKC solvent-front substitution-mediated resistance.

SIGNIFICANCE: Repotrectinib (TPX-0005), a next-generation ROS1, pan-TRK, and ALK TKI, overcomes resistance due to acquired solvent-front mutations involving ROS1, NTRK1–3, and ALK. Repotrectinib may represent an effective therapeutic option for patients with ROS1-, NTRK1–3-, or ALK-rearranged malignancies who have progressed on earlier-generation TKIs. Cancer Discov; 8(10); 1227–36. © 2018 AACR.
INTRODUCTION

The development and approval of earlier-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) for the treatment of ALK- and ROS1-rearranged non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLC) led to the appreciation of receptor tyrosine kinase fusions as targetable oncogenic driver alterations in a diverse group of solid malignancies (1–4). More recently, rearrangements involving NTRK1, NTRK2, and NTRK3, encoding TRKA, TRKB, and TRKC, respectively, have been identified as oncogenic drivers in a variety of different tumor types. NTRK-rearranged cancers are exquisitely sensitive to targeted therapies that inhibit TRK regardless of age and tumor origin (5, 6).

Despite the clinical activity of TKIs, resistance invariably develops. Moreover, particularly recalcitrant mechanisms of on-target resistance can emerge in fusion-positive tumors, especially after exposure to potent TKIs. The acquisition of mutations resulting in amino acid substitutions at the kinase solvent front represents an example of such a mechanism. These substitutions that occur secondary to solvent-front mutations (SFM) include ALKG1202R in ALK-rearranged tumors, ROS1G2032R and ROS1D2033N in ROS1-rearranged tumors, and TRKA G595R and TRKC G623R in NTRK1- and NTRK3-rearranged tumors, respectively (7–17). In ALK-rearranged cancers, ALKG1202R is commonly observed after treatment with more potent, earlier-generation agents and can occur in approximately a third of patients who relapse on second-generation ALK TKIs such as alectinib and ceritinib (10). Similarly, ROS1G2032R can also emerge in about one third of patients after crizotinib failure, with an additional 5% of patients developing another solvent-front substitution, ROS1D2033N (14, 15). Solvent-front substitutions were reported in NTRK-rearranged cancers after entrectinib treatment and mediated resistance in the majority (7 of 10 tested) of NTRK-rearranged cancers after lorlatinib treatment (5, 6).

In this report, we describe the preclinical activity of repotrectinib (TPX-0005), a rationally designed next-generation TKI developed to potently inhibit clinically recalcitrant solvent front substitutions involving ROS1, TRKA, and ALK, in addition to wild-type (WT) ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK and other clinically relevant non–solvent front mutations. We also demonstrate proof-of-principle clinical activity in an ongoing phase I/II clinical trial investigating the safety and efficacy of repotrectinib (www.clinicaltrials.gov: NCT03093116).

RESULTS

Design and Structure

Many currently available ALK, ROS1, and TRKA–C inhibitors are ATP-competitive type I TKIs that have an ATP adenine-equivalent kinase hinge binder (Supplementary Fig. S1). These agents have an extra motif extending to the solvent area, which represents a shared liability in the setting of on-target resistance mediated by the acquisition of SFMs (Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3). Repotrectinib was designed to overcome clinical resistance mutations, especially SFMs (Supplementary Figs. S4–S6 and Supplementary Table S1). Structurally, the drug is a rigid, three-dimensional macrocycle that precisely anchors the molecule in the adenine binding site with a bioactive binding conformation predefined to avoid the entropy penalty after binding. Furthermore, repotrectinib is smaller in size compared with currently available ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK inhibitors in the clinic, with favorable central nervous system (CNS) drug-like properties for human brain penetration (Supplementary Table S2A and S2B). This novel design was aimed to target both WT and SFM kinases (Supplementary Table S3) as well as other resistance mutations identified in the clinic as demonstrated by its preclinical activity against various ALK-mutant kinases (Supplementary Table S4). Structural modeling predicts that repotrectinib can accommodate the bulky, positively charged arginine side chain in the solvent front without any steric clashes. These solvent-front substitutions include ROS1G2032R and ROS1D2033N, TRKA G595R, TRKB G639R, TRKC G623R, and ALKG1202R (Fig. 1).

Enzyme and Cell-Based Activity

Repotrectinib inhibited the kinase activity of WT ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK, and their SFMs with IC50 values in the range of 0.071 to 4.46 nmol/L (Supplementary Table S3). Based on the high potency against ROS1/TRKA–C/ALK, the kinase selectivity of repotrectinib was screened at 100 nmol/L against 395 distinct kinases. Screening hits were further evaluated for kinase inhibition IC50 values (Supplementary Table S5). Repotrectinib showed high potency against ROS1 and TRKA–C with approximately 15-fold selectivity over ALK. In addition, repotrectinib inhibited JAK2 and multiple SRC family members in biochemical assays (Fig. 2A).

Because each kinase has a distinct binding affinity (Km) with ATP and a unique conformation in cells, kinase selectivity was further evaluated at the cellular level. Repotrectinib inhibited the phosphorylation of ROS1 and TRKA with IC50 of < 1 nmol/L in NIH3T3 CD74–ROS1 and KM12 cells, and ALK with IC50 of < 3 nmol/L in Karpas-299 cells (Supplementary Fig. S7). Repotrectinib inhibited JAK2 substrate STAT5 phosphorylation (IC50 138 nmol/L) in SET2 cells, and SRC phosphorylation (IC50 89 nmol/L) in H2228 cells (Supplementary Fig. S7). Overall, repotrectinib demonstrated high selectivity for ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK in cell-based phosphorylation assays.

Ba/F3 cells were engineered to express WT fusion proteins [CD74–ROS1, LMNA–TRKA, ETV6–TRKB, ETV6–TRKC, and EML4–ALK variant 1 (v1)] or corresponding solvent-front substitutions [ROS1G2032R, ROS1D2033N, TRKA G595R, TRKB G639R, TRKC G623R, and ALKG1202R] (Fig. 1). In models harboring WT ROS1 fusion proteins, repotrectinib and lorlatinib were more potent (IC50 values < 0.2 and 0.2 nmol/L) compared with crizotinib, entrectinib, ceritinib, brigatinib, cabozantinib, and ensartinib (Fig. 2B). As predicted, ROS1G2032R and ROS1D2033N rendered resistance to crizotinib, entrectinib, ceritinib, brigatinib, cabozantinib, and ensartinib. Although lorlatinib retained activity against these mutations in preclinical studies (7), repotrectinib and cabozantinib were substantially more potent than lorlatinib against ROS1G2032R, with IC50 values of 3.3 and 11 nmol/L, respectively, compared with an IC50 of 160.7 nmol/L for lorlatinib. For ROS1D2033N, repotrectinib was slightly less potent than cabozantinib (1.3 vs. 0.2 nmol/L), but more potent than lorlatinib (3.3 nmol/L; Supplementary Fig. S8A and Supplementary Table S6A).

In Ba/F3 models harboring WT TRKA–C fusion proteins, repotrectinib was more potent (IC50 < 0.2 nmol/L) compared with lorlatinib and entrectinib (Fig. 2C). The drug retained potent activity against TRKA G595R (IC50 0.4 nmol/L),
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Figure 1. Binding of repotrectinib to ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK kinases with solvent-front substitutions. Crizotinib has a basic piperidine group that extends to the solvent-front area, thus clashing with ROS1 solvent-front substitution. ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK solvent-front substitutions (located at the C-terminus of the ATP adenine binding hinge) mediate resistance to therapy. Similar to crizotinib, other currently available ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK inhibitors carry an extra motif that likewise extends to the solvent area that sterically clashes with solvent-front substitutions. In order to avoid this steric interference, repotrectinib was designed to be much smaller in size (MW 355.37) than the currently available ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK inhibitors. As shown here, repotrectinib (green) has a rigid three-dimensional macrocyclic structure that precisely anchors the molecule completely inside the ATP adenosine binding site of the cocrystal structure of ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK with a predefined bioactive binding conformation. Structural modeling studies indicate that steric clashes do not occur between repotrectinib and SFMs involving ROS1, TRKA–C, and ALK.
TRKB<sup>G639R</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 0.6 nmol/L), TRKC<sup>G623R</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 0.2 nmol/L), and TRKC<sup>G623E</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 1.4 nmol/L), whereas these substitutions rendered resistance to larotrectinib and entrectinib. In addition, repotrectinib was 46- and 62-fold more potent than entrectinib and larotrectinib, respectively, at inhibiting KM12 cell proliferation (IC<sub>50</sub> 0.2 nmol/L; Supplementary Fig. S8B; Supplementary Table S6B).

Similarly, in Ba/F3 models harboring WT EML4–ALK variant 1, repotrectinib (IC<sub>50</sub> 27 nmol/L) was more potent than crizotinib, had comparable activity with alectinib, ceritinib, and brigatinib, and was less potent than lorlatinib (Fig. 2D); however, the drug retained potent activity against ALK<sup>G1202R</sup> (IC<sub>50</sub> 63.6 nmol/L), comparable with that seen with lorlatinib (IC<sub>50</sub> 41.5 nmol/L). In addition, repotrectinib inhibited Karpas-299 cell proliferation (IC<sub>50</sub> 23.7 nmol/L) with a similar potency as alectinib (Supplementary Fig. S8C; Supplementary Table S6C).

Overall, repotrectinib was a potent ROS1/TRK/ALK inhibitor in both enzymatic and cellular assays, and selectively cytotoxic to engineered cell lines with ROS1, NTRK<sub>1</sub>, or ALK rearrangements, while having IC<sub>50</sub> >1,000 nmol/L for parental Ba/F3 cells (Supplementary Table S6C) and cell lines with other oncogene drivers (Supplementary Table S6D).
In Vivo Activity

The in vivo activity of repotrectinib was tested against representative SFMs, ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup>, TRKA<sup>G595R</sup>, and ALK<sup>G1202R</sup> using mouse xenograft models. In SCID/Beige mice bearing Ba/F3 CD74–ROS1 WT or CD74–ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> xenograft tumors, the twice-daily (b.i.d.) dosing with 15 or 75 mg/kg/dose of crystalline repotrectinib resulted in a free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 2.2 and 13.3 nmol/L, and tumor growth inhibition (TGI) of 197% and 200% against CD74–ROS1 WT tumors (Fig. 3A) and 99% and 200% against CD74–ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> tumors (Fig. 3B), respectively, without body-weight loss (Supplementary Fig. S9A). A free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 13.3 nmol/L led to complete tumor regression in ROS1 WT and G2032R tumor models. The mean trough plasma concentration is summarized in Supplementary Table S7.

In athymic nude mice bearing NIH3T3 LMNA–TRKA WT xenograft tumors, a micronized crystalline formulation of repotrectinib was used for b.i.d. dosing at 3 or 15 mg/kg/dose, leading to a free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 3.5 and 22.7 nmol/L, and TGIs of 100% and 128%, respectively (Fig. 3C), whereas entrectinib achieved a TGI of 98% at 15 mg/kg b.i.d. dosing. The treatment with micronized crystalline repotrectinib at 3, 15, or 60 mg/kg/dose b.i.d. resulted in a free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 3.5, 22.7, and 173.5 nmol/L, leading to TGIs of 56%, 97%, and 123%, respectively, in the TRKA<sup>G595R</sup> tumor model (Fig. 3D) without body-weight loss (Supplementary Fig. S9B), whereas entrectinib had 78% TGI at 60 mg/kg/b.i.d. A free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 22.7 nmol/L was required to achieve 97% TGI in the NIH3T3 LMNA–TRKA<sup>G595R</sup> tumor model. The mean trough plasma concentration is summarized in Supplementary Table S7.

The activity of repotrectinib was investigated in SCID/Beige mice bearing Ba/F3 EML4–ALK v1 WT or EML4–ALK v1 G1202R xenograft tumors. The b.i.d. dosing with 15 or 75 mg/kg of the crystalline repotrectinib resulted in TGIs of 75% and 154% against EML4–ALK v1 WT tumors (Fig. 3E), and 56% and 99% against EML4–ALK v1 G1202R tumors (Fig. 3F), respectively, without body-weight loss (Supplementary Fig. S9C). Therefore, a free $C_{\text{trough}}$ of 13.3 nmol/L was required.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.jpg)
to achieve 99% TGI in the Ba/F3 EML4–ALK v1 G1202R tumor model. The mean trough plasma concentration is summarized in Supplementary Table S7.

**Proof-of-Concept Clinical Activity**

Repotrectinib is being investigated in an ongoing first-in-human dose-escalation phase I/II clinical trial (NCT03093116) in patients with advanced ALK-, ROS1-, NTRK1–3-rearranged cancers.

**ETV6–NTRK3G623E** Mammary Analogue Secretory Carcinoma

A 44-year-old man presented in October of 2012 with a right parotid mass. He underwent a right parotidectomy and a mammary analogue secretory carcinoma (MASC) was identified. His history was thereafter marked by recurrent local disease requiring two subsequent resections and chemoradiation. In 2015, he developed recurrent local disease in addition to metastatic disease involving the lungs. Genomic profiling later revealed an ETV6–NTRK3 rearrangement. Crizotinib was initiated with a best response of progressive disease. The patient was then enrolled onto a clinical trial of entrectinib that he received for 6 months with partial response, followed by doxorubicin on progression of disease, and later entrectinib and trametinib, which the patient received for 2 months with progressive disease. He was then enrolled onto the phase I trial of repotrectinib (40 mg once daily). A pretreatment biopsy revealed persistence of an in-frame ETV6–NTRK3 rearrangement containing the kinase domain of NTRK3 and an NTRK3G623E mutation that was not present on a pre-entrectinib biopsy. A rapid and dramatic response to repotrectinib was achieved within the first few days of administration, noted as substantial shrinkage of externally visible disease involving the right mandibular area (Fig. 4A and B). Plasma exposures indicated that the C\text{trough}
Figure 4. Response to repotrectinib in solvent-front substitution–containing cancers. (A) A brisk and dramatic response to repotrectinib was observed in externally visible tumors from a patient with an ETV6–NTRK3–rearranged mammary analogue secretory carcinoma (MASC) harboring an NTRK3G623E solvent-front mutation acquired after prior treatment with entrectinib. (B) Combined computed and positron emission tomography images show a concurrent metabolic and radiologic response (regression of dermal and subcutaneous metastases) to therapy that was subsequently confirmed with follow-up imaging. The patient remains on repotrectinib at more than 17 months. (C) Similarly, a confirmed radiologic response to therapy was achieved in a patient with a CD74–ROS1–rearranged NSCLC that acquired a ROS1G2032R solvent-front mutation after prior treatment with crizotinib. Regression of a subcarinal node is shown. (D and E) The intracranial activity of repotrectinib is shown, with magnetic resonance imaging demonstrating regression or disappearance of multiple previously untreated supratentorial and infratentorial brain metastases. The patient remains on repotrectinib at more than 12 months since treatment initiation. Yellow circles indicate areas of metastatic disease.
(144 nmol/L) was above the IC<sub>50</sub> (80 nmol/L) needed to inhibit TRK<sub>C</sub>G2032R Ba/F3 cell growth (Supplementary Fig. S10A). Radiologic imaging after 8 weeks of therapy revealed a partial response (PR) with shrinkage of multiple areas of disease involving the right cheek, cervical lymph nodes, chest wall nodules, and pulmonary and pleural nodules, followed by a confirmed PR with 82% tumor shrinkage by RECIST v1.1. The patient’s cancer started to progress slowly after 6 months of treatment and his repotrectinib dose was gradually escalated to 160 mg b.i.d. as permitted by the protocol; this resulted in the reestablishment of disease control. He remains on therapy at 17 + months at the time of manuscript submission with no dose-limiting toxicities and only mild grade 1 peripheral sensory neuropathy. The clinical outcome is consistent with the cell-based inhibitory assay (Fig. 2C) and the mice xenograft study (Supplementary Fig. S10B).

**CD74–ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> NSCLC**

A 41-year-old Asian female never-smoker presented with stage IV NSCLC with a large pleural effusion, pleural-based nodules, and multiple enlarged mediastinal and suprachlavicular lymph nodes. Pleural effusion cytology and suprachlavicular lymph node fine-needle aspirate cytology both revealed adenocarcinoma. Molecular profiling identified a CD74–ROS1 rearrangement. An MRI of the brain was negative for intracranial metastasis at the time of diagnosis. She received one cycle of carboplatin/pemetrexed/bevacizumab while awaiting insurance authorization for crizotinib. She started on crizotinib in June 2016 and had a durable response for 12 months, at which time imaging showed increasing mediastinal lymphadenopathy (Fig. 4C). A repeat biopsy and comprehensive genomic profiling at Foundation Medicine revealed CD74–ROS1 and a ROS<sup>I</sup>G2032R SFM. The patient subsequently enrolled on the phase 1 repotrectinib trial and received repotrectinib at 160 mg once daily. Pre-repotrectinib brain imaging revealed multiple, clinically asymptomatic supratentorial and infratentorial brain metastases (Fig. 4D). She tolerated repotrectinib treatment well with mild grade 1 ataxia, paresthesias, and nausea early in her course that resolved with supportive care, and ongoing mild grade 1 perioral numbness and dysgeusia. A confirmed PR (~36.6%) by RECIST v1.1 was achieved with a duration of response of 7.4 months. Of note, this patient also responded to PR (−36.6%) by RECIST v1.1 was achieved with a duration of 9 months with subsequent CNS–refractory tumor harboring ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup>. A confirmed PR with shrinkage of multiple areas of disease involving the right cheek, cervical lymph nodes, chest wall nodules, and pulmonary and pleural nodules, followed by a confirmed PR with 82% tumor shrinkage by RECIST v1.1. The patient’s cancer started to progress slowly after 6 months of treatment and his repotrectinib dose was gradually escalated to 160 mg b.i.d. as permitted by the protocol; this resulted in the reestablishment of disease control. He remains on therapy at 17 + months at the time of manuscript submission with no dose-limiting toxicities and only mild grade 1 peripheral sensory neuropathy. The clinical outcome is consistent with the cell-based inhibitory assay (Fig. 2C) and the mice xenograft study (Supplementary Fig. S10B).

**DISCUSSION**

In cancers driven by gene fusions, sequential TKI therapy has emerged as a paradigm for maintaining disease control in the face of continued dependence on the fusion oncoprotein. The emergence of SFMs during the course of treatment, especially following more potent TKIs, represents a significant therapeutic challenge (9–19). Repotrectinib, by targeting the center of the ATP binding site with a small, three-dimensional macrocyclic structure, effectively circumvents steric hindrance from solvent-front substitutions. Consistent with this, in multiple preclinical models, repotrectinib demonstrated potent antiproliferative activity against WT fusion proteins involving ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, and ALK, and their corresponding SFMs in cellular inhibitory assays and xenograft models.

For ROS1, both certinib (19) and entrectinib (20) have demonstrated clinical activity in crizotinib-naïve ROS1<sup>+</sup> NSCLC patients. Additionally, cabozantinib has demonstrated activity against ROS1 SFMs (14, 21). Lorlatinib is a next-generation ALK/ROS1 inhibitor with potent inhibitory activity against WT ROS1. In an ongoing phase I/II study, lorlatinib demonstrated variable clinical activity in patients with crizotinib-refractory ROS1<sup>+</sup> NSCLC (22, 23). Lorlatinib can inhibit the ROS1 gatekeeper substitution L2026M <em>in vitro</em> but is not as potent against the solvent-front substitution ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> (7). Indeed, repotrectinib exhibits more potent inhibitory activity against WT ROS1 and SFMs than all other ROS1 TKIs with the exception of cabozantinib against D2033N [0.2 nmol/L (cabozantinib) vs. 1.3 nmol/L (repotrectinib)]. Overall, repotrectinib exhibited potent antiproliferative activity in Ba/F3 cells transduced with the oncogenic driver CD74–ROS1 and effectively inhibited ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> (3.3 nmol/L) and D2033N (1.3 nmol/L; Supplementary Table S6A). Consistent with these results, repotrectinib induced a durable and ongoing response in a crizotinib-refractory patient with a ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup> rearranged tumor harboring ROS1<sup>G2032R</sup>. Currently, no targeted therapy is approved for NTRK-rearranged cancers. The first-generation agent larotrectinib demonstrated marked antitumor activity in patients with NTRK-rearranged cancers (6). Similarly, entrectinib is active in patients with NTRK-rearranged cancer (5). On-target resistance frequently emerges with the use of either agent, including the acquisition of SFMs in 7 of 10 patients treated with larotrectinib (6), although a larger data set is required to determine the true frequency of on-target resistance in this context. Repotrectinib is highly potent against TRKA–C solvent-front substitutions preclinically, and a dramatic response to therapy was observed in a patient with an NTRK3 fusion–positive tumor harboring an SFM. It remains to be determined whether there are any differences in clinical activity between repotrectinib and LOXO-195, another next-generation TRK inhibitor currently in clinical development (24).

Although repotrectinib is 15-fold less potent against ALK than ROS1 in enzymatic assays, repotrectinib has superior ALK inhibitory activity compared with the first-generation ALK inhibitor crizotinib and compares favorably in its ALK<sup>G2032R</sup> inhibitory activity against currently approved second-generation ALK inhibitors (ceritinib, alectinib, and brigatinib). The current phase 1 trial will investigate the clinical activity of repotrectinib in ALK-rearranged malignancies.

Finally, CNS progression is a significant clinical challenge in oncogene-driven lung cancers, including ALK- and ROS1-rearranged NSCLC. The available next-generation ALK inhibitors have significantly improved CNS activity over crizotinib (25). Although the incidence of CNS metastasis may be lower...
in patients with ROS1 fusion–positive compared with ALK fusion–positive lung cancer, the incidence of CNS metastases increases with time on treatment (15). As shown in the preliminary clinical data presented in this report, repotrectinib has demonstrated proof-of-concept CNS activity against untreated brain metastases in a patient with a crizotinib-refractory, ROS1 fusion–positive lung cancer.

In summary, this is the first report on the design and preclinical evaluation of the novel next-generation ROS1/TRK/ALK TKI repotrectinib. Repotrectinib was specifically designed to overcome refractory SFMs which commonly emerge in patients with ROS1/ALK-rearranged malignancies who have relapsed on currently available TKIs. In an ongoing first-in-human dose-escalation trial, repotrectinib demonstrated antitumor activity in patients with ROS1- or NTRK3-rearranged tumors that harbor resistant SFMs. Although safety, dosing, and clinical efficacy are still being established, these findings suggest that repotrectinib could represent an effective treatment option for ROS1/ALK-rearranged malignancies, including those harboring resistant SFMs. The clinical application of repotrectinib for TKI-refractory, ALK fusion–positive patients needs to be further defined in the ongoing trial.

**METHODS**

**Preclinical Studies**

**Structural Modeling.** Structural modeling of ALK, ROS1, and TRK solvent-front mutants in complex with repotrectinib (TPX-0005) was carried out with X-ray crystallography structures of ALK (PDB 4CLJ), ROS1 (PDB 3ZBF and 4UXL), TRKA (PDB 4AOI), TRKB (PDB 4AT3), and TRKC (PDB 4YMJ) using Schrodinger Maestro software (Supplementary Information).

**Enzyme Assays.** The enzymatic kinase inhibitory activities of repotrectinib were evaluated at Reaction Biology Corporation using the radioisotopic HotSpot kinase assay platform, and kinase selectivity was first evaluated using the KINOMEScan site-directed competition binding assay against 456 human kinases at DiscoveRx. See Supplementary Information for detailed information.

**Cell Lines and Assays.** Human lung cancer cell line NCI-H2228 was obtained from the ATCC (2014). Cell lines N3H3T3 and Ba/F3 were purchased from DMSZ (2015, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Culture). Karpas-299 cell line was purchased from DSMZ (2015, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Culture). Karpas-299 and KM12 cell lines were authenticated by confirmation of the presence of each fusion (EML4–ALK, NPM–ALK, or TPM3–TRKA). N3H3T3 and Ba/F3 cells were not authenticated. Cell lines were confirmed to be Mycoplasma-free (Biomek) and were used between 3 and 10 passages. The genes of EML4-ALK (variant 1), CD74–ROS1, LMNA–TRKA, ETV6–TRKB, ETV6–TRKC, and the solvent-front mutant genes of EML4-ALK (G1269R, CD74–ROS1 (G1269R), CD74–ROS1 (G1269R), LMNA–TRKA (G1269R), ETV6–TRKB (G1269R), ETV6–TRKC (G1269R) and ETV6–TRKC (G1269R) were synthesized at GenScript and cloned into pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-Puro plasmid (System Biosciences, Inc.). The corresponding Ba/F3 and N3H3T3 stable cells were generated by transducing Ba/F3 cells and N3H3T3 cells with lentivirus containing the desired fusion gene or mutant. Please refer to Supplementary Information for detailed procedures.

For cellular phosphorylation assays, half a million cells per well were seeded in 24-well plate for 24 hours, and then treated with compounds for 4 hours. For cell proliferation assays, 2,000 cells per well were seeded in 384-well white plate for 24 hours, and then treated with compounds for 72 hours. Cell proliferation was measured using CellTiter-Glo luciferase-based ATP detection assay (Promega) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Please refer to Supplementary Information for detailed procedures.

**In Vivo Xenograft Studies.** All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines as published in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Mice were maintained and used in accordance with the animal protocol EBl5-013 (approved by Explora BioLabs’ Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee). Five million cells in 100 μl serum-free medium supplemented with 50% Matrigel (Corning, Inc.) were implanted subcutaneously in the right flank region of the mouse. Mice were randomized by tumor size into treatment groups when tumor volume reached about 100 to 200 mm3 and repotrectinib was administered orally (b.i.d) at determined dosage. Tumor size and body weight were measured on designated days. Please refer to Supplementary Information for the detailed information.

**Treatment Plan Design and Conduct**

Ropotrrectinib is being investigated in a first-in-human dose-escalation study (NCT-03093116). The study has been conducted in accordance with recognized ethical guidelines such as the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol has been approved by the institutional review boards at each participating site. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients before screening. Details of eligibility criteria are listed at www.clinicaltrials.gov.
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